

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	RUSS 102 01
Title:	Basic Russian II
Instructor:	Rusinko,Elaine

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment:	26
Questionnaires:	18

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	1	0	0	1	1	4	11	4.47	707/1560	4.47	4.47	4.35	4.17	4.47
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	1	1	5	10	4.41	760/1559	4.41	4.42	4.31	4.25	4.41
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	1	2	4	10	4.35	792/1371	4.35	4.56	4.38	4.27	4.35
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	1	0	1	4	2	9	4.19	934/1519	4.19	4.46	4.27	4.13	4.19
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	1	4	3	9	4.18	793/1452	4.18	4.40	4.18	4.04	4.18
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	2	0	2	1	3	9	4.27	691/1430	4.27	4.33	4.16	3.98	4.27
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	2	1	4	10	4.29	809/1539	4.29	4.38	4.23	4.18	4.29
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	0	0	0	1	15	4.94	318/1560	4.94	4.69	4.64	4.57	4.94
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	0	1	2	3	6	2	3.43	1382/1545	3.43	4.21	4.14	4.07	3.43
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	2	4	10	4.50	871/1496	4.50	4.54	4.49	4.43	4.50
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	1	4	11	4.63	1132/1498	4.63	4.83	4.75	4.67	4.63
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	1	2	6	7	4.19	1052/1496	4.19	4.45	4.37	4.31	4.19
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	1	0	2	3	10	4.31	942/1494	4.31	4.53	4.37	4.28	4.31
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	1	0	2	1	5	7	4.13	744/1352	4.13	4.24	4.12	3.98	4.13
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	4	0	1	2	2	3	6	3.79	963/1248	3.79	4.39	4.23	3.95	3.79
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	4	0	0	0	3	5	6	4.21	843/1250	4.21	4.64	4.39	4.13	4.21
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	4	0	0	1	5	4	4	3.79	1095/1239	3.79	4.56	4.45	4.18	3.79

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	RUSS 102 01
Title:	Basic Russian II
Instructor:	Rusinko,Elaine

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment:	26
Questionnaires:	18

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	5	0	1	1	2	5	4	3.77	692/906	3.77	4.35	4.13	3.98	3.77

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	A	5	Required for Majors	4	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	4	1.00-1.99	0	B	3						
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	0	C	4	General	6	Under-grad	18	Non-major	18
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	3	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	1						
				I	0	Other	2				
				?	4						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	RUSS 202 01
Title:	Continuing Russian I
Instructor:	Zhdanovych,Vira

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment:	14
Questionnaires:	9

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1560	5.00	4.47	4.35	4.37	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1559	5.00	4.42	4.31	4.33	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1371	5.00	4.56	4.38	4.40	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	1	7	4.67	356/1519	4.67	4.46	4.27	4.29	4.67
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	1	0	0	1	6	4.38	582/1452	4.38	4.40	4.18	4.22	4.38
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	1	1	0	0	0	6	4.43	532/1430	4.43	4.33	4.16	4.15	4.43
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	137/1539	4.88	4.38	4.23	4.25	4.88
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	526/1560	4.88	4.69	4.64	4.61	4.88
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1545	5.00	4.21	4.14	4.09	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1496	5.00	4.54	4.49	4.52	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1498	5.00	4.83	4.75	4.78	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1496	5.00	4.45	4.37	4.36	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1494	5.00	4.53	4.37	4.41	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	2	1	2	1	0	3	3.29	1238/1352	3.29	4.24	4.12	4.14	3.29
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	1	2	3	4.33	618/1248	4.33	4.39	4.23	4.25	4.33
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	3	0	0	0	1	0	5	4.67	479/1250	4.67	4.64	4.39	4.40	4.67
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	3	0	0	0	3	1	2	3.83	1076/1239	3.83	4.56	4.45	4.45	3.83

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	RUSS 202 01
Title:	Continuing Russian I
Instructor:	Zhdanovych,Vira

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment:	14
Questionnaires:	9

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	3	1	0	0	0	2	3	4.60	188/906	4.60	4.35	4.13	4.19	4.60

