

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	KORE 102 01
Title:	Elementary Korean II
Instructor:	Krippes, Yeon K.

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment:	24
Questionnaires:	20

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	1	18	4.85	218/1560	4.73	4.47	4.35	4.17	4.85
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	18	4.90	120/1559	4.76	4.42	4.31	4.25	4.90
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	4	16	4.80	261/1371	4.71	4.56	4.38	4.27	4.80
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	0	0	2	16	4.89	134/1519	4.75	4.46	4.27	4.13	4.89
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	4	0	0	0	0	16	5.00	1/1452	4.77	4.40	4.18	4.04	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	4	1	14	4.53	406/1430	4.53	4.33	4.16	3.98	4.53
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	1	0	3	16	4.70	293/1539	4.70	4.38	4.23	4.18	4.70
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	20	5.00	1/1560	4.88	4.69	4.64	4.57	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	6	0	0	0	0	7	7	4.50	406/1545	4.39	4.21	4.14	4.07	4.50
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	3	17	4.85	315/1496	4.77	4.54	4.49	4.43	4.85
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	20	5.00	1/1498	4.85	4.83	4.75	4.67	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	3	16	4.84	240/1496	4.73	4.45	4.37	4.31	4.84
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	3	17	4.85	261/1494	4.77	4.53	4.37	4.28	4.85
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	2	0	0	2	3	13	4.61	257/1352	4.53	4.24	4.12	3.98	4.61
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	4	0	1	1	4	5	5	3.75	980/1248	3.42	4.39	4.23	3.95	3.75
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	4	0	0	1	1	4	10	4.44	675/1250	4.18	4.64	4.39	4.13	4.44
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	4	0	0	0	3	5	8	4.31	826/1239	3.99	4.56	4.45	4.18	4.31

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	KORE 102 01
Title:	Elementary Korean II
Instructor:	Krippes, Yeon K.

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment:	24
Questionnaires:	20

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	4	4	0	0	2	3	7	4.42	303/906	3.71	4.35	4.13	3.98	4.42

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	A	10	Required for Majors	8	Graduate	0	Major	2
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	B	7						
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	1	C	2	General	8	Under-grad	20	Non-major	18
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	4	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	4	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	1						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	KORE 102 02
Title:	Elementary Korean II
Instructor:	Krippes, Yeon K.

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment:	25
Questionnaires:	13

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	3	9	4.62	528/1560	4.73	4.47	4.35	4.17	4.62
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	3	9	4.62	481/1559	4.76	4.42	4.31	4.25	4.62
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	3	9	4.62	501/1371	4.71	4.56	4.38	4.27	4.62
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	3	9	4.62	421/1519	4.75	4.46	4.27	4.13	4.62
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	2	0	0	2	1	8	4.55	391/1452	4.77	4.40	4.18	4.04	4.55
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	2	2	9	4.54	395/1430	4.53	4.33	4.16	3.98	4.54
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	1	2	10	4.69	307/1539	4.70	4.38	4.23	4.18	4.69
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	3	10	4.77	759/1560	4.88	4.69	4.64	4.57	4.77
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	2	4	5	4.27	711/1545	4.39	4.21	4.14	4.07	4.27
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	1	2	10	4.69	593/1496	4.77	4.54	4.49	4.43	4.69
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	1	2	10	4.69	1036/1498	4.85	4.83	4.75	4.67	4.69
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	1	3	9	4.62	574/1496	4.73	4.45	4.37	4.31	4.62
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	2	10	4.69	493/1494	4.77	4.53	4.37	4.28	4.69
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	0	0	1	0	3	7	4.45	413/1352	4.53	4.24	4.12	3.98	4.45
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	3	3	0	2	4	3.08	1183/1248	3.42	4.39	4.23	3.95	3.08
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	1	1	1	4	5	3.92	1010/1250	4.18	4.64	4.39	4.13	3.92
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	4	1	2	5	3.67	1127/1239	3.99	4.56	4.45	4.18	3.67
4. Were special techniques successful	1	4	2	2	1	0	3	3.00	852/906	3.71	4.35	4.13	3.98	3.00

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	KORE 102 02
Title:	Elementary Korean II
Instructor:	Krippes, Yeon K.

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment:	25
Questionnaires:	13

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	12	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/64	****	5.00	4.44	4.50	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	12	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/58	****	4.88	4.37	4.32	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	12	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/66	****	5.00	4.41	4.53	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	12	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/63	****	4.50	4.09	4.17	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	0	0.00-0.99 0	A 9	Required for Majors 5	Graduate 0 Major 1
28-55	1	1.00-1.99 0	B 1		
56-83	0	2.00-2.99 0	C 2	General 5	Under-grad 13 Non-major 12
84-150	1	3.00-3.49 0	D 0		
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00 3	F 0	Electives 1	
			P 0		
			I 0		
			? 1		

**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	KORE 202 01
Title:	Intermediate Korean II
Instructor:	Krippes, Yeon K.

