

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ASIA 100 01
Title:	Intro to Asian Studies
Instructor:	Vaporis,Constan

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	43
Questionnaires:	42

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	12	0	0	3	6	9	12	4.00	1218/1644	4.00	4.17	4.32	4.16	4.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	12	0	1	3	12	6	8	3.57	1479/1644	3.57	4.20	4.28	4.23	3.57
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	12	0	1	1	7	12	9	3.90	1162/1419	3.90	4.34	4.35	4.25	3.90
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	12	1	0	2	5	13	9	4.00	1129/1596	4.00	4.18	4.24	4.09	4.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	12	0	0	2	5	7	16	4.23	754/1535	4.23	4.15	4.15	4.02	4.23
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	12	0	1	0	4	13	12	4.17	822/1510	4.17	4.14	4.13	3.91	4.17
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	13	1	0	2	4	11	11	4.11	1057/1620	4.11	4.25	4.20	4.13	4.11
8. How many times was class cancelled	12	0	0	0	1	15	14	4.43	1261/1642	4.43	4.72	4.68	4.68	4.43
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	14	1	0	3	12	6	6	3.56	1363/1596	3.56	4.13	4.12	4.07	3.56
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	12	0	0	2	3	16	9	4.07	1275/1534	4.07	4.50	4.48	4.45	4.07
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	12	0	0	0	0	3	27	4.90	609/1539	4.90	4.62	4.76	4.72	4.90
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	12	0	0	4	7	11	8	3.77	1309/1531	3.77	4.32	4.33	4.30	3.77
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	12	0	2	1	5	10	12	3.97	1191/1530	3.97	4.26	4.35	4.30	3.97
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	12	1	0	0	2	11	16	4.48	402/1409	4.48	4.06	4.08	3.97	4.48
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	20	0	0	1	4	7	10	4.18	772/1366	4.18	4.27	4.18	3.96	4.18
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	20	0	0	1	0	5	16	4.64	535/1364	4.64	4.36	4.33	4.10	4.64
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	20	0	0	1	2	5	14	4.45	760/1361	4.45	4.48	4.39	4.17	4.45
4. Were special techniques successful	20	2	0	2	0	10	8	4.20	462/1019	4.20	4.14	4.09	3.97	4.20

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ASIA 100 01
Title:	Intro to Asian Studies
Instructor:	Vaporis,Constan

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	43
Questionnaires:	42

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	40	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/72	****	3.45	4.53	4.35	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	40	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/71	****	3.56	4.38	4.21	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	40	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/68	****	3.56	4.41	4.22	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	40	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/71	****	3.72	4.40	4.19	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	40	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/73	****	3.53	4.09	3.85	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	41	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/51	****	3.13	4.03	4.19	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	41	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/31	****	3.07	4.18	4.46	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades		Reasons		Type		Majors			
00-27	6	0.00-0.99	1	A	12	Required for Majors	23	Graduate	1	Major	0
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	1	B	9						
56-83	7	2.00-2.99	0	C	6	General	1	Under-grad	41	Non-major	0
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	4	D	0						
Grad.	1	3.50-4.00	8	F	0	Electives	2	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	2				
				?	14						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ASIA 300 01
Title:	Topics in Asian Studies
Instructor:	Walker,David A

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	44
Questionnaires:	34

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	1	1	6	26	4.68	468/1644	4.68	4.17	4.32	4.31	4.68
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	1	0	14	19	4.50	633/1644	4.50	4.20	4.28	4.25	4.50
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	9	0	0	0	10	14	4.58	560/1419	4.58	4.34	4.35	4.31	4.58
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	2	0	0	2	7	22	4.65	383/1596	4.65	4.18	4.24	4.25	4.65
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	3	9	22	4.56	401/1535	4.56	4.15	4.15	4.14	4.56
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	1	0	0	3	11	18	4.47	472/1510	4.47	4.14	4.13	4.16	4.47
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	1	2	4	5	22	4.32	793/1620	4.32	4.25	4.20	4.18	4.32
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	21	12	4.36	1319/1642	4.36	4.72	4.68	4.65	4.36
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	8	0	0	1	0	11	14	4.46	435/1596	4.46	4.13	4.12	4.09	4.46
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	1	1	10	21	4.55	843/1534	4.55	4.50	4.48	4.44	4.55
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	6	26	4.81	865/1539	4.81	4.62	4.76	4.74	4.81
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	1	11	21	4.61	565/1531	4.61	4.32	4.33	4.30	4.61
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	1	15	17	4.48	780/1530	4.48	4.26	4.35	4.32	4.48
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	1	1	0	1	4	26	4.69	228/1409	4.69	4.06	4.08	4.09	4.69
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	16	0	1	0	0	3	14	4.61	414/1366	4.61	4.27	4.18	4.22	4.61
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	16	0	1	0	1	4	12	4.44	713/1364	4.44	4.36	4.33	4.37	4.44
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	16	0	0	0	0	2	16	4.89	273/1361	4.89	4.48	4.39	4.39	4.89
4. Were special techniques successful	16	11	1	0	3	0	3	3.57	****/1019	****	4.14	4.09	4.04	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ASIA 300 01
Title:	Topics in Asian Studies
Instructor:	Walker,David A

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	44
Questionnaires:	34

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	32	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/72	****	3.45	4.53	4.68	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	32	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/71	****	3.56	4.38	4.61	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	32	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/68	****	3.56	4.41	4.59	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	32	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/71	****	3.72	4.40	4.51	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	32	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/73	****	3.53	4.09	4.57	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	A	17	Required for Majors	10	Graduate	1	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	B	10						
56-83	5	2.00-2.99	3	C	0	General	5	Under-grad	33	Non-major	0
84-150	8	3.00-3.49	5	D	0						
Grad.	1	3.50-4.00	7	F	0	Electives	11	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	2				
				?	7						