

## Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	JPNS 102 01
Title:	Elem Japanese II
Instructor:	Walcott, Yasuko

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment:	22
Questionnaires:	14

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
<b>General</b>														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	1	1	4	8	4.36	796/1449	4.49	4.45	4.33	4.14	4.36
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	5	4	5	4.00	1061/1446	4.15	4.47	4.29	4.20	4.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	7	5	4.21	812/1256	4.26	4.56	4.34	4.21	4.21
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	3	0	2	6	3	3.43	1299/1402	3.83	4.50	4.27	4.10	3.43
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	4	0	5	3	2	2.93	1315/1358	3.51	4.40	4.13	4.04	2.93
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	1	1	0	2	1	7	4.18	721/1327	4.00	4.35	4.16	3.92	4.18
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	1	4	6	2	3.69	1192/1435	3.77	4.33	4.20	4.11	3.69
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	14	5.00	1/1446	5.00	4.68	4.67	4.57	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	6	0	0	0	2	6	0	3.75	1117/1437	4.04	4.26	4.12	4.04	3.75
<b>Lecture</b>														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	1	7	4	4.25	1052/1386	4.22	4.58	4.48	4.40	4.25
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	1	11	4.92	478/1390	4.87	4.83	4.74	4.67	4.92
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	2	8	2	4.00	1058/1379	3.91	4.47	4.34	4.28	4.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	3	4	5	4.17	970/1379	4.27	4.58	4.36	4.26	4.17
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	4	0	1	2	3	2	3.75	910/1236	3.13	4.17	4.08	3.93	3.75
<b>Discussion</b>														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	5	2	4	3.91	803/1121	4.00	4.38	4.18	3.89	3.91
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	3	0	0	0	0	5	6	4.55	504/1122	4.67	4.64	4.36	4.09	4.55
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	3	0	0	0	1	7	3	4.18	801/1121	4.42	4.58	4.40	4.08	4.18
4. Were special techniques successful	3	3	1	0	3	2	2	3.50	643/790	3.54	4.26	4.06	3.89	3.50

## Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	JPNS 102 01
Title:	Elem Japanese II
Instructor:	Walcott, Yasuko

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment:	22
Questionnaires:	14

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														

### Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	0	0.00-0.99 0	A 1 Required for Majors	2 Graduate	0 Major
28-55	1	1.00-1.99 0	B 5		
56-83	0	2.00-2.99 1	C 1 General	3 Under-grad	14 Non-major
84-150	2	3.00-3.49 1	D 1		
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00 1	F 1 Electives	4	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant
			P 0		
			I 0 Other	1	
			? 4		

## Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	JPNS 102 03
Title:	Elem Japanese II
Instructor:	Walcott, Yasuko

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment:	23
Questionnaires:	13

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
<b>General</b>														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	3	9	4.62	446/1449	4.49	4.45	4.33	4.14	4.62
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	1	1	4	7	4.31	808/1446	4.15	4.47	4.29	4.20	4.31
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	1	0	6	6	4.31	749/1256	4.26	4.56	4.34	4.21	4.31
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	1	2	3	7	4.23	829/1402	3.83	4.50	4.27	4.10	4.23
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	2	1	0	2	2	6	4.09	781/1358	3.51	4.40	4.13	4.04	4.09
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	2	2	0	2	1	6	3.82	986/1327	4.00	4.35	4.16	3.92	3.82
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	2	2	5	4	3.85	1109/1435	3.77	4.33	4.20	4.11	3.85
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	5.00	1/1446	5.00	4.68	4.67	4.57	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	0	0	0	1	4	4	4.33	550/1437	4.04	4.26	4.12	4.04	4.33
<b>Lecture</b>														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	1	0	0	5	5	4.18	1101/1386	4.22	4.58	4.48	4.40	4.18
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	1	0	10	4.82	761/1390	4.87	4.83	4.74	4.67	4.82
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	1	0	3	3	4	3.82	1167/1379	3.91	4.47	4.34	4.28	3.82
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	2	0	1	8	4.36	814/1379	4.27	4.58	4.36	4.26	4.36
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	3	6	1	1	1	1	0	2.50	1205/1236	3.13	4.17	4.08	3.93	2.50
<b>Discussion</b>														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	1	2	2	5	4.10	700/1121	4.00	4.38	4.18	3.89	4.10
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	3	0	0	0	0	2	8	4.80	275/1122	4.67	4.64	4.36	4.09	4.80
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	4	0	0	0	0	3	6	4.67	473/1121	4.42	4.58	4.40	4.08	4.67
4. Were special techniques successful	4	2	0	0	5	0	2	3.57	617/790	3.54	4.26	4.06	3.89	3.57

## Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	JPNS 102 03
Title:	Elem Japanese II
Instructor:	Walcott, Yasuko

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment:	23
Questionnaires:	13

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														

### Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	0	0.00-0.99 0	A 1 Required for Majors	3 Graduate	0 Major
28-55	1	1.00-1.99 0	B 5		
56-83	2	2.00-2.99 2	C 5 General	4	Under-grad 13 Non-major 13
84-150	5	3.00-3.49 4	D 1		
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00 1	F 0 Electives	2	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant
			P 0		
			I 0 Other	3	
			? 1		

## Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	JPNS 202 01
Title:	Intermediate Japanese I
Instructor:	Walcott, Yasuko

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment:	9
Questionnaires:	6

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
<b>General</b>														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	1	4	4.50	594/1449	4.50	4.45	4.33	4.32	4.50
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	1	0	2	3	4.17	953/1446	4.17	4.47	4.29	4.27	4.17
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	1	0	0	1	4	4.17	849/1256	4.17	4.56	4.34	4.36	4.17
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1402	5.00	4.50	4.27	4.28	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	1	0	1	3	4.20	679/1358	4.20	4.40	4.13	4.13	4.20
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	253/1327	4.67	4.35	4.16	4.12	4.67
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	1	1	0	4	4.17	858/1435	4.17	4.33	4.20	4.17	4.17
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1446	5.00	4.68	4.67	4.63	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	1	1	0	3	4.00	868/1437	4.00	4.26	4.12	4.10	4.00
<b>Lecture</b>														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	1	1	4	4.50	803/1386	4.50	4.58	4.48	4.46	4.50
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1390	5.00	4.83	4.74	4.76	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	1	3	2	4.17	974/1379	4.17	4.47	4.34	4.31	4.17
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	267/1379	4.83	4.58	4.36	4.37	4.83
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	5	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/1236	****	4.17	4.08	4.16	****
<b>Discussion</b>														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	149/1121	4.83	4.38	4.18	4.11	4.83
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	1	0	5	4.67	404/1122	4.67	4.64	4.36	4.34	4.67
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	292/1121	4.83	4.58	4.40	4.39	4.83
4. Were special techniques successful	0	1	0	1	0	1	3	4.20	359/790	4.20	4.26	4.06	4.01	4.20

## Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: JPNS 202 01	Term - Spring 2011	Enrollment: 9
Title: Intermediate Japanese I		Questionnaires: 6
Instructor: Walcott, Yasuko		

  

	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														

### Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	0	0.00-0.99 0	A 2 Required for Majors	2 Graduate	0 Major
28-55	0	1.00-1.99 0	B 4		
56-83	2	2.00-2.99 1	C 0 General	2	Under-grad 6 Non-major
84-150	1	3.00-3.49 1	D 0		
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00 1	F 0 Electives	0	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant
			P 0		
			I 0 Other	2	
			? 0		