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	0	0.00-0.99 0	A 6	Required for Majors 4	Graduate 0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99 0	B 2		Major 4
56-83	1	2.00-2.99 1	C 0	General 1	Under-grad 9
84-150	3	3.00-3.49 0	D 1		Non-major 5
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00 3	F 0	Electives 2	
			P 0		
			I 0	Other 2	
			? 0		

**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	RUSS 302 01
Title:	Continuing Russian III
Instructor:	Zhdanovych,Vira

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment:	7
Questionnaires:	5

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1560	5.00	4.47	4.35	4.42	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	236/1559	4.80	4.42	4.31	4.35	4.80
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	261/1371	4.80	4.56	4.38	4.41	4.80
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1519	5.00	4.46	4.27	4.33	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1452	5.00	4.40	4.18	4.21	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1430	5.00	4.33	4.16	4.20	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	193/1539	4.80	4.38	4.23	4.27	4.80
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	695/1560	4.80	4.69	4.64	4.66	4.80
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	1	0	3	4.50	406/1545	4.50	4.21	4.14	4.19	4.50
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1496	5.00	4.54	4.49	4.54	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1498	5.00	4.83	4.75	4.79	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	1	0	4	4.60	588/1496	4.60	4.45	4.37	4.43	4.60
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	406/1494	4.75	4.53	4.37	4.43	4.75
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	2	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1352	5.00	4.24	4.12	4.23	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	822/1248	4.00	4.39	4.23	4.33	4.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	3	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	945/1250	4.00	4.64	4.39	4.47	4.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	3	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1239	5.00	4.56	4.45	4.53	5.00

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	RUSS 302 01
Title:	Continuing Russian III
Instructor:	Zhdanovych,Vira

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment:	7
Questionnaires:	5

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	3	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/906	****	4.35	4.13	4.14	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	A	2	Required for Majors	4	Graduate	0	Major	2
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	B	1						
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	0	C	1	General	0	Under-grad	5	Non-major	3
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	4	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	RUSS 304 01
Title:	Intermed Russian Conv II
Instructor:	Zhdanovych,Vira

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment:	5
Questionnaires:	3

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	3.67	1424/1560	3.67	4.47	4.35	4.42	3.67
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	3.67	1395/1559	3.67	4.42	4.31	4.35	3.67
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1371	5.00	4.56	4.38	4.41	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1519	5.00	4.46	4.27	4.33	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1452	5.00	4.40	4.18	4.21	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1430	5.00	4.33	4.16	4.20	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.38	4.23	4.27	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1560	5.00	4.69	4.64	4.66	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1545	5.00	4.21	4.14	4.19	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1496	5.00	4.54	4.49	4.54	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1498	5.00	4.83	4.75	4.79	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1496	5.00	4.45	4.37	4.43	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1494	5.00	4.53	4.37	4.43	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1352	5.00	4.24	4.12	4.23	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1248	5.00	4.39	4.23	4.33	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1250	5.00	4.64	4.39	4.47	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1239	5.00	4.56	4.45	4.53	5.00

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	RUSS 304 01
Title:	Intermed Russian Conv II
Instructor:	Zhdanovich,Vira

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment:	5
Questionnaires:	3

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/906	5.00	4.35	4.13	4.14	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	A	2	Required for Majors	3	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	B	1						
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	0	C	0	General	0	Under-grad	3	Non-major	2
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	RUSS 350 01
Title:	Russian Complem Reading
Instructor:	Zhdanovych,Vira

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment:	3
Questionnaires:	2

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1560	5.00	4.47	4.35	4.42	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1559	5.00	4.42	4.31	4.35	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1371	5.00	4.56	4.38	4.41	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1519	5.00	4.46	4.27	4.33	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1452	5.00	4.40	4.18	4.21	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1430	5.00	4.33	4.16	4.20	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.38	4.23	4.27	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1560	5.00	4.69	4.64	4.66	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1496	5.00	4.54	4.49	4.54	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1498	5.00	4.83	4.75	4.79	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1496	5.00	4.45	4.37	4.43	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1494	5.00	4.53	4.37	4.43	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1352	5.00	4.24	4.12	4.23	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1248	5.00	4.39	4.23	4.33	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1250	5.00	4.64	4.39	4.47	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1239	5.00	4.56	4.45	4.53	5.00