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment:	18
Questionnaires:	14

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	2	0	0	0	1	0	11	4.83	241/1560	4.83	4.47	4.35	4.37	4.83
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	2	0	0	0	0	1	11	4.92	108/1559	4.92	4.42	4.31	4.33	4.92
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	2	0	0	0	0	1	11	4.92	153/1371	4.92	4.56	4.38	4.40	4.92
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	3	0	0	0	1	0	10	4.82	197/1519	4.82	4.46	4.27	4.29	4.82
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	2	2	0	0	0	1	9	4.90	98/1452	4.90	4.40	4.18	4.22	4.90
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	1	0	0	0	1	10	4.91	93/1430	4.91	4.33	4.16	4.15	4.91
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	0	0	0	1	1	10	4.75	243/1539	4.75	4.38	4.23	4.25	4.75
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	0	0	0	0	12	5.00	1/1560	5.00	4.69	4.64	4.61	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	5	0	0	0	0	4	5	4.56	360/1545	4.56	4.21	4.14	4.09	4.56
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	0	1	11	4.92	205/1496	4.92	4.54	4.49	4.52	4.92
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	0	12	5.00	1/1498	5.00	4.83	4.75	4.78	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	0	1	11	4.92	144/1496	4.92	4.45	4.37	4.36	4.92
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	1	11	4.92	172/1494	4.92	4.53	4.37	4.41	4.92
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	3	1	0	1	1	4	4	4.10	773/1352	4.10	4.24	4.12	4.14	4.10
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	0	3	6	4.67	348/1248	4.67	4.39	4.23	4.25	4.67
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	5	0	0	0	0	2	7	4.78	358/1250	4.78	4.64	4.39	4.40	4.78
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	5	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	279/1239	4.89	4.56	4.45	4.45	4.89

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	KORE 202 01
Title:	Intermediate Korean II
Instructor:	Krippes, Yeon K.

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment:	18
Questionnaires:	14

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	5	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/906	5.00	4.35	4.13	4.19	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	1 A 6	Required for Majors	3 Graduate 0 Major 0
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	0 B 4		
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0 C 0	General	2 Under-grad 14 Non-major 14
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	3 D 0		
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2 F 0	Electives	3 **** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant
			P 0		
			I 0	Other	2
			? 4		

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	KORE 302 01
Title:	Advanced Korean II
Instructor:	Yoon,Kyung-Eun

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment:	18
Questionnaires:	14

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	3	11	4.79	301/1560	4.79	4.47	4.35	4.42	4.79
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	12	4.86	178/1559	4.86	4.42	4.31	4.35	4.86
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	0	13	5.00	1/1371	5.00	4.56	4.38	4.41	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	2	11	4.85	170/1519	4.85	4.46	4.27	4.33	4.85
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	0	3	10	4.77	196/1452	4.77	4.40	4.18	4.21	4.77
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	5	9	4.64	285/1430	4.64	4.33	4.16	4.20	4.64
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	2	12	4.86	153/1539	4.86	4.38	4.23	4.27	4.86
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	6	7	4.54	1024/1560	4.54	4.69	4.64	4.66	4.54
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	0	0	0	3	8	4.73	202/1545	4.73	4.21	4.14	4.19	4.73
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	0	13	5.00	1/1496	5.00	4.54	4.49	4.54	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	13	5.00	1/1498	5.00	4.83	4.75	4.79	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	2	11	4.85	240/1496	4.85	4.45	4.37	4.43	4.85
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	1	12	4.92	153/1494	4.92	4.53	4.37	4.43	4.92
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	0	2	4	7	4.38	494/1352	4.38	4.24	4.12	4.23	4.38
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	11	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/1248	****	4.39	4.23	4.33	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	11	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/1250	****	4.64	4.39	4.47	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	11	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/1239	****	4.56	4.45	4.53	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	KORE 302 01
Title:	Advanced Korean II
Instructor:	Yoon,Kyung-Eun

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment:	18
Questionnaires:	14

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	11	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/906	****	4.35	4.13	4.14	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	A	7	Required for Majors	5	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	B	3						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	2	C	1	General	3	Under-grad	14	Non-major	13
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	2	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	3						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	KORE 310 01
Title:	Kor in Soc/Cult Context
Instructor:	Yoon,Kyung-Eun

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment:	19
Questionnaires:	13

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	1	0	0	0	0	2	10	4.83	241/1560	4.83	4.47	4.35	4.42	4.83
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	2	10	4.83	201/1559	4.83	4.42	4.31	4.35	4.83
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	2	10	4.83	233/1371	4.83	4.56	4.38	4.41	4.83
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	2	10	4.83	179/1519	4.83	4.46	4.27	4.33	4.83
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	2	10	4.83	149/1452	4.83	4.40	4.18	4.21	4.83
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	2	10	4.83	128/1430	4.83	4.33	4.16	4.20	4.83
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	0	0	1	11	4.92	102/1539	4.92	4.38	4.23	4.27	4.92
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	5	7	4.58	979/1560	4.58	4.69	4.64	4.66	4.58
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	5	0	1	0	0	2	5	4.25	733/1545	4.25	4.21	4.14	4.19	4.25
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	1/1496	5.00	4.54	4.49	4.54	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	1/1498	5.00	4.83	4.75	4.79	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	0	0	0	1	9	4.90	160/1496	4.90	4.45	4.37	4.43	4.90
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	1	0	9	4.80	332/1494	4.80	4.53	4.37	4.43	4.80
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	3	0	0	1	0	1	8	4.60	266/1352	4.60	4.24	4.12	4.23	4.60
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	10	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/1248	****	4.39	4.23	4.33	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	10	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/1250	****	4.64	4.39	4.47	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	10	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/1239	****	4.56	4.45	4.53	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	KORE 310 01
Title:	Kor in Soc/Cult Context
Instructor:	Yoon,Kyung-Eun

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment:	19
Questionnaires:	13

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	10	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/906	****	4.35	4.13	4.14	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	A	4	Required for Majors	4	Graduate	0	Major	3
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	B	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	1	C	0	General	1	Under-grad	13	Non-major	10
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	8						