

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 102 100
Title:	Human Geography
Instructor:	Biehler,Dawn

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	176
Questionnaires:	141

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	1	0	3	9	32	42	54	3.96	1255/1644	4.13	4.43	4.32	4.16	3.96
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	3	6	19	42	70	4.21	1028/1644	4.44	4.22	4.28	4.23	4.21
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	3	0	1	8	21	32	76	4.26	934/1419	4.50	4.29	4.35	4.25	4.26
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	2	3	4	6	28	46	52	4.00	1129/1596	4.27	4.27	4.24	4.09	4.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	2	12	16	14	25	31	41	3.53	1315/1535	3.90	4.00	4.15	4.02	3.53
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	1	6	9	31	41	52	3.89	1040/1510	3.89	3.97	4.13	3.91	3.89
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	1	3	16	30	90	4.46	590/1620	4.61	4.25	4.20	4.13	4.46
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	3	0	0	3	55	79	4.55	1158/1642	4.33	4.67	4.68	4.68	4.55
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	29	8	1	3	19	62	19	3.91	1122/1596	4.06	4.17	4.12	4.07	3.91
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	1	0	3	23	111	4.76	507/1534	4.75	4.63	4.48	4.45	4.76
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	0	2	9	127	4.91	609/1539	4.82	4.78	4.76	4.72	4.91
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	1	1	11	26	99	4.60	565/1531	4.65	4.30	4.33	4.30	4.60
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	3	3	1	11	22	98	4.56	688/1530	4.64	4.45	4.35	4.30	4.56
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	7	3	1	3	17	25	85	4.45	433/1409	4.48	4.14	4.08	3.97	4.45
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	84	0	4	5	9	15	24	3.88	963/1366	4.04	4.04	4.18	3.96	3.88
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	83	0	1	0	9	18	30	4.31	835/1364	4.17	4.25	4.33	4.10	4.31
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	83	0	0	0	5	15	38	4.57	652/1361	4.41	4.33	4.39	4.17	4.57
4. Were special techniques successful	84	15	1	3	13	12	13	3.79	734/1019	3.79	4.13	4.09	3.97	3.79

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 102 100
Title:	Human Geography
Instructor:	Biehler,Dawn

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	176
Questionnaires:	141

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	137	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/185	****	4.33	4.23	4.19	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	139	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/209	****	3.90	4.19	4.18	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	139	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/181	****	4.68	4.53	4.68	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	139	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/183	****	4.56	4.46	4.50	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	140	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/172	****	4.46	4.14	4.22	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	136	1	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	****/72	****	4.89	4.53	4.35	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	137	0	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	****/71	****	4.61	4.38	4.21	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	138	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/68	****	4.51	4.41	4.22	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	137	1	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/71	****	4.42	4.40	4.19	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	138	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/73	****	4.23	4.09	3.85	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	138	0	0	0	0	2	1	4.33	****/48	****	3.96	4.16	3.97	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	138	0	0	0	0	2	1	4.33	****/45	****	3.79	4.19	3.97	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	138	1	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/30	****	4.83	4.57	4.58	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	138	1	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/27	****	4.13	4.25	4.37	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	138	1	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/25	****	4.00	4.35	4.63	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	136	0	0	0	0	2	3	4.60	****/51	****	4.31	4.03	4.19	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	136	0	0	0	0	2	3	4.60	****/31	****	4.48	4.18	4.46	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	136	0	0	0	0	2	3	4.60	****/36	****	4.25	4.33	4.38	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 102 100
Title:	Human Geography
Instructor:	Biehler,Dawn

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	176
Questionnaires:	141

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	136	0	0	0	0	2	3	4.60	****/19	****	4.24	4.17	4.29	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	136	0	0	0	0	2	3	4.60	****/14	****	4.07	4.17	4.35	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27 11	0.00-0.99 3	A 44	Required for Majors	12	Graduate 0 Major 0
28-55 13	1.00-1.99 0	B 41			
56-83 11	2.00-2.99 6	C 25	General	96	Under-grad 141 Non-major 141
84-150 12	3.00-3.49 17	D 0			
Grad. 0	3.50-4.00 20	F 0	Electives	12	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant
		P 0			
		I 0	Other	2	
		? 25			

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 102 200
Title:	Human Geography
Instructor:	Luna,Ronald W

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	176
Questionnaires:	93

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	2	0	2	1	12	29	47	4.30	932/1644	4.13	4.43	4.32	4.16	4.30
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	2	0	0	0	5	21	65	4.66	441/1644	4.44	4.22	4.28	4.23	4.66
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	3	0	0	0	3	18	69	4.73	365/1419	4.50	4.29	4.35	4.25	4.73
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	3	55	0	0	5	6	24	4.54	515/1596	4.27	4.27	4.24	4.09	4.54
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	2	51	1	2	6	7	24	4.28	719/1535	3.90	4.00	4.15	4.02	4.28
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	68	1	0	4	3	15	4.35	****/1510	3.89	3.97	4.13	3.91	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	3	1	0	0	7	8	74	4.75	224/1620	4.61	4.25	4.20	4.13	4.75
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	1	0	11	56	23	4.10	1497/1642	4.33	4.67	4.68	4.68	4.10
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	24	3	1	1	7	31	26	4.21	755/1596	4.06	4.17	4.12	4.07	4.21
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	1	3	14	73	4.75	542/1534	4.75	4.63	4.48	4.45	4.75
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	4	0	0	0	4	15	70	4.74	1009/1539	4.82	4.78	4.76	4.72	4.74
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	4	0	0	0	5	16	68	4.71	421/1531	4.65	4.30	4.33	4.30	4.71
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	4	1	1	1	1	16	69	4.72	502/1530	4.64	4.45	4.35	4.30	4.72
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	7	4	1	1	9	16	55	4.50	381/1409	4.48	4.14	4.08	3.97	4.50
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	43	0	2	2	8	10	28	4.20	757/1366	4.04	4.04	4.18	3.96	4.20
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	43	0	3	1	12	10	24	4.02	1003/1364	4.17	4.25	4.33	4.10	4.02
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	44	0	2	2	7	9	29	4.24	934/1361	4.41	4.33	4.39	4.17	4.24
4. Were special techniques successful	46	27	1	2	1	4	12	4.20	****/1019	3.79	4.13	4.09	3.97	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 102 200
Title:	Human Geography
Instructor:	Luna,Ronald W

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	176
Questionnaires:	93

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	79	9	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	****/185	****	4.33	4.23	4.19	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	82	0	0	0	3	2	6	4.27	****/209	****	3.90	4.19	4.18	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	82	5	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	****/181	****	4.68	4.53	4.68	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	83	3	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	****/183	****	4.56	4.46	4.50	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	83	3	0	0	1	0	6	4.71	****/172	****	4.46	4.14	4.22	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	79	5	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	****/72	****	4.89	4.53	4.35	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	81	4	0	0	1	0	7	4.75	****/71	****	4.61	4.38	4.21	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	82	5	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	****/68	****	4.51	4.41	4.22	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	82	5	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	****/71	****	4.42	4.40	4.19	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	82	4	0	0	1	0	6	4.71	****/73	****	4.23	4.09	3.85	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	84	0	1	1	1	0	6	4.00	****/48	****	3.96	4.16	3.97	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	85	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	****/45	****	3.79	4.19	3.97	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	85	1	0	0	1	0	6	4.71	****/30	****	4.83	4.57	4.58	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	85	2	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	****/27	****	4.13	4.25	4.37	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	85	1	0	0	1	0	6	4.71	****/25	****	4.00	4.35	4.63	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	85	0	0	0	1	0	7	4.75	****/51	****	4.31	4.03	4.19	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	85	2	0	0	1	0	5	4.67	****/31	****	4.48	4.18	4.46	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	85	0	0	0	1	1	6	4.63	****/36	****	4.25	4.33	4.38	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 102 200
Title:	Human Geography
Instructor:	Luna,Ronald W

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	176
Questionnaires:	93

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	85	3	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	****/19	****	4.24	4.17	4.29	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	85	3	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	****/14	****	4.07	4.17	4.35	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned		Cum. GPA		Expected Grades		Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	10	0.00-0.99	3	A	38	Required for Majors	5	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	5	1.00-1.99	0	B	35						
56-83	13	2.00-2.99	7	C	3	General	59	Under-grad	93	Non-major	93
84-150	5	3.00-3.49	11	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	18	F	0	Electives	10	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	17						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 110 01
Title:	Physical Geography
Instructor:	Baker,Matthew E

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	157
Questionnaires:	63

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	1	0	1	0	7	13	41	4.50	688/1644	4.36	4.43	4.32	4.16	4.50
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	2	6	14	40	4.48	666/1644	4.36	4.22	4.28	4.23	4.48
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	3	7	13	40	4.43	746/1419	4.28	4.29	4.35	4.25	4.43
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	17	1	4	5	11	24	4.18	996/1596	4.11	4.27	4.24	4.09	4.18
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	3	9	12	38	4.37	612/1535	4.21	4.00	4.15	4.02	4.37
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	34	2	2	4	8	12	3.93	1009/1510	3.93	3.97	4.13	3.91	3.93
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	2	7	12	42	4.49	542/1620	4.42	4.25	4.20	4.13	4.49
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	5	57	4.92	568/1642	4.91	4.67	4.68	4.68	4.92
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	9	2	0	0	8	17	27	4.37	565/1596	4.20	4.17	4.12	4.07	4.37
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	2	11	49	4.76	525/1534	4.72	4.63	4.48	4.45	4.76
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	5	57	4.92	548/1539	4.92	4.78	4.76	4.72	4.92
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	8	16	38	4.48	749/1531	4.47	4.30	4.33	4.30	4.48
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	1	6	7	48	4.65	594/1530	4.58	4.45	4.35	4.30	4.65
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	2	1	1	4	16	37	4.47	412/1409	4.44	4.14	4.08	3.97	4.47
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	33	0	3	0	5	10	12	3.93	918/1366	3.51	4.04	4.18	3.96	3.93
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	34	0	1	1	4	11	12	4.10	962/1364	3.62	4.25	4.33	4.10	4.10
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	34	0	1	1	3	9	15	4.24	934/1361	3.95	4.33	4.39	4.17	4.24
4. Were special techniques successful	34	14	3	0	1	3	8	3.87	****/1019	****	4.13	4.09	3.97	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 110 01
Title:	Physical Geography
Instructor:	Baker,Matthew E

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	157
Questionnaires:	63

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	60	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/185	****	4.33	4.23	4.19	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	60	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/209	****	3.90	4.19	4.18	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	60	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/181	****	4.68	4.53	4.68	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	61	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/183	****	4.56	4.46	4.50	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	61	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/172	****	4.46	4.14	4.22	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	9	0.00-0.99	2	A	13	Required for Majors	18	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	4	1.00-1.99	0	B	22						
56-83	3	2.00-2.99	4	C	12	General	25	Under-grad	63	Non-major	63
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	3	D	2						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	4	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	12						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 110 02
Title:	Physical Geography
Instructor:	Halverson,Jeffr

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	157
Questionnaires:	97

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	3	0	0	5	17	25	47	4.21	1017/1644	4.36	4.43	4.32	4.16	4.21
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	4	0	1	2	17	27	46	4.24	1008/1644	4.36	4.22	4.28	4.23	4.24
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	3	0	3	1	16	35	39	4.13	1040/1419	4.28	4.29	4.35	4.25	4.13
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	3	48	3	2	6	14	21	4.04	1107/1596	4.11	4.27	4.24	4.09	4.04
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	3	3	3	7	15	24	42	4.04	937/1535	4.21	4.00	4.15	4.02	4.04
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	6	65	3	1	3	7	12	3.92	1009/1510	3.93	3.97	4.13	3.91	3.92
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	3	0	3	4	10	18	59	4.34	766/1620	4.42	4.25	4.20	4.13	4.34
8. How many times was class cancelled	3	2	0	0	1	7	84	4.90	632/1642	4.91	4.67	4.68	4.68	4.90
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	24	2	1	2	9	40	19	4.04	944/1596	4.20	4.17	4.12	4.07	4.04
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	0	1	3	20	70	4.69	627/1534	4.72	4.63	4.48	4.45	4.69
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	4	0	0	0	1	5	87	4.92	487/1539	4.92	4.78	4.76	4.72	4.92
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	5	0	1	1	9	25	56	4.46	788/1531	4.47	4.30	4.33	4.30	4.46
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	1	10	21	60	4.52	732/1530	4.58	4.45	4.35	4.30	4.52
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	4	3	2	2	8	23	55	4.41	475/1409	4.44	4.14	4.08	3.97	4.41
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	55	0	12	3	8	7	12	3.10	1270/1366	3.51	4.04	4.18	3.96	3.10
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	54	0	7	9	9	7	11	3.14	1289/1364	3.62	4.25	4.33	4.10	3.14
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	55	0	2	7	10	7	16	3.67	1192/1361	3.95	4.33	4.39	4.17	3.67
4. Were special techniques successful	55	30	0	0	5	3	4	3.92	****/1019	****	4.13	4.09	3.97	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 110 02
Title:	Physical Geography
Instructor:	Halverson,Jeffr

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	157
Questionnaires:	97

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	93	1	0	0	1	1	1	4.00	****/185	****	4.33	4.23	4.19	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	94	0	1	0	1	0	1	3.00	****/209	****	3.90	4.19	4.18	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	94	1	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/181	****	4.68	4.53	4.68	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	94	1	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/183	****	4.56	4.46	4.50	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	94	1	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/172	****	4.46	4.14	4.22	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	95	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/72	****	4.89	4.53	4.35	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	94	1	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/71	****	4.61	4.38	4.21	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	94	1	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/68	****	4.51	4.41	4.22	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	94	1	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/71	****	4.42	4.40	4.19	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	94	1	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/73	****	4.23	4.09	3.85	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	94	0	1	0	0	1	1	3.33	****/48	****	3.96	4.16	3.97	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	94	0	1	0	0	1	1	3.33	****/45	****	3.79	4.19	3.97	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	94	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/30	****	4.83	4.57	4.58	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	95	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/27	****	4.13	4.25	4.37	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	94	1	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/25	****	4.00	4.35	4.63	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	93	0	0	1	1	0	2	3.75	****/51	****	4.31	4.03	4.19	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	93	0	0	1	0	1	2	4.00	****/31	****	4.48	4.18	4.46	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	93	1	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	****/36	****	4.25	4.33	4.38	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 110 02
Title:	Physical Geography
Instructor:	Halverson,Jeffr

