

Course-Section: WOL 102 0101
 Title ELEMENTARY WOLOF II
 Instructor: MBAYE, ABDOULAY
 Enrollment: 6
 Questionnaires: 3

University of Maryland
 Baltimore County
 Spring 2006

Page 1488
 JUN 13, 2006
 Job IRBR3029

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaire

Questions	Frequencies								Instructor		Course	Dept	UMBC	Level	Sect
	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	

General															
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1481	5.00	4.26	4.29	4.14	5.00	
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1481	5.00	4.26	4.23	4.18	5.00	
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1249	5.00	4.37	4.27	4.14	5.00	
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	3.67	1224/1424	3.67	4.27	4.21	4.06	3.67	
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	3.67	985/1396	3.67	4.07	3.98	3.89	3.67	
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	3.67	1039/1342	3.67	4.12	4.07	3.88	3.67	
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1459	5.00	4.19	4.16	4.17	5.00	
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1480	5.00	4.64	4.68	4.64	5.00	
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1450	5.00	4.10	4.09	3.97	5.00	
Lecture															
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1409	5.00	4.46	4.42	4.36	5.00	
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1407	5.00	4.77	4.69	4.57	5.00	
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1399	5.00	4.30	4.26	4.23	5.00	
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1400	5.00	4.35	4.27	4.19	5.00	
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1179	5.00	3.94	3.96	3.85	5.00	
Discussion															
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1262	5.00	4.18	4.05	3.77	5.00	
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1259	5.00	4.40	4.29	4.06	5.00	
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1256	5.00	4.34	4.30	4.08	5.00	
4. Were special techniques successful	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/ 788	5.00	4.03	4.00	3.80	5.00	

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	A 1	Required for Majors	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	B 0		Graduate 0
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	C 0	General	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	D 0		Under-grad 3
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	F 0	Electives	0
			P 0		#### - Means there are not enough responses to be significant
			I 0	Other	0
			? 0		