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	RUSS 350 01
Title:	Russian Complem Reading
Instructor:	Zhdanovych,Vira

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment:	3
Questionnaires:	2

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/906	5.00	4.35	4.13	4.14	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	0	0.00-0.99 0	A 1	Required for Majors 2	Graduate 0 Major 1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99 0	B 1		
56-83	1	2.00-2.99 0	C 0	General 0	Under-grad 2 Non-major 1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49 0	D 0		
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00 1	F 0	Electives 0	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant
			P 0		
			I 0	Other 0	
			? 0		

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	RUSS 402 01
Title:	Advanced Russian II
Instructor:	Zhdanovych,Vira

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment:	3
Questionnaires:	2

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1560	5.00	4.47	4.35	4.45	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1559	5.00	4.42	4.31	4.34	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1371	5.00	4.56	4.38	4.46	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1519	5.00	4.46	4.27	4.33	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1452	5.00	4.40	4.18	4.25	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1430	5.00	4.33	4.16	4.25	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.38	4.23	4.21	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1560	5.00	4.69	4.64	4.68	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1545	5.00	4.21	4.14	4.21	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1496	5.00	4.54	4.49	4.50	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1498	5.00	4.83	4.75	4.77	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1496	5.00	4.45	4.37	4.40	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1494	5.00	4.53	4.37	4.41	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1352	5.00	4.24	4.12	4.16	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1248	5.00	4.39	4.23	4.39	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1250	5.00	4.64	4.39	4.55	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1239	5.00	4.56	4.45	4.61	5.00

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	RUSS 402 01
Title:	Advanced Russian II
Instructor:	Zhdanovich,Vira

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment:	3
Questionnaires:	2

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/906	5.00	4.35	4.13	4.28	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	0	0.00-0.99 0	A 0	Required for Majors 2	Graduate 0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99 0	B 2		Major 2
56-83	0	2.00-2.99 0	C 0	General 0	Under-grad 2
84-150	0	3.00-3.49 0	D 0		Non-major 0
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00 0	F 0	Electives 0	
			P 0		
			I 0	Other 0	
			? 0		

**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	RUSS 415 01
Title:	Political Russian
Instructor:	Zhdanovych,Vira

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment:	7
Questionnaires:	4

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1560	5.00	4.47	4.35	4.45	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	0	3	4.50	627/1559	4.50	4.42	4.31	4.34	4.50
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1371	5.00	4.56	4.38	4.46	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	255/1519	4.75	4.46	4.27	4.33	4.75
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	202/1452	4.75	4.40	4.18	4.25	4.75
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	0	0	3	4.25	700/1430	4.25	4.33	4.16	4.25	4.25
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.38	4.23	4.21	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1560	5.00	4.69	4.64	4.68	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	255/1545	4.67	4.21	4.14	4.21	4.67
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	489/1496	4.75	4.54	4.49	4.50	4.75
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1498	5.00	4.83	4.75	4.77	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1496	5.00	4.45	4.37	4.40	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1494	5.00	4.53	4.37	4.41	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1352	5.00	4.24	4.12	4.16	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1248	5.00	4.39	4.23	4.39	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	381/1250	4.75	4.64	4.39	4.55	4.75
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1239	5.00	4.56	4.45	4.61	5.00

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	RUSS 415 01
Title:	Political Russian
Instructor:	Zhdanovych,Vira

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment:	7
Questionnaires:	4

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/906	5.00	4.35	4.13	4.28	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	0	0.00-0.99 0	A 1	Required for Majors 3	Graduate 0 Major 3
28-55	0	1.00-1.99 0	B 2		
56-83	2	2.00-2.99 0	C 0	General 0	Under-grad 4 Non-major 1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49 0	D 0		
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00 2	F 0	Electives 1	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant
			P 0		
			I 0	Other 0	
			? 1		