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	157
Questionnaires:	97

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	93	0	1	0	1	0	2	3.50	****/19	****	4.24	4.17	4.29	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	93	0	1	0	1	0	2	3.50	****/14	****	4.07	4.17	4.35	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned		Cum. GPA		Expected Grades		Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	14	0.00-0.99	1	A	21	Required for Majors	21	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	8	1.00-1.99	2	B	37						
56-83	11	2.00-2.99	4	C	18	General	47	Under-grad	97	Non-major	96
84-150	9	3.00-3.49	5	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	15	F	0	Electives	8	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	2				
				?	21						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 120 01
Title:	Env Science/Conservation
Instructor:	Holland,Margare

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	182
Questionnaires:	76

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	1	0	3	1	25	25	21	3.80	1387/1644	3.80	4.43	4.32	4.16	3.80
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	3	20	29	23	3.96	1248/1644	3.96	4.22	4.28	4.23	3.96
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	5	19	25	26	3.96	1118/1419	3.96	4.29	4.35	4.25	3.96
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	12	1	6	18	19	19	3.78	1290/1596	3.78	4.27	4.24	4.09	3.78
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	1	6	9	19	22	18	3.50	1327/1535	3.50	4.00	4.15	4.02	3.50
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	20	6	5	19	17	8	3.29	1391/1510	3.29	3.97	4.13	3.91	3.29
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	3	3	19	20	30	3.95	1198/1620	3.95	4.25	4.20	4.13	3.95
8. How many times was class cancelled	3	0	0	0	0	26	47	4.64	1063/1642	4.64	4.67	4.68	4.68	4.64
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	11	0	2	3	21	26	13	3.69	1284/1596	3.69	4.17	4.12	4.07	3.69
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	0	2	8	15	48	4.49	904/1534	4.49	4.63	4.48	4.45	4.49
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	0	4	7	62	4.79	913/1539	4.79	4.78	4.76	4.72	4.79
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	4	0	0	2	13	22	35	4.25	990/1531	4.25	4.30	4.33	4.30	4.25
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	4	1	2	3	12	17	37	4.18	1057/1530	4.18	4.45	4.35	4.30	4.18
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	4	2	2	4	14	17	33	4.07	783/1409	4.07	4.14	4.08	3.97	4.07
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	35	0	5	3	16	6	11	3.37	1198/1366	3.37	4.04	4.18	3.96	3.37
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	35	0	4	4	8	9	16	3.71	1162/1364	3.71	4.25	4.33	4.10	3.71
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	35	0	0	2	6	10	23	4.32	891/1361	4.32	4.33	4.39	4.17	4.32
4. Were special techniques successful	36	14	6	1	9	4	6	3.12	955/1019	3.12	4.13	4.09	3.97	3.12

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 120 01
Title:	Env Science/Conservation
Instructor:	Holland,Margare

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	182
Questionnaires:	76

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	70	4	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	****/185	****	4.33	4.23	4.19	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	72	0	0	0	1	1	2	4.25	****/209	****	3.90	4.19	4.18	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	72	2	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/181	****	4.68	4.53	4.68	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	72	3	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/183	****	4.56	4.46	4.50	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	72	3	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/172	****	4.46	4.14	4.22	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	71	3	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/72	****	4.89	4.53	4.35	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	71	3	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/71	****	4.61	4.38	4.21	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	71	3	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	****/68	****	4.51	4.41	4.22	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	71	4	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/71	****	4.42	4.40	4.19	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	71	4	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/73	****	4.23	4.09	3.85	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	73	0	0	0	1	2	0	3.67	****/48	****	3.96	4.16	3.97	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	73	0	0	0	2	1	0	3.33	****/45	****	3.79	4.19	3.97	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	73	2	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/30	****	4.83	4.57	4.58	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	73	2	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/27	****	4.13	4.25	4.37	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	73	2	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/25	****	4.00	4.35	4.63	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	73	0	0	0	1	2	0	3.67	****/51	****	4.31	4.03	4.19	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	73	2	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/31	****	4.48	4.18	4.46	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	73	2	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/36	****	4.25	4.33	4.38	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 120 01
Title:	Env Science/Conservation
Instructor:	Holland,Margare

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	182
Questionnaires:	76

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	73	2	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/19	****	4.24	4.17	4.29	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	73	2	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/14	****	4.07	4.17	4.35	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	12	0.00-0.99 4	A 27	Required for Majors 20	Graduate 0
28-55	6	1.00-1.99 0	B 32		Major 1
56-83	4	2.00-2.99 0	C 8	General 35	Under-grad 76
84-150	1	3.00-3.49 7	D 0		Non-major 75
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00 10	F 0	Electives 5	
			P 0		
			I 0	Other 3	
			? 8		

**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 220 01
Title:	Env Sci Lab & Field Tech
Instructor:	Braunschweig,Su

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	22
Questionnaires:	17

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	1	3	7	6	4.06	1180/1644	4.06	4.43	4.32	4.36	4.06
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	4	7	6	4.12	1138/1644	4.12	4.22	4.28	4.35	4.12
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	10	6	4.29	908/1419	4.29	4.29	4.35	4.42	4.29
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	5	6	6	4.06	1102/1596	4.06	4.27	4.24	4.31	4.06
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	4	1	0	6	3	3	3.54	1309/1535	3.54	4.00	4.15	4.20	3.54
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	5	8	4	3.94	987/1510	3.94	3.97	4.13	4.17	3.94
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	2	10	5	4.18	994/1620	4.18	4.25	4.20	4.25	4.18
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	4	13	4.76	899/1642	4.76	4.67	4.68	4.67	4.76
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	2	12	1	3.93	1088/1596	3.93	4.17	4.12	4.13	3.93
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	2	5	8	4.40	1030/1534	4.40	4.63	4.48	4.51	4.40
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	1	4	10	4.60	1213/1539	4.60	4.78	4.76	4.80	4.60
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	5	3	7	4.13	1094/1531	4.13	4.30	4.33	4.38	4.13
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	2	2	5	5	3.93	1220/1530	3.93	4.45	4.35	4.41	3.93
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	3	2	0	1	4	5	2	3.67	1089/1409	3.67	4.14	4.08	4.23	3.67
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	16	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1366	****	4.04	4.18	4.24	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	16	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/1364	****	4.25	4.33	4.39	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	16	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1361	****	4.33	4.39	4.48	****
4. Were special techniques successful	16	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/1019	****	4.13	4.09	4.14	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 220 01
Title:	Env Sci Lab & Field Tech
Instructor:	Braunschweig,Su

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	22
Questionnaires:	17

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	3	1	0	0	2	4	7	4.38	87/185	4.38	4.33	4.23	4.42	4.38
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	3	0	0	0	1	4	9	4.57	69/209	4.57	3.90	4.19	4.45	4.57
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	3	0	0	0	1	2	11	4.71	67/181	4.71	4.68	4.53	4.67	4.71
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	3	0	0	1	1	5	7	4.29	135/183	4.29	4.56	4.46	4.64	4.29
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	3	0	0	1	2	4	7	4.21	87/172	4.21	4.46	4.14	4.50	4.21

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	A	4	Required for Majors	12	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	B	8						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	3	C	1	General	0	Under-grad	17	Non-major	17
84-150	4	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	3						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 286 100
Title:	Expl Env: Geo-Spat View
Instructor:	School,Joseph

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	60
Questionnaires:	48

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	1	0	0	1	4	12	30	4.51	675/1644	4.51	4.43	4.32	4.36	4.51
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	8	24	15	4.15	1105/1644	4.15	4.22	4.28	4.35	4.15
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	3	0	0	6	21	17	4.25	942/1419	4.25	4.29	4.35	4.42	4.25
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	9	0	1	7	13	17	4.21	952/1596	4.21	4.27	4.24	4.31	4.21
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	8	4	1	11	11	12	3.67	1235/1535	3.67	4.00	4.15	4.20	3.67
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	35	0	0	3	4	4	4.09	****/1510	****	3.97	4.13	4.17	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	3	0	0	1	9	14	21	4.22	938/1620	4.22	4.25	4.20	4.25	4.22
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	1	0	1	0	12	32	4.67	1038/1642	4.67	4.67	4.68	4.67	4.67
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	11	0	0	0	6	24	7	4.03	957/1596	4.03	4.17	4.12	4.13	4.03
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	6	17	24	4.38	1047/1534	4.38	4.63	4.48	4.51	4.38
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	5	6	35	4.65	1149/1539	4.65	4.78	4.76	4.80	4.65
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	1	8	19	18	4.17	1061/1531	4.17	4.30	4.33	4.38	4.17
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	3	0	0	12	10	21	4.21	1044/1530	4.21	4.45	4.35	4.41	4.21
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	9	1	3	9	10	14	3.89	929/1409	3.89	4.14	4.08	4.23	3.89
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	29	0	1	2	3	9	4	3.68	1089/1366	3.68	4.04	4.18	4.24	3.68
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	29	0	0	2	2	8	7	4.05	988/1364	4.05	4.25	4.33	4.39	4.05
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	30	0	0	0	2	7	9	4.39	834/1361	4.39	4.33	4.39	4.48	4.39
4. Were special techniques successful	30	14	0	1	2	0	1	3.25	****/1019	****	4.13	4.09	4.14	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 286 100
Title:	Expl Env: Geo-Spat View
Instructor:	School,Joseph

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	60
Questionnaires:	48

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	19	0	0	0	3	7	19	4.55	59/185	4.55	4.33	4.23	4.42	4.55
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	18	0	0	0	2	9	19	4.57	71/209	4.57	3.90	4.19	4.45	4.57
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	18	0	0	0	0	6	24	4.80	41/181	4.80	4.68	4.53	4.67	4.80
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	18	1	0	0	0	9	20	4.69	62/183	4.69	4.56	4.46	4.64	4.69
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	18	9	0	0	1	10	10	4.43	62/172	4.43	4.46	4.14	4.50	4.43
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	46	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/72	****	4.89	4.53	4.71	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	46	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/71	****	4.61	4.38	4.63	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	46	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/68	****	4.51	4.41	4.25	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	46	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/71	****	4.42	4.40	4.47	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	46	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/73	****	4.23	4.09	3.99	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	44	0	0	0	1	2	1	4.00	****/48	****	3.96	4.16	4.81	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	44	0	0	0	1	1	2	4.25	****/45	****	3.79	4.19	4.58	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	44	2	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/30	****	4.83	4.57	4.57	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	45	1	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/27	****	4.13	4.25	5.00	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	44	2	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/25	****	4.00	4.35	5.00	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	46	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/51	****	4.31	4.03	5.00	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	46	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/31	****	4.48	4.18	5.00	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	46	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/36	****	4.25	4.33	****	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 286 100
Title:	Expl Env: Geo-Spat View
Instructor:	School,Joseph

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	60
Questionnaires:	48

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	46	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/19	****	4.24	4.17	****	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	46	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/14	****	4.07	4.17	****	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	2	A	6	Required for Majors	17	Graduate	0	Major	8
28-55	3	1.00-1.99	0	B	25						
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	4	C	11	General	16	Under-grad	48	Non-major	40
84-150	9	3.00-3.49	5	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	9	F	0	Electives	4	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	5				
				?	4						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 302 01
Title:	Selected Topics In Geog
Instructor:	Halverson,Jeffr

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	40
Questionnaires:	27

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	1	0	0	1	1	7	17	4.54	650/1644	4.54	4.43	4.32	4.31	4.54
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	1	3	8	14	4.35	884/1644	4.35	4.22	4.28	4.25	4.35
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	4	8	14	4.38	800/1419	4.38	4.29	4.35	4.31	4.38
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	4	0	3	1	11	7	4.00	1129/1596	4.00	4.27	4.24	4.25	4.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	2	4	3	9	8	3.65	1241/1535	3.65	4.00	4.15	4.14	3.65
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	4	2	2	5	8	5	3.55	1242/1510	3.55	3.97	4.13	4.16	3.55
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	2	4	9	11	4.12	1048/1620	4.12	4.25	4.20	4.18	4.12
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	1	0	0	0	1	24	4.96	253/1642	4.96	4.67	4.68	4.65	4.96
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	8	0	0	0	2	11	6	4.21	755/1596	4.21	4.17	4.12	4.09	4.21
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	1	7	18	4.65	691/1534	4.65	4.63	4.48	4.44	4.65
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	1	25	4.96	244/1539	4.96	4.78	4.76	4.74	4.96
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	1	7	18	4.65	493/1531	4.65	4.30	4.33	4.30	4.65
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	1	0	0	6	19	4.62	631/1530	4.62	4.45	4.35	4.32	4.62
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	2	0	1	1	5	17	4.58	313/1409	4.58	4.14	4.08	4.09	4.58
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	19	0	0	0	0	3	5	4.63	405/1366	4.63	4.04	4.18	4.22	4.63
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	19	0	0	0	0	3	5	4.63	546/1364	4.63	4.25	4.33	4.37	4.63
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	19	0	0	0	0	3	5	4.63	595/1361	4.63	4.33	4.39	4.39	4.63

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 302 01
Title:	Selected Topics In Geog
Instructor:	Halverson,Jeffr

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	40
Questionnaires:	27

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	19	4	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	****/1019	****	4.13	4.09	4.04	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	A	8	Required for Majors	10	Graduate	0	Major	7
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	B	12						
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	2	C	1	General	2	Under-grad	27	Non-major	20
84-150	5	3.00-3.49	6	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	9				
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	5						

**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 305 01
Title:	Landscape Ecology
Instructor:	Ellis,Erle C

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	27
Questionnaires:	14

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	1	12	4.79	316/1644	4.79	4.43	4.32	4.31	4.79
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	1	0	1	1	11	4.50	633/1644	4.50	4.22	4.28	4.25	4.50
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	1	0	1	1	11	4.50	632/1419	4.50	4.29	4.35	4.31	4.50
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	6	0	0	2	1	5	4.38	759/1596	4.38	4.27	4.24	4.25	4.38
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	3	1	5	5	3.86	1104/1535	3.86	4.00	4.15	4.14	3.86
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	9	0	0	0	3	2	4.40	538/1510	4.40	3.97	4.13	4.16	4.40
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	1	2	11	4.71	274/1620	4.71	4.25	4.20	4.18	4.71
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	2	12	4.86	736/1642	4.86	4.67	4.68	4.65	4.86
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	1	4	7	4.50	382/1596	4.50	4.17	4.12	4.09	4.50
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	2	12	4.86	343/1534	4.86	4.63	4.48	4.44	4.86
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	14	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.78	4.76	4.74	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	2	3	9	4.50	724/1531	4.50	4.30	4.33	4.30	4.50
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	0	1	12	4.71	502/1530	4.71	4.45	4.35	4.32	4.71
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	1	0	1	1	4	7	4.31	579/1409	4.31	4.14	4.08	4.09	4.31
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	8	0	0	1	0	1	4	4.33	660/1366	4.33	4.04	4.18	4.22	4.33
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	8	0	0	0	1	0	5	4.67	500/1364	4.67	4.25	4.33	4.37	4.67
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	8	0	0	1	0	0	5	4.50	703/1361	4.50	4.33	4.39	4.39	4.50
4. Were special techniques successful	8	5	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1019	****	4.13	4.09	4.04	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 305 01
Title:	Landscape Ecology
Instructor:	Ellis,Erle C

