

Course-Section: WOL 102 0101
 Title ELEMENTARY WOLOF II
 Instructor: MBAYE, ABDOULAY
 Enrollment: 6
 Questionnaires: 4

University of Maryland
 Baltimore County
 Spring 2008

Page 1677
 AUG 6, 2008
 Job IRBR3029

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaire

| Questions | NR | NA | Frequencies | | | | | Instructor | | Course Mean | Dept Mean | UMBC Mean | Level Mean | Sect Mean |
|---|----|----|-------------|---|---|---|---|------------|-----------|-------------|-----------|-----------|------------|-----------|
| | | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | | | | | |
| ----- | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| General | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 5.00 | 1/1670 | 5.00 | 4.36 | 4.31 | 4.23 | 5.00 |
| 2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4.00 | 1199/1666 | 4.00 | 4.31 | 4.27 | 4.30 | 4.00 |
| 3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 5.00 | 1/1406 | 5.00 | 4.48 | 4.32 | 4.31 | 5.00 |
| 4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4.00 | 1083/1615 | 4.00 | 4.34 | 4.24 | 4.17 | 4.00 |
| 5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3.75 | 1144/1566 | 3.75 | 4.26 | 4.07 | 4.03 | 3.75 |
| 6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4.00 | 899/1528 | 4.00 | 4.23 | 4.12 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
| 7. Was the grading system clearly explained | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 5.00 | 1/1650 | 5.00 | 4.16 | 4.22 | 4.28 | 5.00 |
| 8. How many times was class cancelled | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 3.75 | 1633/1667 | 3.75 | 4.47 | 4.67 | 4.61 | 3.75 |
| 9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 4.50 | 403/1626 | 4.50 | 4.08 | 4.11 | 4.07 | 4.50 |
| Lecture | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4.00 | 1280/1559 | 4.00 | 4.38 | 4.46 | 4.47 | 4.00 |
| 2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 5.00 | 1/1560 | 5.00 | 4.75 | 4.72 | 4.68 | 5.00 |
| 3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 5.00 | 1/1549 | 5.00 | 4.34 | 4.31 | 4.32 | 5.00 |
| 4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 5.00 | 1/1546 | 5.00 | 4.40 | 4.32 | 4.32 | 5.00 |
| 5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3.25 | 1125/1323 | 3.25 | 3.96 | 4.00 | 3.91 | 3.25 |
| Discussion | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4.00 | 820/1384 | 4.00 | 4.29 | 4.10 | 3.92 | 4.00 |
| 2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 5.00 | 1/1378 | 5.00 | 4.56 | 4.29 | 4.09 | 5.00 |
| 3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 5.00 | 1/1378 | 5.00 | 4.45 | 4.31 | 4.08 | 5.00 |
| 4. Were special techniques successful | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 4.67 | 179/ 904 | 4.67 | 4.11 | 4.03 | 3.94 | 4.67 |

Frequency Distribution

| Credits Earned | Cum. GPA | Expected Grades | Reasons | Type | Majors | | |
|----------------|----------|-----------------|---------|------|-----------------------|---|-------------|
| 00-27 | 0 | 0.00-0.99 | 0 | A 1 | Required for Majors 3 | Graduate 0 | Major 1 |
| 28-55 | 0 | 1.00-1.99 | 0 | B 3 | | | |
| 56-83 | 0 | 2.00-2.99 | 0 | C 0 | General 0 | Under-grad 4 | Non-major 3 |
| 84-150 | 0 | 3.00-3.49 | 0 | D 0 | | | |
| Grad. | 0 | 3.50-4.00 | 0 | F 0 | Electives 1 | #### - Means there are not enough responses to be significant | |
| | | | | P 0 | | | |
| | | | | I 0 | Other 0 | | |
| | | | | ? 0 | | | |