

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	WOL 101 01
Title:	Elementary Wolof I
Instructor:	Ka,Omar

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment:	7
Questionnaires:	6

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1528	5.00	4.38	4.31	4.16	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1527	5.00	4.37	4.28	4.23	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1333	5.00	4.55	4.34	4.26	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1495	5.00	4.36	4.25	4.11	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1439	5.00	4.38	4.11	3.97	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1425	5.00	4.23	4.12	3.93	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	129/1508	4.83	4.16	4.18	4.11	4.83
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	742/1526	4.80	4.63	4.66	4.57	4.80
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1490	5.00	4.13	4.11	4.02	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1428	5.00	4.50	4.49	4.43	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1436	5.00	4.82	4.74	4.70	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1427	5.00	4.39	4.32	4.27	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1425	5.00	4.50	4.34	4.31	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	1	1	0	0	0	4	4.20	574/1291	4.20	4.11	4.05	3.97	4.20
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	184/1271	4.83	4.36	4.16	3.98	4.83
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	268/1276	4.83	4.62	4.33	4.14	4.83
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1273	5.00	4.55	4.38	4.18	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	0	1	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	102/922	4.80	4.27	4.02	3.87	4.80

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	WOL 101 01
Title:	Elementary Wolof I
Instructor:	Ka,Omar

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment:	7
Questionnaires:	6

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	3	0.00-0.99 0	A 3 Required for Majors	0	Graduate 0 Major 1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99 0	B 2		
56-83	1	2.00-2.99 0	C 0 General	3	Under-grad 6 Non-major 5
84-150	1	3.00-3.49 3	D 0		
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00 0	F 0 Electives	3	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant
			P 0		
			I 0 Other	0	
			? 0		

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	WOL 102 01
Title:	Elementary Wolof II
Instructor:	Ka,Omar

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment:	3
Questionnaires:	3

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1528	5.00	4.38	4.31	4.16	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1527	5.00	4.37	4.28	4.23	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1333	5.00	4.55	4.34	4.26	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1495	5.00	4.36	4.25	4.11	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1439	5.00	4.38	4.11	3.97	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1425	5.00	4.23	4.12	3.93	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1508	5.00	4.16	4.18	4.11	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	4.33	1216/1526	4.33	4.63	4.66	4.57	4.33
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1490	5.00	4.13	4.11	4.02	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1428	5.00	4.50	4.49	4.43	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1436	5.00	4.82	4.74	4.70	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1427	5.00	4.39	4.32	4.27	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1425	5.00	4.50	4.34	4.31	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	3.00	1194/1291	3.00	4.11	4.05	3.97	3.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1271	5.00	4.36	4.16	3.98	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1276	5.00	4.62	4.33	4.14	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1273	5.00	4.55	4.38	4.18	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/922	5.00	4.27	4.02	3.87	5.00

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: WOL 102 01	Term - Fall 2010	Enrollment: 3
Title: Elementary Wolof II		Questionnaires: 3
Instructor: Ka,Omar		

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	0	0.00-0.99 0	A 1 Required for Majors	1 Graduate	0 Major
28-55	0	1.00-1.99 0	B 0		
56-83	0	2.00-2.99 0	C 1 General	0	Under-grad 3 Non-major 3
84-150	1	3.00-3.49 0	D 0		
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00 1	F 0 Electives	0	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant
			P 0		
			I 0 Other	0	
			? 1		