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	27
Questionnaires:	14

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	12	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/185	****	4.33	4.23	4.16	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	13	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/209	****	3.90	4.19	4.18	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	13	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/181	****	4.68	4.53	4.49	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	13	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/183	****	4.56	4.46	4.38	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	12	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/172	****	4.46	4.14	4.07	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	13	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/72	****	4.89	4.53	4.68	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	13	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/71	****	4.61	4.38	4.61	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	13	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/71	****	4.42	4.40	4.51	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	13	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/48	****	3.96	4.16	4.95	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	13	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/45	****	3.79	4.19	4.95	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	13	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/30	****	4.83	4.57	4.93	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	13	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/27	****	4.13	4.25	4.90	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	13	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/25	****	4.00	4.35	4.90	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	13	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/51	****	4.31	4.03	4.75	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	13	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/31	****	4.48	4.18	4.80	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	13	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/36	****	4.25	4.33	4.83	****
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	13	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/19	****	4.24	4.17	4.20	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 305 01
Title:	Landscape Ecology
Instructor:	Ellis,Erle C

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	27
Questionnaires:	14

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	13	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/14	****	4.07	4.17	4.60	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	A	12	Required for Majors	9	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	1	B	2						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	3	C	0	General	0	Under-grad	14	Non-major	14
84-150	5	3.00-3.49	4	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	3	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 308 01
Title:	Ecology
Instructor:	O'Rourke,Cynthi

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	81
Questionnaires:	25

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	1	0	0	0	3	6	15	4.50	688/1644	4.50	4.43	4.32	4.31	4.50
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	1	9	14	4.54	583/1644	4.54	4.22	4.28	4.25	4.54
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	1	5	4	14	4.29	908/1419	4.29	4.29	4.35	4.31	4.29
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	11	1	0	0	7	5	4.15	1019/1596	4.15	4.27	4.24	4.25	4.15
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	2	1	2	5	5	9	3.86	1097/1535	3.86	4.00	4.15	4.14	3.86
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	15	1	1	2	1	4	3.67	1182/1510	3.67	3.97	4.13	4.16	3.67
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	1	0	0	3	4	16	4.57	449/1620	4.57	4.25	4.20	4.18	4.57
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	1	23	4.96	316/1642	4.96	4.67	4.68	4.65	4.96
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	6	0	0	0	5	12	2	3.84	1177/1596	3.84	4.17	4.12	4.09	3.84
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	1	5	17	4.70	627/1534	4.70	4.63	4.48	4.44	4.70
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	6	17	4.74	1028/1539	4.74	4.78	4.76	4.74	4.74
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	3	5	15	4.52	692/1531	4.52	4.30	4.33	4.30	4.52
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	2	3	18	4.70	531/1530	4.70	4.45	4.35	4.32	4.70
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	3	0	2	2	3	13	4.35	532/1409	4.35	4.14	4.08	4.09	4.35
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	18	0	2	1	1	1	2	3.00	1279/1366	3.00	4.04	4.18	4.22	3.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	18	0	1	2	1	1	2	3.14	1287/1364	3.14	4.25	4.33	4.37	3.14
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	18	0	1	2	1	1	2	3.14	1300/1361	3.14	4.33	4.39	4.39	3.14

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 308 01
Title:	Ecology
Instructor:	O'Rourke,Cynthi

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	81
Questionnaires:	25

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	17	7	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1019	****	4.13	4.09	4.04	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	A	8	Required for Majors	16	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	B	10						
56-83	3	2.00-2.99	4	C	5	General	2	Under-grad	25	Non-major	24
84-150	6	3.00-3.49	7	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	4	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 311 01
Title:	Weather And Climate
Instructor:	Tokay,Ali

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	40
Questionnaires:	22

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	3	7	12	4.41	814/1644	4.41	4.43	4.32	4.31	4.41
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	1	4	3	14	4.36	856/1644	4.36	4.22	4.28	4.25	4.36
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	1	0	1	8	12	4.36	825/1419	4.36	4.29	4.35	4.31	4.36
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	6	0	0	2	3	11	4.56	489/1596	4.56	4.27	4.24	4.25	4.56
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	10	0	1	1	2	8	4.42	564/1535	4.42	4.00	4.15	4.14	4.42
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	11	0	1	0	4	6	4.36	590/1510	4.36	3.97	4.13	4.16	4.36
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	2	3	17	4.68	309/1620	4.68	4.25	4.20	4.18	4.68
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	3	19	4.86	715/1642	4.86	4.67	4.68	4.65	4.86
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	2	10	8	4.30	642/1596	4.30	4.17	4.12	4.09	4.30
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	3	18	4.86	343/1534	4.86	4.63	4.48	4.44	4.86
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	21	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.78	4.76	4.74	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	4	5	12	4.38	870/1531	4.38	4.30	4.33	4.30	4.38
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	3	18	4.86	279/1530	4.86	4.45	4.35	4.32	4.86
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	3	0	1	1	5	11	4.44	444/1409	4.44	4.14	4.08	4.09	4.44
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	16	0	1	0	0	2	3	4.00	862/1366	4.00	4.04	4.18	4.22	4.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	17	0	0	0	1	0	4	4.60	****/1364	****	4.25	4.33	4.37	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	17	0	0	0	1	0	4	4.60	****/1361	****	4.33	4.39	4.39	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 311 01
Title:	Weather And Climate
Instructor:	Tokay,Ali

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	40
Questionnaires:	22

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	17	4	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/1019	****	4.13	4.09	4.04	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	A	4	Required for Majors	10	Graduate	0	Major	2
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	B	7						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	3	C	8	General	2	Under-grad	22	Non-major	20
84-150	4	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	4	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	2				
				?	3						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 313 01
Title:	Biogeography
Instructor:	Studds, Colin E.

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	40
Questionnaires:	26

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	1	0	0	0	2	10	13	4.44	763/1644	4.44	4.43	4.32	4.31	4.44
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	1	1	16	7	4.16	1082/1644	4.16	4.22	4.28	4.25	4.16
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	1	0	8	16	4.56	578/1419	4.56	4.29	4.35	4.31	4.56
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	1	10	14	4.52	541/1596	4.52	4.27	4.24	4.25	4.52
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	3	2	7	7	6	3.44	1356/1535	3.44	4.00	4.15	4.14	3.44
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	0	1	1	5	10	8	3.92	1009/1510	3.92	3.97	4.13	4.16	3.92
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	0	4	5	16	4.48	558/1620	4.48	4.25	4.20	4.18	4.48
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	1	21	3	4.08	1500/1642	4.08	4.67	4.68	4.65	4.08
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	1	0	1	6	9	5	3.86	1171/1596	3.86	4.17	4.12	4.09	3.86
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	1	6	18	4.68	643/1534	4.68	4.63	4.48	4.44	4.68
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	5	20	4.80	894/1539	4.80	4.78	4.76	4.74	4.80
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	3	6	16	4.52	692/1531	4.52	4.30	4.33	4.30	4.52
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	1	6	18	4.68	544/1530	4.68	4.45	4.35	4.32	4.68
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	2	0	1	4	6	11	4.23	655/1409	4.23	4.14	4.08	4.09	4.23
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	22	0	0	2	0	0	2	3.50	****/1366	****	4.04	4.18	4.22	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	22	0	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	****/1364	****	4.25	4.33	4.37	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	23	0	0	0	0	2	1	4.33	****/1361	****	4.33	4.39	4.39	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 313 01
Title:	Biogeography
Instructor:	Studds, Colin E.

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	40
Questionnaires:	26

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	22	0	0	0	2	1	1	3.75	****/1019	****	4.13	4.09	4.04	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	A	8	Required for Majors	10	Graduate	0	Major	4
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	B	9						
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	4	C	4	General	0	Under-grad	26	Non-major	22
84-150	6	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	9				
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	3				
				?	4						

**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 329 01
Title:	Geog Of Disease & Health
Instructor:	Biehler,Dawn

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	40
Questionnaires:	27

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	1	0	0	0	1	5	20	4.73	386/1644	4.73	4.43	4.32	4.31	4.73
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	2	3	21	4.73	331/1644	4.73	4.22	4.28	4.25	4.73
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	1	0	1	3	21	4.65	476/1419	4.65	4.29	4.35	4.31	4.65
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	1	5	20	4.73	273/1596	4.73	4.27	4.24	4.25	4.73
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	1	0	3	4	18	4.46	496/1535	4.46	4.00	4.15	4.14	4.46
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	2	6	17	4.60	330/1510	4.60	3.97	4.13	4.16	4.60
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	0	0	1	1	5	18	4.60	397/1620	4.60	4.25	4.20	4.18	4.60
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	18	8	4.31	1369/1642	4.31	4.67	4.68	4.65	4.31
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	5	1	0	0	2	8	11	4.43	488/1596	4.43	4.17	4.12	4.09	4.43
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	1	1	23	4.88	286/1534	4.88	4.63	4.48	4.44	4.88
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	2	23	4.92	487/1539	4.92	4.78	4.76	4.74	4.92
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	0	4	21	4.84	229/1531	4.84	4.30	4.33	4.30	4.84
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	4	21	4.84	294/1530	4.84	4.45	4.35	4.32	4.84
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	0	0	0	1	7	17	4.64	262/1409	4.64	4.14	4.08	4.09	4.64
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	15	0	0	0	1	1	10	4.75	284/1366	4.75	4.04	4.18	4.22	4.75
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	15	0	0	0	2	0	10	4.67	500/1364	4.67	4.25	4.33	4.37	4.67
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	15	0	0	0	2	0	10	4.67	549/1361	4.67	4.33	4.39	4.39	4.67
4. Were special techniques successful	16	0	1	0	2	0	8	4.27	418/1019	4.27	4.13	4.09	4.04	4.27

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 329 01
Title:	Geog Of Disease & Health
Instructor:	Biehler,Dawn

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	40
Questionnaires:	27

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	26	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/185	****	4.33	4.23	4.16	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	26	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/209	****	3.90	4.19	4.18	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	26	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/181	****	4.68	4.53	4.49	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	26	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/183	****	4.56	4.46	4.38	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	26	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/172	****	4.46	4.14	4.07	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	26	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/72	****	4.89	4.53	4.68	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	26	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/71	****	4.61	4.38	4.61	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	26	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/68	****	4.51	4.41	4.59	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	26	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/71	****	4.42	4.40	4.51	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	26	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/73	****	4.23	4.09	4.57	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	26	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/48	****	3.96	4.16	4.95	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	26	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/45	****	3.79	4.19	4.95	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	26	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/30	****	4.83	4.57	4.93	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	26	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/27	****	4.13	4.25	4.90	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	26	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/25	****	4.00	4.35	4.90	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	26	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/51	****	4.31	4.03	4.75	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	26	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/31	****	4.48	4.18	4.80	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	26	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/36	****	4.25	4.33	4.83	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 329 01
Title:	Geog Of Disease & Health
Instructor:	Biehler,Dawn

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	40
Questionnaires:	27

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	26	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/19	****	4.24	4.17	4.20	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	26	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/14	****	4.07	4.17	4.60	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	0	0.00-0.99 0	A 6	Required for Majors 8	Graduate 0 Major 5
28-55	0	1.00-1.99 0	B 14		
56-83	1	2.00-2.99 2	C 4	General 4	Under-grad 27 Non-major 22
84-150	5	3.00-3.49 6	D 0		
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00 4	F 0	Electives 8	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant
			P 0		
			I 0	Other 0	
			? 2		

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 337 01
Title:	Natural Resource Mgmt
Instructor:	Holland,Margare

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	40
Questionnaires:	21

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	5	8	8	4.14	1096/1644	4.14	4.43	4.32	4.31	4.14
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	1	3	8	9	4.19	1049/1644	4.19	4.22	4.28	4.25	4.19
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	3	6	12	4.43	746/1419	4.43	4.29	4.35	4.31	4.43
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	2	7	11	4.45	642/1596	4.45	4.27	4.24	4.25	4.45
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	5	8	7	4.00	970/1535	4.00	4.00	4.15	4.14	4.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	3	6	11	4.29	691/1510	4.29	3.97	4.13	4.16	4.29
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	1	1	5	14	4.52	501/1620	4.52	4.25	4.20	4.18	4.52
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	5	16	4.76	899/1642	4.76	4.67	4.68	4.65	4.76
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	0	0	4	9	5	4.06	938/1596	4.06	4.17	4.12	4.09	4.06
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	1	6	14	4.62	755/1534	4.62	4.63	4.48	4.44	4.62
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	1	0	20	4.90	609/1539	4.90	4.78	4.76	4.74	4.90
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	2	8	11	4.43	826/1531	4.43	4.30	4.33	4.30	4.43
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	3	10	8	4.24	1020/1530	4.24	4.45	4.35	4.32	4.24
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	0	2	12	6	4.20	675/1409	4.20	4.14	4.08	4.09	4.20
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	12	0	0	0	0	3	6	4.67	368/1366	4.67	4.04	4.18	4.22	4.67
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	12	0	0	0	1	1	7	4.67	500/1364	4.67	4.25	4.33	4.37	4.67
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	12	0	0	0	1	0	8	4.78	416/1361	4.78	4.33	4.39	4.39	4.78

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 337 01
Title:	Natural Resource Mgmt
Instructor:	Holland,Margare

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	40
Questionnaires:	21

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	13	0	1	0	1	0	6	4.25	431/1019	4.25	4.13	4.09	4.04	4.25

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	A	14	Required for Majors	7	Graduate	0	Major	3
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	B	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	1	C	2	General	2	Under-grad	21	Non-major	18
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	2	D	1						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	6	F	0	Electives	6	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	3						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 341 01
Title:	Urban Geography
Instructor:	Neff,Robert

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	25
Questionnaires:	22

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	1	2	7	12	4.36	857/1644	4.36	4.43	4.32	4.31	4.36
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	2	1	4	9	6	3.73	1400/1644	3.73	4.22	4.28	4.25	3.73
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	3	1	6	5	7	3.55	1289/1419	3.55	4.29	4.35	4.31	3.55
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	1	2	5	5	9	3.86	1236/1596	3.86	4.27	4.24	4.25	3.86
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	3	6	4	9	3.86	1097/1535	3.86	4.00	4.15	4.14	3.86
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	6	1	3	5	1	6	3.50	1261/1510	3.50	3.97	4.13	4.16	3.50
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	2	4	4	12	4.18	985/1620	4.18	4.25	4.20	4.18	4.18
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	15	7	4.32	1360/1642	4.32	4.67	4.68	4.65	4.32
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	7	1	1	0	4	8	1	3.57	1353/1596	3.57	4.17	4.12	4.09	3.57
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	2	0	5	15	4.50	891/1534	4.50	4.63	4.48	4.44	4.50
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	1	1	4	16	4.59	1221/1539	4.59	4.78	4.76	4.74	4.59
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	2	2	8	10	4.18	1053/1531	4.18	4.30	4.33	4.30	4.18
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	2	1	4	14	4.27	988/1530	4.27	4.45	4.35	4.32	4.27
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	1	3	0	5	5	8	3.71	1057/1409	3.71	4.14	4.08	4.09	3.71
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	9	0	1	1	6	1	4	3.46	1163/1366	3.46	4.04	4.18	4.22	3.46
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	9	0	1	0	5	2	5	3.77	1138/1364	3.77	4.25	4.33	4.37	3.77
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	9	0	0	1	2	5	5	4.08	1013/1361	4.08	4.33	4.39	4.39	4.08
4. Were special techniques successful	9	2	1	0	2	5	3	3.82	719/1019	3.82	4.13	4.09	4.04	3.82

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 341 01
Title:	Urban Geography
Instructor:	Neff,Robert

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	25
Questionnaires:	22

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	17	0	0	0	1	1	3	4.40	****/48	****	3.96	4.16	4.95	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	17	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	****/45	****	3.79	4.19	4.95	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	18	1	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/30	****	4.83	4.57	4.93	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	18	0	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	****/27	****	4.13	4.25	4.90	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	19	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/25	****	4.00	4.35	4.90	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	A	2	Required for Majors	11	Graduate	0	Major	5
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	B	11						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	1	C	4	General	4	Under-grad	22	Non-major	17
84-150	4	3.00-3.49	3	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	4	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	4						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 363 01
Title:	World Regions: Cont Iss
Instructor:	Steele,Christop

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	35
Questionnaires:	22

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	1	0	0	0	3	9	9	4.29	943/1644	4.29	4.43	4.32	4.31	4.29
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	4	4	13	4.43	768/1644	4.43	4.22	4.28	4.25	4.43
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	7	4	10	4.14	1026/1419	4.14	4.29	4.35	4.31	4.14
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	3	5	13	4.48	612/1596	4.48	4.27	4.24	4.25	4.48
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	1	2	7	11	4.33	658/1535	4.33	4.00	4.15	4.14	4.33
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	1	0	1	3	6	10	4.25	727/1510	4.25	3.97	4.13	4.16	4.25
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	0	3	7	11	4.38	712/1620	4.38	4.25	4.20	4.18	4.38
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	3	18	4.86	736/1642	4.86	4.67	4.68	4.65	4.86
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	5	0	0	1	2	11	3	3.94	1071/1596	3.94	4.17	4.12	4.09	3.94
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	1	6	14	4.62	755/1534	4.62	4.63	4.48	4.44	4.62
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	1	2	18	4.81	894/1539	4.81	4.78	4.76	4.74	4.81
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	5	5	11	4.29	962/1531	4.29	4.30	4.33	4.30	4.29
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	1	3	5	12	4.33	940/1530	4.33	4.45	4.35	4.32	4.33
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	0	3	4	14	4.52	364/1409	4.52	4.14	4.08	4.09	4.52
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	13	0	0	0	3	2	4	4.11	826/1366	4.11	4.04	4.18	4.22	4.11
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	13	0	0	1	2	1	5	4.11	956/1364	4.11	4.25	4.33	4.37	4.11
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	13	0	0	0	3	1	5	4.22	946/1361	4.22	4.33	4.39	4.39	4.22
4. Were special techniques successful	13	3	0	1	1	0	4	4.17	492/1019	4.17	4.13	4.09	4.04	4.17

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 363 01
Title:	World Regions: Cont Iss
Instructor:	Steele,Christop

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	35
Questionnaires:	22

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	21	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/209	****	3.90	4.19	4.18	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	21	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/72	****	4.89	4.53	4.68	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	21	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/71	****	4.61	4.38	4.61	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	21	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/68	****	4.51	4.41	4.59	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	21	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/71	****	4.42	4.40	4.51	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	21	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/73	****	4.23	4.09	4.57	****
Field Work														
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	21	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/30	****	4.83	4.57	4.93	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	21	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/27	****	4.13	4.25	4.90	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	21	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/25	****	4.00	4.35	4.90	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	21	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/51	****	4.31	4.03	4.75	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	21	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/31	****	4.48	4.18	4.80	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	21	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/36	****	4.25	4.33	4.83	****
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	21	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/19	****	4.24	4.17	4.20	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 363 01
Title:	World Regions: Cont Iss
Instructor:	Steele,Christop

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	35
Questionnaires:	22

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	21	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/14	****	4.07	4.17	4.60	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	A	8	Required for Majors	11	Graduate	0	Major	6
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	0	B	6						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	1	C	5	General	1	Under-grad	22	Non-major	16
84-150	5	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	5	F	0	Electives	6	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	2						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 381 01
Title:	Remote Sensing
Instructor:	Tang,Junmei

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	26
Questionnaires:	18

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	1	0	4	4	9	4.11	1130/1644	4.11	4.43	4.32	4.31	4.11
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	2	2	5	4	5	3.44	1517/1644	3.44	4.22	4.28	4.25	3.44
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	1	2	1	3	5	6	3.71	1228/1419	3.71	4.29	4.35	4.31	3.71
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	1	5	5	6	3.94	1180/1596	3.94	4.27	4.24	4.25	3.94
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	5	2	4	3	4	2.94	1487/1535	2.94	4.00	4.15	4.14	2.94
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	6	1	1	1	7	2	3.67	1182/1510	3.67	3.97	4.13	4.16	3.67
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	1	1	7	9	4.33	779/1620	4.33	4.25	4.20	4.18	4.33
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	17	4.94	379/1642	4.94	4.67	4.68	4.65	4.94
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	5	1	0	2	1	9	0	3.58	1348/1596	3.58	4.17	4.12	4.09	3.58
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	1	4	13	4.67	675/1534	4.67	4.63	4.48	4.44	4.67
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	4	14	4.78	951/1539	4.78	4.78	4.76	4.74	4.78
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	3	4	5	6	3.78	1305/1531	3.78	4.30	4.33	4.30	3.78
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	3	2	0	3	10	3.83	1265/1530	3.83	4.45	4.35	4.32	3.83
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	6	0	3	1	3	3	3.60	1126/1409	3.60	4.14	4.08	4.09	3.60
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	12	0	1	0	0	2	3	4.00	862/1366	4.00	4.04	4.18	4.22	4.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	12	0	0	0	3	0	3	4.00	1014/1364	4.00	4.25	4.33	4.37	4.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	12	0	1	0	0	1	4	4.17	976/1361	4.17	4.33	4.39	4.39	4.17
4. Were special techniques successful	12	4	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/1019	****	4.13	4.09	4.04	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 381 01
Title:	Remote Sensing
Instructor:	Tang,Junmei

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	26
Questionnaires:	18

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	8	0	1	1	1	2	5	3.90	137/185	3.90	4.33	4.23	4.16	3.90
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	8	0	0	0	3	2	5	4.20	126/209	4.20	3.90	4.19	4.18	4.20
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	8	0	0	0	1	3	6	4.50	121/181	4.50	4.68	4.53	4.49	4.50
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	8	1	0	0	0	3	6	4.67	65/183	4.67	4.56	4.46	4.38	4.67
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	8	1	0	1	0	3	5	4.33	77/172	4.33	4.46	4.14	4.07	4.33
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	16	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/72	****	4.89	4.53	4.68	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	16	0	0	1	0	0	1	3.50	****/71	****	4.61	4.38	4.61	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	16	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/68	****	4.51	4.41	4.59	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	16	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/71	****	4.42	4.40	4.51	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	17	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/73	****	4.23	4.09	4.57	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	16	0	0	0	2	0	0	3.00	****/48	****	3.96	4.16	4.95	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	16	0	0	0	1	1	0	3.50	****/45	****	3.79	4.19	4.95	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	16	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/30	****	4.83	4.57	4.93	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	16	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/27	****	4.13	4.25	4.90	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	16	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/25	****	4.00	4.35	4.90	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	16	0	0	0	2	0	0	3.00	****/51	****	4.31	4.03	4.75	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	16	0	1	0	0	1	0	2.50	****/31	****	4.48	4.18	4.80	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	16	0	0	1	1	0	0	2.50	****/36	****	4.25	4.33	4.83	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 381 01
Title:	Remote Sensing
Instructor:	Tang,Junmei

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	26
Questionnaires:	18

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	16	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/19	****	4.24	4.17	4.20	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	A	6	Required for Majors	16	Graduate	0	Major	2
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	B	8						
56-83	4	2.00-2.99	0	C	3	General	0	Under-grad	18	Non-major	16
84-150	4	3.00-3.49	5	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	6	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 386 01
Title:	Intro Geog Info Systems
Instructor:	School,Joseph

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	22
Questionnaires:	15

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	2	3	10	4.53	650/1644	4.53	4.43	4.32	4.31	4.53
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	1	1	4	9	4.40	802/1644	4.40	4.22	4.28	4.25	4.40
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	4	9	4.47	689/1419	4.47	4.29	4.35	4.31	4.47
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	0	0	5	8	4.62	423/1596	4.62	4.27	4.24	4.25	4.62
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	2	3	1	3	1	5	3.31	1405/1535	3.31	4.00	4.15	4.14	3.31
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	8	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	119/1510	4.86	3.97	4.13	4.16	4.86
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	1	6	8	4.47	590/1620	4.47	4.25	4.20	4.18	4.47
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	2	13	4.87	715/1642	4.87	4.67	4.68	4.65	4.87
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	2	4	7	4.38	540/1596	4.38	4.17	4.12	4.09	4.38
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	1	3	11	4.67	675/1534	4.67	4.63	4.48	4.44	4.67
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	2	13	4.87	723/1539	4.87	4.78	4.76	4.74	4.87
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	2	5	8	4.40	852/1531	4.40	4.30	4.33	4.30	4.40
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	2	3	3	7	4.00	1163/1530	4.00	4.45	4.35	4.32	4.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	3	0	0	1	4	7	4.50	381/1409	4.50	4.14	4.08	4.09	4.50
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	13	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1366	****	4.04	4.18	4.22	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	12	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/1364	****	4.25	4.33	4.37	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	12	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/1361	****	4.33	4.39	4.39	****
4. Were special techniques successful	12	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1019	****	4.13	4.09	4.04	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 386 01
Title:	Intro Geog Info Systems
Instructor:	School,Joseph

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	22
Questionnaires:	15

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	10	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	34/185	4.80	4.33	4.23	4.16	4.80
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	10	0	0	0	1	2	2	4.20	126/209	4.20	3.90	4.19	4.18	4.20
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	10	1	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/181	5.00	4.68	4.53	4.49	5.00
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	10	0	0	0	2	1	2	4.00	157/183	4.00	4.56	4.46	4.38	4.00
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	10	2	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/172	****	4.46	4.14	4.07	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades		Reasons	Type	Majors					
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	A	5	Required for Majors	11	Graduate	0	Major	2
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	B	7						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	2	C	2	General	0	Under-grad	15	Non-major	13
84-150	5	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	2	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 400 01
Title:	Selected Topics In Geog
Instructor:	Weissberger,Eri

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	21
Questionnaires:	10

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	1	0	0	0	0	2	7	4.78	330/1644	4.78	4.43	4.32	4.47	4.78
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	4	1	5	4.10	1150/1644	4.10	4.22	4.28	4.35	4.10
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	3	5	4.30	900/1419	4.30	4.29	4.35	4.48	4.30
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	2	6	4.40	717/1596	4.40	4.27	4.24	4.34	4.40
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	3	3	3	3.80	1141/1535	3.80	4.00	4.15	4.26	3.80
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	2	2	6	4.40	538/1510	4.40	3.97	4.13	4.29	4.40
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	2	2	1	5	3.90	1241/1620	3.90	4.25	4.20	4.25	3.90
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	1	4	5	4.40	1286/1642	4.40	4.67	4.68	4.67	4.40
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	0	7	1	4.13	877/1596	4.13	4.17	4.12	4.20	4.13
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	1	0	2	6	4.44	974/1534	4.44	4.63	4.48	4.54	4.44
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	2	7	4.78	951/1539	4.78	4.78	4.76	4.81	4.78
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	1	4	4	4.33	916/1531	4.33	4.30	4.33	4.38	4.33
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	1	2	6	4.56	699/1530	4.56	4.45	4.35	4.41	4.56
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	0	4	2	3	3.89	936/1409	3.89	4.14	4.08	4.15	3.89
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	8	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1366	****	4.04	4.18	4.37	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	8	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1364	****	4.25	4.33	4.52	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	8	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1361	****	4.33	4.39	4.59	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 400 01
Title:	Selected Topics In Geog
Instructor:	Weissberger,Eri

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	21
Questionnaires:	10

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	8	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1019	****	4.13	4.09	4.32	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	0	0.00-0.99 0	A 1	Required for Majors 7	Graduate 1 Major 1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99 0	B 5		
56-83	0	2.00-2.99 2	C 1	General 1	Under-grad 9 Non-major 9
84-150	4	3.00-3.49 1	D 0		
Grad.	1	3.50-4.00 3	F 0	Electives 1	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant
			P 0		
			I 0	Other 0	
			? 3		

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 404 01
Title:	Forest Ecology
Instructor:	Baker,Matthew E

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	15
Questionnaires:	12

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	1	10	4.75	358/1644	4.75	4.43	4.32	4.47	4.75
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	2	9	4.67	428/1644	4.67	4.22	4.28	4.35	4.67
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	3	9	4.75	337/1419	4.75	4.29	4.35	4.48	4.75
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	4	0	0	1	1	6	4.63	410/1596	4.63	4.27	4.24	4.34	4.63
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	2	5	5	4.25	737/1535	4.25	4.00	4.15	4.26	4.25
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	8	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	196/1510	4.75	3.97	4.13	4.29	4.75
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	1	3	8	4.58	423/1620	4.58	4.25	4.20	4.25	4.58
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	1	0	0	0	6	5	4.45	1244/1642	4.45	4.67	4.68	4.67	4.45
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	5	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	119/1596	4.86	4.17	4.12	4.20	4.86
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	4	8	4.67	675/1534	4.67	4.63	4.48	4.54	4.67
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.78	4.76	4.81	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	3	9	4.75	348/1531	4.75	4.30	4.33	4.38	4.75
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	2	10	4.83	309/1530	4.83	4.45	4.35	4.41	4.83
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	2	0	0	2	2	4	4.25	627/1409	4.25	4.14	4.08	4.15	4.25
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	11	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1366	****	4.04	4.18	4.37	****
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	3	0	0	0	0	2	7	4.78	37/185	4.78	4.33	4.23	4.60	4.78
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	3	0	0	0	1	3	5	4.44	91/209	4.44	3.90	4.19	4.27	4.44
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	3	0	0	0	0	2	7	4.78	49/181	4.78	4.68	4.53	4.31	4.78

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 404 01
Title:	Forest Ecology
Instructor:	Baker,Matthew E

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	15
Questionnaires:	12

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	3	0	0	0	1	2	6	4.56	84/183	4.56	4.56	4.46	4.63	4.56
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	3	0	0	0	1	2	6	4.56	43/172	4.56	4.46	4.14	4.02	4.56
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	11	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/72	****	4.89	4.53	4.71	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	11	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/71	****	4.61	4.38	4.66	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	11	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/71	****	4.42	4.40	4.50	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	11	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/73	****	4.23	4.09	4.32	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	10	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/48	****	3.96	4.16	4.39	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	10	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/45	****	3.79	4.19	4.23	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	10	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/30	****	4.83	4.57	4.82	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	10	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/27	****	4.13	4.25	4.42	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	10	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/25	****	4.00	4.35	4.36	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	A	4	Required for Majors	5	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	B	2						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	2	C	2	General	0	Under-grad	12	Non-major	12
84-150	4	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	0				

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

? 4

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 408 01
Title:	Field Ecology
Instructor:	Swan,Christophe

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	19
Questionnaires:	15

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	2	0	6	7	4.20	1028/1644	4.20	4.43	4.32	4.47	4.20
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	1	1	3	10	4.47	700/1644	4.47	4.22	4.28	4.35	4.47
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	3	10	4.53	605/1419	4.53	4.29	4.35	4.48	4.53
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	0	1	8	4	4.23	931/1596	4.23	4.27	4.24	4.34	4.23
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	8	1	0	2	4	0	3.29	1411/1535	3.29	4.00	4.15	4.26	3.29
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	6	0	0	2	5	2	4.00	921/1510	4.00	3.97	4.13	4.29	4.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	3	3	9	4.40	685/1620	4.40	4.25	4.20	4.25	4.40
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	7	8	4.53	1176/1642	4.53	4.67	4.68	4.67	4.53
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	1	0	0	2	7	3	4.08	918/1596	4.08	4.17	4.12	4.20	4.08
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	1	4	10	4.60	772/1534	4.60	4.63	4.48	4.54	4.60
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	2	3	10	4.53	1272/1539	4.53	4.78	4.76	4.81	4.53
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	1	0	1	8	5	4.07	1136/1531	4.07	4.30	4.33	4.38	4.07
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	2	3	4	6	3.93	1213/1530	3.93	4.45	4.35	4.41	3.93
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	6	0	0	2	3	4	4.22	655/1409	4.22	4.14	4.08	4.15	4.22
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	11	0	0	0	1	1	2	4.25	721/1366	4.25	4.04	4.18	4.37	4.25
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	10	0	0	0	2	1	2	4.00	1014/1364	4.00	4.25	4.33	4.52	4.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	10	0	0	0	2	2	1	3.80	1139/1361	3.80	4.33	4.39	4.59	3.80
4. Were special techniques successful	10	0	0	0	0	3	2	4.40	339/1019	4.40	4.13	4.09	4.32	4.40

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 408 01
Title:	Field Ecology
Instructor:	Swan,Christophe

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	19
Questionnaires:	15

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	2	1	0	0	1	3	8	4.58	54/185	4.58	4.33	4.23	4.60	4.58
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	2	0	0	0	1	4	8	4.54	76/209	4.54	3.90	4.19	4.27	4.54
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	2	0	0	0	1	1	11	4.77	52/181	4.77	4.68	4.53	4.31	4.77
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	2	0	0	0	0	6	7	4.54	88/183	4.54	4.56	4.46	4.63	4.54
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	2	4	1	0	1	1	6	4.22	87/172	4.22	4.46	4.14	4.02	4.22
Seminar														
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	14	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/71	****	4.61	4.38	4.66	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	1	1	1	6	4.33	30/48	4.33	3.96	4.16	4.39	4.33
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	8	0	0	0	0	2	5	4.71	18/45	4.71	3.79	4.19	4.23	4.71
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	8	5	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/30	****	4.83	4.57	4.82	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	8	4	0	0	1	2	0	3.67	****/27	****	4.13	4.25	4.42	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 408 01
Title:	Field Ecology
Instructor:	Swan,Christophe

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	19
Questionnaires:	15

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Field Work														
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	8	5	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/25	****	4.00	4.35	4.36	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	A	8	Required for Majors	10	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	B	5						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	3	C	0	General	1	Under-grad	15	Non-major	15
84-150	5	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	3	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 411 01
Title:	Fluvial Morphology
Instructor:	Miller,Andrew J

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	7
Questionnaires:	4

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	358/1644	4.75	4.43	4.32	4.47	4.75
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	1	1	3.75	1385/1644	3.75	4.22	4.28	4.35	3.75
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	4.25	942/1419	4.25	4.29	4.35	4.48	4.25
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	1	0	1	2	4.00	1129/1596	4.00	4.27	4.24	4.34	4.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	442/1535	4.50	4.00	4.15	4.26	4.50
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	629/1510	4.33	3.97	4.13	4.29	4.33
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	527/1620	4.50	4.25	4.20	4.25	4.50
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	914/1642	4.75	4.67	4.68	4.67	4.75
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	1	2	1	4.00	971/1596	4.00	4.17	4.12	4.20	4.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	525/1534	4.75	4.63	4.48	4.54	4.75
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	990/1539	4.75	4.78	4.76	4.81	4.75
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	1	2	1	4.00	1163/1531	4.00	4.30	4.33	4.38	4.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	437/1530	4.75	4.45	4.35	4.41	4.75
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	1	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	245/1409	4.67	4.14	4.08	4.15	4.67
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	492/1366	4.50	4.04	4.18	4.37	4.50
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1364	5.00	4.25	4.33	4.52	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1361	5.00	4.33	4.39	4.59	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	2	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	559/1019	4.00	4.13	4.09	4.32	4.00

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 411 01
Title:	Fluvial Morphology
Instructor:	Miller, Andrew J

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	7
Questionnaires:	4

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/48	5.00	3.96	4.16	4.39	5.00
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	3	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	44/45	2.00	3.79	4.19	4.23	2.00
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/30	5.00	4.83	4.57	4.82	5.00
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/27	5.00	4.13	4.25	4.42	5.00
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	22/25	3.00	4.00	4.35	4.36	3.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	A	1	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	0	Major	2
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	B	2						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	1	C	1	General	0	Under-grad	4	Non-major	2
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	2	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 428 01
Title:	Sci Prac & Env Pol
Instructor:	Lansing,David

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	16
Questionnaires:	10

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	2	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	208/1644	4.88	4.43	4.32	4.47	4.88
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	2	0	0	0	0	3	5	4.63	481/1644	4.63	4.22	4.28	4.35	4.63
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	2	0	0	0	0	3	5	4.63	516/1419	4.63	4.29	4.35	4.48	4.63
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	2	0	0	0	0	3	5	4.63	410/1596	4.63	4.27	4.24	4.34	4.63
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	1	1	6	4.63	340/1535	4.63	4.00	4.15	4.26	4.63
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	1	0	2	5	4.38	577/1510	4.38	3.97	4.13	4.29	4.38
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	0	0	0	1	2	5	4.50	527/1620	4.50	4.25	4.20	4.25	4.50
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	694/1642	4.88	4.67	4.68	4.67	4.88
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	0	0	0	2	5	4.71	206/1596	4.71	4.17	4.12	4.20	4.71
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	0	4	4	4.50	891/1534	4.50	4.63	4.48	4.54	4.50
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.78	4.76	4.81	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	0	3	5	4.63	536/1531	4.63	4.30	4.33	4.38	4.63
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	2	6	4.75	437/1530	4.75	4.45	4.35	4.41	4.75
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	0	0	1	0	2	5	4.38	513/1409	4.38	4.14	4.08	4.15	4.38
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	209/1366	4.83	4.04	4.18	4.37	4.83
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	4	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1364	5.00	4.25	4.33	4.52	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	4	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	340/1361	4.83	4.33	4.39	4.59	4.83

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 428 01
Title:	Sci Prac & Env Pol
Instructor:	Lansing,David

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	16
Questionnaires:	10

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	4	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	114/1019	4.83	4.13	4.09	4.32	4.83

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	A	2	Required for Majors	5	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	B	5						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	1	C	0	General	2	Under-grad	10	Non-major	9
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	2						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 434 01
Title:	Wildf Policy & End Speci
Instructor:	Parker,Eugene P

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	20
Questionnaires:	16

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	15	4.94	122/1644	4.94	4.43	4.32	4.47	4.94
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	4	12	4.75	302/1644	4.75	4.22	4.28	4.35	4.75
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	14	4.88	198/1419	4.88	4.29	4.35	4.48	4.88
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	14	4.88	141/1596	4.88	4.27	4.24	4.34	4.88
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	15	4.94	73/1535	4.94	4.00	4.15	4.26	4.94
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	2	14	4.88	107/1510	4.88	3.97	4.13	4.29	4.88
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	2	4	10	4.50	527/1620	4.50	4.25	4.20	4.25	4.50
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	12	4	4.25	1400/1642	4.25	4.67	4.68	4.67	4.25
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	0	0	0	0	2	10	4.83	129/1596	4.83	4.17	4.12	4.20	4.83
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	0	15	5.00	1/1534	5.00	4.63	4.48	4.54	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	1	13	4.93	487/1539	4.93	4.78	4.76	4.81	4.93
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	2	13	4.87	207/1531	4.87	4.30	4.33	4.38	4.87
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	1	14	4.93	141/1530	4.93	4.45	4.35	4.41	4.93
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	5	2	0	3	0	5	3.60	1126/1409	3.60	4.14	4.08	4.15	3.60
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	0	0	2	8	4.80	237/1366	4.80	4.04	4.18	4.37	4.80
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	6	0	0	0	0	1	9	4.90	215/1364	4.90	4.25	4.33	4.52	4.90
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	6	0	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	1/1361	5.00	4.33	4.39	4.59	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	6	1	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1019	5.00	4.13	4.09	4.32	5.00

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 434 01
Title:	Wildf Policy & End Speci
Instructor:	Parker,Eugene P

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	20
Questionnaires:	16

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	15	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/185	****	4.33	4.23	4.60	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	15	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/209	****	3.90	4.19	4.27	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	15	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/181	****	4.68	4.53	4.31	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	15	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/183	****	4.56	4.46	4.63	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	15	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/172	****	4.46	4.14	4.02	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	15	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/72	****	4.89	4.53	4.71	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	15	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/71	****	4.61	4.38	4.66	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	15	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/68	****	4.51	4.41	4.74	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	15	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/71	****	4.42	4.40	4.50	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	15	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/73	****	4.23	4.09	4.32	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	15	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/48	****	3.96	4.16	4.39	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	15	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/45	****	3.79	4.19	4.23	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	15	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/30	****	4.83	4.57	4.82	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	15	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/27	****	4.13	4.25	4.42	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	15	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/25	****	4.00	4.35	4.36	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	15	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/51	****	4.31	4.03	3.67	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	15	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/31	****	4.48	4.18	3.94	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	15	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/36	****	4.25	4.33	3.80	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 434 01
Title:	Wildf Policy & End Speci
Instructor:	Parker,Eugene P

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	20
Questionnaires:	16

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	15	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/19	****	4.24	4.17	4.75	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	15	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/14	****	4.07	4.17	5.00	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors					
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	A	4	Required for Majors	9	Graduate	1	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	B	5						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	C	1	General	1	Under-grad	15	Non-major	15
84-150	4	3.00-3.49	D	0						
Grad.	1	3.50-4.00	F	0	Electives	2	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
			P	0						
			I	0	Other	0				
			?	4						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 488 01
Title:	Spatial Data & GIS Appl
Instructor:	Tang,Junmei

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	11
Questionnaires:	7

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	1	0	0	0	1	3	2	4.17	1073/1644	4.17	4.43	4.32	4.47	4.17
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	428/1644	4.67	4.22	4.28	4.35	4.67
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	3	0	0	2	0	1	3.67	1244/1419	3.67	4.29	4.35	4.48	3.67
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	1	0	0	0	2	3	4.60	437/1596	4.60	4.27	4.24	4.34	4.60
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	3	0	1	0	2	2.67	1512/1535	2.67	4.00	4.15	4.26	2.67
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	2	0	0	1	2	1	4.00	921/1510	4.00	3.97	4.13	4.29	4.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	139/1620	4.83	4.25	4.20	4.25	4.83
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	840/1642	4.80	4.67	4.68	4.67	4.80
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	0	1	0	2	1	3.75	1240/1596	3.75	4.17	4.12	4.20	3.75
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	1	1	4	4.50	891/1534	4.50	4.63	4.48	4.54	4.50
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	1	0	5	4.67	1136/1539	4.67	4.78	4.76	4.81	4.67
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	3	3	4.50	724/1531	4.50	4.30	4.33	4.38	4.50
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	1	1	0	4	4.17	1071/1530	4.17	4.45	4.35	4.41	4.17
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	1	0	1	2	0	2	3.60	1126/1409	3.60	4.14	4.08	4.15	3.60
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	1	1	0	1	3.33	1209/1366	3.33	4.04	4.18	4.37	3.33
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	4	0	0	1	1	0	1	3.33	1258/1364	3.33	4.25	4.33	4.52	3.33
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	4	0	0	1	1	0	1	3.33	1284/1361	3.33	4.33	4.39	4.59	3.33
4. Were special techniques successful	4	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1019	****	4.13	4.09	4.32	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 488 01
Title:	Spatial Data & GIS Appl
Instructor:	Tang,Junmei

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	11
Questionnaires:	7

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	6	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/185	****	4.33	4.23	4.60	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	6	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/209	****	3.90	4.19	4.27	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	6	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/181	****	4.68	4.53	4.31	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	6	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/183	****	4.56	4.46	4.63	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	6	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/172	****	4.46	4.14	4.02	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	A	5	Required for Majors	6	Graduate	0	Major	4
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	B	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	1	C	0	General	0	Under-grad	7	Non-major	3
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0				
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 601 01
Title:	Intro To GES
Instructor:	Miller,Andrew J

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	15
Questionnaires:	13

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	3	9	4.62	550/1644	4.62	4.43	4.32	4.42	4.62
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	4	7	4.38	829/1644	4.38	4.22	4.28	4.32	4.38
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	9	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	632/1419	4.50	4.29	4.35	4.45	4.50
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	1	1	2	9	4.46	627/1596	4.46	4.27	4.24	4.32	4.46
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	3	9	4.62	350/1535	4.62	4.00	4.15	4.25	4.62
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	0	1	3	8	4.31	668/1510	4.31	3.97	4.13	4.24	4.31
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	3	0	2	2	2	4	3.80	1306/1620	3.80	4.25	4.20	4.29	3.80
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	2	11	4.85	756/1642	4.85	4.67	4.68	4.82	4.85
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	0	0	0	6	4	4.40	515/1596	4.40	4.17	4.12	4.20	4.40
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	4	9	4.69	627/1534	4.69	4.63	4.48	4.52	4.69
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	2	11	4.85	780/1539	4.85	4.78	4.76	4.79	4.85
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	2	3	8	4.46	775/1531	4.46	4.30	4.33	4.34	4.46
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	4	9	4.69	531/1530	4.69	4.45	4.35	4.38	4.69
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	3	0	1	5	1	3	3.60	1126/1409	3.60	4.14	4.08	4.04	3.60
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	1	1	1	9	4.50	492/1366	4.50	4.04	4.18	4.26	4.50
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	0	0	12	5.00	1/1364	5.00	4.25	4.33	4.46	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	0	1	11	4.92	222/1361	4.92	4.33	4.39	4.49	4.92
4. Were special techniques successful	1	3	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1019	5.00	4.13	4.09	4.12	5.00

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 601 01
Title:	Intro To GES
Instructor:	Miller,Andrew J

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	15
Questionnaires:	13

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	6	0	0	0	0	2	5	4.71	34/72	4.71	4.89	4.53	4.53	4.71
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	6	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	19/71	4.86	4.61	4.38	4.31	4.86
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	0	0	3	4	4.57	30/68	4.57	4.51	4.41	4.37	4.57
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	0	0	2	5	4.71	22/71	4.71	4.42	4.40	4.53	4.71
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	6	0	0	1	3	0	3	3.71	54/73	3.71	4.23	4.09	4.09	3.71
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	12	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/51	****	4.31	4.03	3.66	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	12	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/31	****	4.48	4.18	3.73	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	12	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/36	****	4.25	4.33	4.41	****
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	12	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/19	****	4.24	4.17	3.84	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	12	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/14	****	4.07	4.17	3.79	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors				
00-27	5	0.00-0.99	2 A 8	Required for Majors	12	Graduate	7	Major	10
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0 B 4						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0 C 0	General	0	Under-grad	6	Non-major	3
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0 D 0						
Grad.	7	3.50-4.00	2 F 0	Electives	0	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
			P 0						
			I 0	Other	0				
			? 1						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 604 01
Title:	Forest Ecology
Instructor:	Baker,Matthew E

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	2
Questionnaires:	2

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1644	5.00	4.43	4.32	4.42	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1644	5.00	4.22	4.28	4.32	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	632/1419	4.50	4.29	4.35	4.45	4.50
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	1129/1596	4.00	4.27	4.24	4.32	4.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	3.00	1469/1535	3.00	4.00	4.15	4.25	3.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	2.00	1505/1510	2.00	3.97	4.13	4.24	2.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	3.50	1429/1620	3.50	4.25	4.20	4.29	3.50
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	1528/1642	4.00	4.67	4.68	4.82	4.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1596	5.00	4.17	4.12	4.20	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1534	5.00	4.63	4.48	4.52	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.78	4.76	4.79	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	1163/1531	4.00	4.30	4.33	4.34	4.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	755/1530	4.50	4.45	4.35	4.38	4.50
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	825/1409	4.00	4.14	4.08	4.04	4.00
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/185	5.00	4.33	4.23	4.14	5.00
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	143/209	4.00	3.90	4.19	4.03	4.00
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	162/181	4.00	4.68	4.53	4.35	4.00
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	157/183	4.00	4.56	4.46	4.44	4.00
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	108/172	4.00	4.46	4.14	4.27	4.00

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 604 01
Title:	Forest Ecology
Instructor:	Baker,Matthew E

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	2
Questionnaires:	2

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect	
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	
Field Work															
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/48	5.00	3.96	4.16	3.59	5.00
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/45	5.00	3.79	4.19	3.89	5.00
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	4.50	19/30	4.50	4.83	4.57	4.11	4.50
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	2.50	25/27	2.50	4.13	4.25	3.29	2.50

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	A	2	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	1	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	B	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	C	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	2
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	1	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	2	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 608 01
Title:	Field Ecology
Instructor:	Swan,Christophe

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	4
Questionnaires:	4

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	358/1644	4.75	4.43	4.32	4.42	4.75
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	633/1644	4.50	4.22	4.28	4.32	4.50
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	4.25	942/1419	4.25	4.29	4.35	4.45	4.25
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	0	3	4.50	567/1596	4.50	4.27	4.24	4.32	4.50
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	1	0	0	2	4.00	970/1535	4.00	4.00	4.15	4.25	4.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	196/1510	4.75	3.97	4.13	4.24	4.75
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1620	5.00	4.25	4.20	4.29	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	914/1642	4.75	4.67	4.68	4.82	4.75
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	3	1	4.25	705/1596	4.25	4.17	4.12	4.20	4.25
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	525/1534	4.75	4.63	4.48	4.52	4.75
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	1298/1539	4.50	4.78	4.76	4.79	4.50
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	1	2	1	4.00	1163/1531	4.00	4.30	4.33	4.34	4.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	0	3	4.50	755/1530	4.50	4.45	4.35	4.38	4.50
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	1	0	1	1	1	0	3.00	1316/1409	3.00	4.14	4.08	4.04	3.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	862/1366	4.00	4.04	4.18	4.26	4.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1364	5.00	4.25	4.33	4.46	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1361	5.00	4.33	4.39	4.49	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	961/1019	3.00	4.13	4.09	4.12	3.00

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 608 01
Title:	Field Ecology
Instructor:	Swan,Christophe

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	4
Questionnaires:	4

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	67/185	4.50	4.33	4.23	4.14	4.50
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	82/209	4.50	3.90	4.19	4.03	4.50
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/181	5.00	4.68	4.53	4.35	5.00
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/183	5.00	4.56	4.46	4.44	5.00
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	48/172	4.50	4.46	4.14	4.27	4.50

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	A	2	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	3	Major	4
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	B	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	C	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	3	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	2	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 611 01
Title:	Fluvial Geomorphology
Instructor:	Miller,Andrew J

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	4
Questionnaires:	4

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1644	5.00	4.43	4.32	4.42	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	633/1644	4.50	4.22	4.28	4.32	4.50
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	337/1419	4.75	4.29	4.35	4.45	4.75
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	254/1596	4.75	4.27	4.24	4.32	4.75
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	225/1535	4.75	4.00	4.15	4.25	4.75
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	429/1510	4.50	3.97	4.13	4.24	4.50
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	779/1620	4.33	4.25	4.20	4.29	4.33
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1642	5.00	4.67	4.68	4.82	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	246/1596	4.67	4.17	4.12	4.20	4.67
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	3	1	4.25	1155/1534	4.25	4.63	4.48	4.52	4.25
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.78	4.76	4.79	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	3	1	4.25	990/1531	4.25	4.30	4.33	4.34	4.25
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	437/1530	4.75	4.45	4.35	4.38	4.75
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	181/1409	4.75	4.14	4.08	4.04	4.75
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	4.25	721/1366	4.25	4.04	4.18	4.26	4.25
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	1	0	3	4.50	649/1364	4.50	4.25	4.33	4.46	4.50
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	441/1361	4.75	4.33	4.39	4.49	4.75
4. Were special techniques successful	0	3	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1019	5.00	4.13	4.09	4.12	5.00

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 611 01
Title:	Fluvial Geomorphology
Instructor:	Miller, Andrew J

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	4
Questionnaires:	4

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/48	5.00	3.96	4.16	3.59	5.00
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	2	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	22/45	4.50	3.79	4.19	3.89	4.50
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/30	5.00	4.83	4.57	4.11	5.00
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/27	5.00	4.13	4.25	3.29	5.00
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	2	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	17/25	4.00	4.00	4.35	3.82	4.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	A	2	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	3	Major	2
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	B	2						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	C	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	2
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	3	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 628 01
Title:	Sci Prac & Env Policy
Instructor:	Lansing,David

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	2
Questionnaires:	2

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	3.50	1522/1644	3.50	4.43	4.32	4.42	3.50
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	3.50	1501/1644	3.50	4.22	4.28	4.32	3.50
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	632/1419	4.50	4.29	4.35	4.45	4.50
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	567/1596	4.50	4.27	4.24	4.32	4.50
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1535	5.00	4.00	4.15	4.25	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	921/1510	4.00	3.97	4.13	4.24	4.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1620	5.00	4.25	4.20	4.29	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1642	5.00	4.67	4.68	4.82	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	971/1596	4.00	4.17	4.12	4.20	4.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1534	5.00	4.63	4.48	4.52	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.78	4.76	4.79	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	724/1531	4.50	4.30	4.33	4.34	4.50
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	3.50	1382/1530	3.50	4.45	4.35	4.38	3.50
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	825/1409	4.00	4.14	4.08	4.04	4.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1366	5.00	4.04	4.18	4.26	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1364	5.00	4.25	4.33	4.46	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1361	5.00	4.33	4.39	4.49	5.00

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 628 01
Title:	Sci Prac & Env Policy
Instructor:	Lansing,David

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	2
Questionnaires:	2

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1019	5.00	4.13	4.09	4.12	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	A	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	1	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	B	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	C	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	2
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	1	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0				
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 634 01
Title:	Wildlife Law and Endange
Instructor:	Parker,Eugene P

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	2
Questionnaires:	1

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1644	5.00	4.43	4.32	4.42	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1210/1644	4.00	4.22	4.28	4.32	4.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1090/1419	4.00	4.29	4.35	4.45	4.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	1589/1596	2.00	4.27	4.24	4.32	2.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1535	5.00	4.00	4.15	4.25	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	1441/1510	3.00	3.97	4.13	4.24	3.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	1607/1620	2.00	4.25	4.20	4.29	2.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1528/1642	4.00	4.67	4.68	4.82	4.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	971/1596	4.00	4.17	4.12	4.20	4.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1534	5.00	4.63	4.48	4.52	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.78	4.76	4.79	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	1473/1531	3.00	4.30	4.33	4.34	3.00

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 634 01
Title:	Wildlife Law and Endange
Instructor:	Parker,Eugene P

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	2
Questionnaires:	1

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Lecture														
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1530	5.00	4.45	4.35	4.38	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	0	0.00-0.99 0	A 1	Required for Majors 0	Graduate 1 Major 0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99 0	B 0		
56-83	0	2.00-2.99 0	C 0	General 0	Under-grad 0 Non-major 1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49 0	D 0		
Grad.	1	3.50-4.00 1	F 0	Electives 1	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant
			P 0		
			I 0	Other 0	
			? 0		

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 670 01
Title:	Adv Seminar in GIS
Instructor:	Villiger,Erwin

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	9
Questionnaires:	9

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	1	1	1	6	4.33	889/1644	4.33	4.43	4.32	4.42	4.33
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	1	1	3	4	4.11	1138/1644	4.11	4.22	4.28	4.32	4.11
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	3	4	4.22	968/1419	4.22	4.29	4.35	4.45	4.22
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	1	3	4	4.38	759/1596	4.38	4.27	4.24	4.32	4.38
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	3	2	4	4.11	877/1535	4.11	4.00	4.15	4.25	4.11
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	1	0	3	4	3.89	1048/1510	3.89	3.97	4.13	4.24	3.89
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	1	1	2	0	5	3.78	1319/1620	3.78	4.25	4.20	4.29	3.78
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	673/1642	4.89	4.67	4.68	4.82	4.89
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	2	0	0	0	6	1	4.14	850/1596	4.14	4.17	4.12	4.20	4.14
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1534	5.00	4.63	4.48	4.52	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.78	4.76	4.79	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	3	6	4.67	478/1531	4.67	4.30	4.33	4.34	4.67
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	2	2	5	4.33	940/1530	4.33	4.45	4.35	4.38	4.33
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	0	2	7	4.78	169/1409	4.78	4.14	4.08	4.04	4.78
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	2	0	6	4.22	742/1366	4.22	4.04	4.18	4.26	4.22
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	3	1	5	4.22	896/1364	4.22	4.25	4.33	4.46	4.22
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	0	2	7	4.78	416/1361	4.78	4.33	4.39	4.49	4.78
4. Were special techniques successful	0	4	0	0	1	2	2	4.20	462/1019	4.20	4.13	4.09	4.12	4.20

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 670 01
Title:	Adv Seminar in GIS
Instructor:	Villiger,Erwin

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	9
Questionnaires:	9

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	4	1	0	0	1	0	3	4.50	67/185	4.50	4.33	4.23	4.14	4.50
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	5	0	0	0	1	0	3	4.50	82/209	4.50	3.90	4.19	4.03	4.50
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	5	0	0	0	1	0	3	4.50	121/181	4.50	4.68	4.53	4.35	4.50
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	5	1	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	128/183	4.33	4.56	4.46	4.44	4.33
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	5	1	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	77/172	4.33	4.46	4.14	4.27	4.33
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	4	1	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/72	5.00	4.89	4.53	4.53	5.00
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	5	0	0	1	0	0	3	4.25	51/71	4.25	4.61	4.38	4.31	4.25
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	1	0	0	3	4.25	46/68	4.25	4.51	4.41	4.37	4.25
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	1	0	3	4.50	35/71	4.50	4.42	4.40	4.53	4.50
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	5	0	0	0	1	0	3	4.50	26/73	4.50	4.23	4.09	4.09	4.50
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	5	0	1	0	2	0	1	3.00	39/48	3.00	3.96	4.16	3.59	3.00
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	6	0	1	0	1	0	1	3.00	38/45	3.00	3.79	4.19	3.89	3.00
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	6	1	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/30	****	4.83	4.57	4.11	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	6	1	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/27	****	4.13	4.25	3.29	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	6	1	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/25	****	4.00	4.35	3.82	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	2	0	1	0	0	2	4	4.14	28/51	4.14	4.31	4.03	3.66	4.14
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	2	2	0	0	0	2	3	4.60	13/31	4.60	4.48	4.18	3.73	4.60
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	2	2	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	12/36	4.80	4.25	4.33	4.41	4.80

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 670 01
Title:	Adv Seminar in GIS
Instructor:	Villiger,Erwin

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	9
Questionnaires:	9

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	2	3	0	1	0	0	3	4.25	11/19	4.25	4.24	4.17	3.84	4.25
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	2	4	0	1	0	0	2	4.00	9/14	4.00	4.07	4.17	3.79	4.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	1	0.00-0.99 0	A 6	Required for Majors 7	Graduate 3 Major 0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99 0	B 1		
56-83	0	2.00-2.99 0	C 0	General 0	Under-grad 6 Non-major 9
84-150	0	3.00-3.49 0	D 0		
Grad.	3	3.50-4.00 1	F 0	Electives 0	
			P 0		
			I 0	Other 0	
			? 2		

**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 671 01
Title:	Spatial Database I
Instructor:	Evans,Owen J

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	15
Questionnaires:	12

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	4	8	4.67	482/1644	4.67	4.43	4.32	4.42	4.67
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	4	8	4.67	428/1644	4.67	4.22	4.28	4.32	4.67
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	6	6	4.50	632/1419	4.50	4.29	4.35	4.45	4.50
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	1	0	2	3	6	4.08	1086/1596	4.08	4.27	4.24	4.32	4.08
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	6	6	4.50	442/1535	4.50	4.00	4.15	4.25	4.50
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	3	0	2	2	5	3.50	1261/1510	3.50	3.97	4.13	4.24	3.50
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	1	2	9	4.67	331/1620	4.67	4.25	4.20	4.29	4.67
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	4	8	4.67	1038/1642	4.67	4.67	4.68	4.82	4.67
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1596	5.00	4.17	4.12	4.20	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	1	11	4.92	223/1534	4.92	4.63	4.48	4.52	4.92
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	2	10	4.83	808/1539	4.83	4.78	4.76	4.79	4.83
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	1	1	10	4.75	348/1531	4.75	4.30	4.33	4.34	4.75
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	11	4.92	181/1530	4.92	4.45	4.35	4.38	4.92
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	1	1	10	4.75	181/1409	4.75	4.14	4.08	4.04	4.75
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	2	0	4	6	4.17	787/1366	4.17	4.04	4.18	4.26	4.17
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	2	2	8	4.50	649/1364	4.50	4.25	4.33	4.46	4.50
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	2	1	9	4.58	635/1361	4.58	4.33	4.39	4.49	4.58
4. Were special techniques successful	0	2	1	0	4	1	4	3.70	770/1019	3.70	4.13	4.09	4.12	3.70

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 671 01
Title:	Spatial Database I
Instructor:	Evans,Owen J

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	15
Questionnaires:	12

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	6	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	30/185	4.83	4.33	4.23	4.14	4.83
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	6	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	52/209	4.67	3.90	4.19	4.03	4.67
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	6	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	80/181	4.67	4.68	4.53	4.35	4.67
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	6	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/183	5.00	4.56	4.46	4.44	5.00
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	6	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/172	5.00	4.46	4.14	4.27	5.00
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	8	1	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/72	5.00	4.89	4.53	4.53	5.00
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	8	1	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/71	5.00	4.61	4.38	4.31	5.00
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	9	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/68	****	4.51	4.41	4.37	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	8	1	1	0	0	0	2	3.67	64/71	3.67	4.42	4.40	4.53	3.67
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	8	1	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	35/73	4.33	4.23	4.09	4.09	4.33
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	9	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	26/48	4.67	3.96	4.16	3.59	4.67
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	9	0	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	25/45	4.33	3.79	4.19	3.89	4.33
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	8	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/30	****	4.83	4.57	4.11	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	8	1	0	1	0	0	2	4.00	20/27	4.00	4.13	4.25	3.29	4.00
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	8	2	0	1	0	0	1	3.50	****/25	****	4.00	4.35	3.82	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	0	2	6	4.75	17/51	4.75	4.31	4.03	3.66	4.75
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	3	0	0	0	0	2	7	4.78	10/31	4.78	4.48	4.18	3.73	4.78
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	3	0	0	0	0	2	7	4.78	12/36	4.78	4.25	4.33	4.41	4.78

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 671 01
Title:	Spatial Database I
Instructor:	Evans,Owen J

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	15
Questionnaires:	12

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	3	2	0	0	2	1	4	4.29	10/19	4.29	4.24	4.17	3.84	4.29
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	3	3	0	0	2	0	4	4.33	7/14	4.33	4.07	4.17	3.79	4.33

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	5	0.00-0.99 1	A 6	Required for Majors 10	Graduate 4 Major 0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99 0	B 4		
56-83	0	2.00-2.99 0	C 0	General 0	Under-grad 8 Non-major 12
84-150	0	3.00-3.49 0	D 1		
Grad.	4	3.50-4.00 1	F 0	Electives 1	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant
			P 0		
			I 0	Other 0	
			? 1		

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 678 1
Title:	GIS Project Management
Instructor:	Schlee,John W

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	16
Questionnaires:	15

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	4	0	1	2	4	4	0	3.00	1603/1644	3.00	4.43	4.32	4.42	3.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	3	0	1	3	1	4	3	3.42	1526/1644	3.42	4.22	4.28	4.32	3.42
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	3	4	0	1	0	6	1	3.88	1172/1419	3.88	4.29	4.35	4.45	3.88
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	3	0	2	1	2	5	2	3.33	1490/1596	3.33	4.27	4.24	4.32	3.33
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	4	1	1	2	0	4	3	3.60	1270/1535	3.60	4.00	4.15	4.25	3.60
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	5	1	1	1	1	5	1	3.44	1309/1510	3.44	3.97	4.13	4.24	3.44
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	4	0	2	1	2	5	1	3.18	1516/1620	3.18	4.25	4.20	4.29	3.18
8. How many times was class cancelled	5	0	0	2	5	2	1	3.20	1635/1642	3.20	4.67	4.68	4.82	3.20
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	7	0	1	1	3	2	1	3.13	1510/1596	3.13	4.17	4.12	4.20	3.13
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	6	0	1	2	1	2	3	3.44	1473/1534	3.44	4.63	4.48	4.52	3.44
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	6	0	0	0	2	3	4	4.22	1447/1539	4.22	4.78	4.76	4.79	4.22
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	7	0	1	0	2	3	2	3.63	1360/1531	3.63	4.30	4.33	4.34	3.63
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	6	0	2	1	1	1	4	3.44	1400/1530	3.44	4.45	4.35	4.38	3.44
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	7	1	2	0	2	0	3	3.29	1262/1409	3.29	4.14	4.08	4.04	3.29
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	8	0	1	1	2	2	1	3.14	1259/1366	3.14	4.04	4.18	4.26	3.14
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	8	0	2	1	0	2	2	3.14	1287/1364	3.14	4.25	4.33	4.46	3.14
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	9	0	1	0	0	3	2	3.83	1125/1361	3.83	4.33	4.39	4.49	3.83
4. Were special techniques successful	9	0	1	1	2	1	1	3.00	961/1019	3.00	4.13	4.09	4.12	3.00

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 678 1
Title:	GIS Project Management
Instructor:	Schlee,John W

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	16
Questionnaires:	15

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	14	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/185	****	4.33	4.23	4.14	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	14	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/209	****	3.90	4.19	4.03	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	14	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/181	****	4.68	4.53	4.35	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	14	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/183	****	4.56	4.46	4.44	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	14	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/172	****	4.46	4.14	4.27	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	14	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/72	****	4.89	4.53	4.53	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	14	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/71	****	4.61	4.38	4.31	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	14	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/68	****	4.51	4.41	4.37	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	14	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/71	****	4.42	4.40	4.53	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	14	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/73	****	4.23	4.09	4.09	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	14	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/48	****	3.96	4.16	3.59	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	14	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/45	****	3.79	4.19	3.89	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	14	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/30	****	4.83	4.57	4.11	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	14	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/27	****	4.13	4.25	3.29	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	14	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/25	****	4.00	4.35	3.82	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	13	0	0	0	1	1	0	3.50	****/51	****	4.31	4.03	3.66	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	13	0	0	0	1	1	0	3.50	****/31	****	4.48	4.18	3.73	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	13	0	0	0	1	1	0	3.50	****/36	****	4.25	4.33	4.41	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 678 1
Title:	GIS Project Management
Instructor:	Schlee,John W

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	16
Questionnaires:	15

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	14	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/19	****	4.24	4.17	3.84	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	13	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/14	****	4.07	4.17	3.79	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	1	A	3	Required for Majors	3	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	B	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	C	0	General	0	Under-grad	15	Non-major	14
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	11						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 679 1
Title:	Professional Seminar
Instructor:	Smith,Nathan G

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	7
Questionnaires:	7

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	2	5	4.71	414/1644	4.71	4.43	4.32	4.42	4.71
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	1	5	4.57	545/1644	4.57	4.22	4.28	4.32	4.57
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	3	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1419	5.00	4.29	4.35	4.45	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	0	5	4.43	687/1596	4.43	4.27	4.24	4.32	4.43
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	148/1535	4.86	4.00	4.15	4.25	4.86
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	1	0	5	4.29	691/1510	4.29	3.97	4.13	4.24	4.29
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	139/1620	4.83	4.25	4.20	4.29	4.83
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1642	5.00	4.67	4.68	4.82	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	4	3	4.43	488/1596	4.43	4.17	4.12	4.20	4.43
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1534	5.00	4.63	4.48	4.52	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.78	4.76	4.79	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	241/1531	4.83	4.30	4.33	4.34	4.83
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	279/1530	4.86	4.45	4.35	4.38	4.86
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1409	5.00	4.14	4.08	4.04	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	3	1	3	4.00	862/1366	4.00	4.04	4.18	4.26	4.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	1	1	5	4.57	593/1364	4.57	4.25	4.33	4.46	4.57
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	1	1	5	4.57	644/1361	4.57	4.33	4.39	4.49	4.57
4. Were special techniques successful	0	3	0	0	1	0	3	4.50	262/1019	4.50	4.13	4.09	4.12	4.50

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 679 1
Title:	Professional Seminar
Instructor:	Smith,Nathan G

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	7
Questionnaires:	7

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	4	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/185	5.00	4.33	4.23	4.14	5.00
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	4	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	52/209	4.67	3.90	4.19	4.03	4.67
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	4	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/181	5.00	4.68	4.53	4.35	5.00
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	4	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/183	5.00	4.56	4.46	4.44	5.00
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	4	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/172	5.00	4.46	4.14	4.27	5.00
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	3	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	32/72	4.75	4.89	4.53	4.53	4.75
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	3	0	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	35/71	4.50	4.61	4.38	4.31	4.50
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	24/68	4.67	4.51	4.41	4.37	4.67
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	27/71	4.67	4.42	4.40	4.53	4.67
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	3	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	17/73	4.75	4.23	4.09	4.09	4.75
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	4	0	1	0	0	0	2	3.67	37/48	3.67	3.96	4.16	3.59	3.67
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	5	0	1	0	0	0	1	3.00	38/45	3.00	3.79	4.19	3.89	3.00
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	5	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/30	****	4.83	4.57	4.11	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	5	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/27	****	4.13	4.25	3.29	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	4	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/25	5.00	4.00	4.35	3.82	5.00
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	19/51	4.67	4.31	4.03	3.66	4.67
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	4	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/31	5.00	4.48	4.18	3.73	5.00
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	4	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	15/36	4.67	4.25	4.33	4.41	4.67

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 679 1
Title:	Professional Seminar
Instructor:	Smith,Nathan G

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	7
Questionnaires:	7

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	4	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	4/19	4.67	4.24	4.17	3.84	4.67
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	4	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/14	5.00	4.07	4.17	3.79	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	A	6	Required for Majors	3	Graduate	4	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	B	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	C	0	General	0	Under-grad	3	Non-major	7
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	4	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	1						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 686 100
Title:	Intro Geog Info Systems
Instructor:	School,Joseph

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	7
Questionnaires:	6

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	4	2	4.33	889/1644	4.33	4.43	4.32	4.42	4.33
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	1	1	2	2	0	2.83	1605/1644	2.83	4.22	4.28	4.32	2.83
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	1	1	2	2	0	2.83	1400/1419	2.83	4.29	4.35	4.45	2.83
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	2	1	2	4.00	1129/1596	4.00	4.27	4.24	4.32	4.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	1	1	2	1	1	0	2.40	1524/1535	2.40	4.00	4.15	4.25	2.40
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	3	1	0	0	1	0	2.50	1488/1510	2.50	3.97	4.13	4.24	2.50
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	1	0	2	1	1	3.20	1513/1620	3.20	4.25	4.20	4.29	3.20
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	840/1642	4.80	4.67	4.68	4.82	4.80
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	1	1	2	2	0	2.83	1558/1596	2.83	4.17	4.12	4.20	2.83
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	1	2	2	4.20	1194/1534	4.20	4.63	4.48	4.52	4.20
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	1	0	0	0	4	4.20	1453/1539	4.20	4.78	4.76	4.79	4.20
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	1	0	2	2	0	3.00	1473/1531	3.00	4.30	4.33	4.34	3.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	1	2	1	1	3.40	1412/1530	3.40	4.45	4.35	4.38	3.40
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	1	0	0	2	2	3.80	993/1409	3.80	4.14	4.08	4.04	3.80
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	4	0	1	0	1	0	0	2.00	1353/1366	2.00	4.04	4.18	4.26	2.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	4	0	0	0	1	1	0	3.50	1221/1364	3.50	4.25	4.33	4.46	3.50
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	4	0	1	0	0	1	0	2.50	1341/1361	2.50	4.33	4.39	4.49	2.50
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	1	0	0	0	1	1	3	4.40	86/185	4.40	4.33	4.23	4.14	4.40

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 686 100
Title:	Intro Geog Info Systems
Instructor:	School,Joseph

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	7
Questionnaires:	6

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	1	0	0	1	0	4	0	3.60	181/209	3.60	3.90	4.19	4.03	3.60
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	1	0	0	0	0	3	2	4.40	138/181	4.40	4.68	4.53	4.35	4.40
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	1	0	0	0	1	0	4	4.60	75/183	4.60	4.56	4.46	4.44	4.60
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	1	1	0	0	1	0	3	4.50	48/172	4.50	4.46	4.14	4.27	4.50
Seminar														
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/71	****	4.61	4.38	4.31	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/68	****	4.51	4.41	4.37	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/71	****	4.42	4.40	4.53	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/73	****	4.23	4.09	4.09	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	5	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/48	****	3.96	4.16	3.59	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	1 A 5	Required for Majors	5 Graduate 3 Major 3
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0 B 1		
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0 C 0	General	0 Under-grad 3 Non-major 3
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0 D 0		
Grad.	3	3.50-4.00	1 F 0	Electives	0
			P 0		
			I 0	Other	0
			? 0		

**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 688 01
Title:	Spatial Data Analysis&GI
Instructor:	Tang,Junmei

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	5
Questionnaires:	3

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	4.33	889/1644	4.33	4.43	4.32	4.42	4.33
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	4.00	1210/1644	4.00	4.22	4.28	4.32	4.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	1385/1419	3.00	4.29	4.35	4.45	3.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	4.00	1129/1596	4.00	4.27	4.24	4.32	4.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	3.00	1469/1535	3.00	4.00	4.15	4.25	3.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	3.00	1441/1510	3.00	3.97	4.13	4.24	3.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	331/1620	4.67	4.25	4.20	4.29	4.67
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1642	5.00	4.67	4.68	4.82	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	971/1596	4.00	4.17	4.12	4.20	4.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	675/1534	4.67	4.63	4.48	4.52	4.67
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	1136/1539	4.67	4.78	4.76	4.79	4.67
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	4.00	1163/1531	4.00	4.30	4.33	4.34	4.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	4.00	1163/1530	4.00	4.45	4.35	4.38	4.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	2.67	1373/1409	2.67	4.14	4.08	4.04	2.67
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	862/1366	4.00	4.04	4.18	4.26	4.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	3.50	1221/1364	3.50	4.25	4.33	4.46	3.50
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	1034/1361	4.00	4.33	4.39	4.49	4.00

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 688 01
Title:	Spatial Data Analysis&GI
Instructor:	Tang,Junmei

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	5
Questionnaires:	3

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	559/1019	4.00	4.13	4.09	4.12	4.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	1	A	2	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	1	Major	3
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	B	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	C	0	General	0	Under-grad	2	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	1	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 700 01
Title:	Special Topics
Instructor:	Lansing,David

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	10
Questionnaires:	9

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	3	6	4.67	482/1644	4.67	4.43	4.32	4.42	4.67
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	7	2	4.22	1018/1644	4.22	4.22	4.28	4.32	4.22
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	8	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1419	****	4.29	4.35	4.45	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	0	0	4	3	4.43	687/1596	4.43	4.27	4.24	4.32	4.43
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	122/1535	4.89	4.00	4.15	4.25	4.89
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	3	6	4.67	278/1510	4.67	3.97	4.13	4.24	4.67
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	0	5	1	2	3.63	1381/1620	3.63	4.25	4.20	4.29	3.63
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1642	5.00	4.67	4.68	4.82	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	5	4	4.44	461/1596	4.44	4.17	4.12	4.20	4.44
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	7	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1534	****	4.63	4.48	4.52	****
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	7	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1539	****	4.78	4.76	4.79	****
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1531	****	4.30	4.33	4.34	****
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1530	****	4.45	4.35	4.38	****
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1409	****	4.14	4.08	4.04	****
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1366	5.00	4.04	4.18	4.26	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	7	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1364	****	4.25	4.33	4.46	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	7	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1361	****	4.33	4.39	4.49	****
4. Were special techniques successful	7	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1019	****	4.13	4.09	4.12	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 700 01
Title:	Special Topics
Instructor:	Lansing,David

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	10
Questionnaires:	9

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/72	5.00	4.89	4.53	4.53	5.00
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	0	0	0	0	0	5	4	4.44	39/71	4.44	4.61	4.38	4.31	4.44
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	4	5	4.56	31/68	4.56	4.51	4.41	4.37	4.56
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	4	5	4.56	34/71	4.56	4.42	4.40	4.53	4.56
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	0	1	0	1	2	2	3	3.88	51/73	3.88	4.23	4.09	4.09	3.88

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	2 A 6	Required for Majors	3 Graduate 4 Major 8
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0 B 2		
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0 C 0	General	2 Under-grad 5 Non-major 1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	1 D 0		
Grad.	4	3.50-4.00	2 F 0	Electives	4 **** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant
			P 0		
			I 0	Other	0
			? 1		

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 774 1
Title:	Spatial Statistics
Instructor:	Wilson,Ronald E

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	6
Questionnaires:	6

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1644	5.00	4.43	4.32	4.42	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	428/1644	4.67	4.22	4.28	4.32	4.67
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1419	5.00	4.29	4.35	4.45	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1596	5.00	4.27	4.24	4.32	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	1	0	4	4.60	361/1535	4.60	4.00	4.15	4.25	4.60
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1510	5.00	3.97	4.13	4.24	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	161/1620	4.80	4.25	4.20	4.29	4.80
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1642	5.00	4.67	4.68	4.82	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	2	3	4.60	297/1596	4.60	4.17	4.12	4.20	4.60
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	381/1534	4.83	4.63	4.48	4.52	4.83
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.78	4.76	4.79	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	241/1531	4.83	4.30	4.33	4.34	4.83
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1530	5.00	4.45	4.35	4.38	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	2	0	0	1	0	3	4.50	381/1409	4.50	4.14	4.08	4.04	4.50
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	237/1366	4.80	4.04	4.18	4.26	4.80
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	0	2	3	4.60	570/1364	4.60	4.25	4.33	4.46	4.60
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	380/1361	4.80	4.33	4.39	4.49	4.80
4. Were special techniques successful	1	3	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1019	5.00	4.13	4.09	4.12	5.00

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 774 1
Title:	Spatial Statistics
Instructor:	Wilson,Ronald E

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	6
Questionnaires:	6

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	4	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/185	****	4.33	4.23	4.14	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/209	****	3.90	4.19	4.03	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	5	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/181	****	4.68	4.53	4.35	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	5	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/183	****	4.56	4.46	4.44	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	5	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/172	****	4.46	4.14	4.27	****
Seminar														
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/71	****	4.42	4.40	4.53	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	1	A	4	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	4	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	B	2						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	C	0	General	0	Under-grad	2	Non-major	6
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	4	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	3	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 775 01
Title:	Adv. GIS App. Developmen
Instructor:	Yang,Xiuzhu

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	7
Questionnaires:	4

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	1	0	1	0	0	2	0	3.00	1603/1644	3.00	4.43	4.32	4.42	3.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	2.67	1618/1644	2.67	4.22	4.28	4.32	2.67
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	2	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1090/1419	4.00	4.29	4.35	4.45	4.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	2	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	567/1596	4.50	4.27	4.24	4.32	4.50
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	3.33	1396/1535	3.33	4.00	4.15	4.25	3.33
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	3.00	1441/1510	3.00	3.97	4.13	4.24	3.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	1134/1620	4.00	4.25	4.20	4.29	4.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1642	5.00	4.67	4.68	4.82	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1596	5.00	4.17	4.12	4.20	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	3.00	1498/1534	3.00	4.63	4.48	4.52	3.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	1484/1539	4.00	4.78	4.76	4.79	4.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	3.33	1423/1531	3.33	4.30	4.33	4.34	3.33
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	940/1530	4.33	4.45	4.35	4.38	4.33
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	1	0	0	0	2	3.67	1089/1409	3.67	4.14	4.08	4.04	3.67
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	1	0	0	0	1	3.00	1279/1366	3.00	4.04	4.18	4.26	3.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1364	5.00	4.25	4.33	4.46	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	0	1	0	0	1	3.50	1240/1361	3.50	4.33	4.39	4.49	3.50
4. Were special techniques successful	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1019	5.00	4.13	4.09	4.12	5.00

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 775 01
Title:	Adv. GIS App. Developmen
Instructor:	Yang,Xiuzhu

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	7
Questionnaires:	4

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	185/185	1.00	4.33	4.23	4.14	1.00
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	207/209	1.00	3.90	4.19	4.03	1.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	A	1	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	B	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	C	0	General	1	Under-grad	4	Non-major	4
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	3						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 776 1
Title:	GIS Data Sources
Instructor:	Abdullah,Qassim

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	8
Questionnaires:	8

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	2	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	254/1644	4.83	4.43	4.32	4.42	4.83
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	2	0	0	0	1	3	2	4.17	1082/1644	4.17	4.22	4.28	4.32	4.17
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	2	0	0	0	0	3	3	4.50	632/1419	4.50	4.29	4.35	4.45	4.50
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	2	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	356/1596	4.67	4.27	4.24	4.32	4.67
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	300/1535	4.67	4.00	4.15	4.25	4.67
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	1	0	2	3	4.17	822/1510	4.17	3.97	4.13	4.24	4.17
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	0	0	1	0	4	1	3.83	1286/1620	3.83	4.25	4.20	4.29	3.83
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	777/1642	4.83	4.67	4.68	4.82	4.83
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	0	0	0	5	0	4.00	971/1596	4.10	4.17	4.12	4.20	4.10
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	381/1534	4.83	4.63	4.48	4.52	4.83
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	1136/1539	4.67	4.78	4.76	4.79	4.67
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	1	2	3	4.33	916/1531	4.33	4.30	4.33	4.34	4.33
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	569/1530	4.67	4.45	4.35	4.38	4.67
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	0	0	1	0	2	3	4.17	711/1409	4.25	4.14	4.08	4.04	4.25
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	1	2	2	4.20	757/1366	4.20	4.04	4.18	4.26	4.20
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	3	0	0	1	0	1	3	4.20	910/1364	4.20	4.25	4.33	4.46	4.20
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	3	0	0	0	0	2	3	4.60	619/1361	4.60	4.33	4.39	4.49	4.60
4. Were special techniques successful	3	2	1	0	0	2	0	3.00	961/1019	3.00	4.13	4.09	4.12	3.00

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 776 1
Title:	GIS Data Sources
Instructor:	Abdullah,Qassim

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	8
Questionnaires:	8

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	6	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/185	****	4.33	4.23	4.14	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	6	0	1	0	0	1	0	2.50	200/209	2.50	3.90	4.19	4.03	2.50
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	6	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/181	****	4.68	4.53	4.35	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	6	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/183	****	4.56	4.46	4.44	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	6	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/172	****	4.46	4.14	4.27	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	6	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/72	****	4.89	4.53	4.53	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	6	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/71	****	4.61	4.38	4.31	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	6	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/68	****	4.51	4.41	4.37	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	6	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/71	****	4.42	4.40	4.53	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	6	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/73	****	4.23	4.09	4.09	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	6	0	1	0	0	1	0	2.50	42/48	2.50	3.96	4.16	3.59	2.50
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	7	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/45	****	3.79	4.19	3.89	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	6	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/30	****	4.83	4.57	4.11	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	6	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/27	****	4.13	4.25	3.29	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	6	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/25	****	4.00	4.35	3.82	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	29/51	4.00	4.31	4.03	3.66	4.00
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	6	0	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	22/31	4.00	4.48	4.18	3.73	4.00
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	6	0	0	0	1	1	0	3.50	31/36	3.50	4.25	4.33	4.41	3.50

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 776 1
Title:	GIS Data Sources
Instructor:	Abdullah,Qassim

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	8
Questionnaires:	8

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	6	0	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	14/19	4.00	4.24	4.17	3.84	4.00
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	6	0	0	0	1	1	0	3.50	11/14	3.50	4.07	4.17	3.79	3.50

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	0	A	2	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	4	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	B	3						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	C	0	General	0	Under-grad	4	Non-major	8
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	4	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	4	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	3						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 776 1
Title:	GIS Data Sources
Instructor:	May,Nora C

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	8
Questionnaires:	8

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	2	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	254/1644	4.83	4.43	4.32	4.42	4.83
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	2	0	0	0	1	3	2	4.17	1082/1644	4.17	4.22	4.28	4.32	4.17
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	2	0	0	0	0	3	3	4.50	632/1419	4.50	4.29	4.35	4.45	4.50
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	2	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	356/1596	4.67	4.27	4.24	4.32	4.67
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	300/1535	4.67	4.00	4.15	4.25	4.67
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	1	0	2	3	4.17	822/1510	4.17	3.97	4.13	4.24	4.17
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	0	0	1	0	4	1	3.83	1286/1620	3.83	4.25	4.20	4.29	3.83
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	777/1642	4.83	4.67	4.68	4.82	4.83
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	0	0	0	4	1	4.20	768/1596	4.10	4.17	4.12	4.20	4.10
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	381/1534	4.83	4.63	4.48	4.52	4.83
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	1136/1539	4.67	4.78	4.76	4.79	4.67
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	1	2	3	4.33	916/1531	4.33	4.30	4.33	4.34	4.33
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	569/1530	4.67	4.45	4.35	4.38	4.67
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	0	0	1	0	1	4	4.33	551/1409	4.25	4.14	4.08	4.04	4.25
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	1	2	2	4.20	757/1366	4.20	4.04	4.18	4.26	4.20
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	3	0	0	1	0	1	3	4.20	910/1364	4.20	4.25	4.33	4.46	4.20
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	3	0	0	0	0	2	3	4.60	619/1361	4.60	4.33	4.39	4.49	4.60
4. Were special techniques successful	3	2	1	0	0	2	0	3.00	961/1019	3.00	4.13	4.09	4.12	3.00

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 776 1
Title:	GIS Data Sources
Instructor:	May, Nora C

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	8
Questionnaires:	8

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	6	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/185	****	4.33	4.23	4.14	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	6	0	1	0	0	1	0	2.50	200/209	2.50	3.90	4.19	4.03	2.50
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	6	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/181	****	4.68	4.53	4.35	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	6	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/183	****	4.56	4.46	4.44	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	6	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/172	****	4.46	4.14	4.27	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	6	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/72	****	4.89	4.53	4.53	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	6	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/71	****	4.61	4.38	4.31	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	6	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/68	****	4.51	4.41	4.37	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	6	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/71	****	4.42	4.40	4.53	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	6	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/73	****	4.23	4.09	4.09	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	6	0	1	0	0	1	0	2.50	42/48	2.50	3.96	4.16	3.59	2.50
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	7	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/45	****	3.79	4.19	3.89	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	6	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/30	****	4.83	4.57	4.11	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	6	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/27	****	4.13	4.25	3.29	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	6	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/25	****	4.00	4.35	3.82	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	29/51	4.00	4.31	4.03	3.66	4.00
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	6	0	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	22/31	4.00	4.48	4.18	3.73	4.00
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	6	0	0	0	1	1	0	3.50	31/36	3.50	4.25	4.33	4.41	3.50

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 776 1
Title:	GIS Data Sources
Instructor:	May,Nora C

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	8
Questionnaires:	8

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	6	0	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	14/19	4.00	4.24	4.17	3.84	4.00
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	6	0	0	0	1	1	0	3.50	11/14	3.50	4.07	4.17	3.79	3.50

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	2	0.00-0.99 0	A 2	Required for Majors 1	Graduate 4 Major 0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99 0	B 3		
56-83	0	2.00-2.99 0	C 0	General 0	Under-grad 4 Non-major 8
84-150	0	3.00-3.49 2	D 0		
Grad.	4	3.50-4.00 1	F 0	Electives 4	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant
			P 0		
			I 0	Other 1	
			? 3		