

Course-Section: VPA 121H 0101  
 Title INTRODUCTION TO ARTS I  
 Instructor: KREIZENBECK, AL  
 Enrollment: 16  
 Questionnaires: 9

University of Maryland  
 Baltimore County  
 Spring 2008

Page 1673  
 AUG 6, 2008  
 Job IRBR3029

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaire

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course Mean	Dept Mean	UMBC Mean	Level Mean	Sect Mean
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank					
-----														
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	2	6	4.56	611/1670	4.35	4.38	4.31	4.23	4.56
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	7	4.78	291/1666	4.46	4.56	4.27	4.30	4.78
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	7	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/1406	4.67	4.67	4.32	4.31	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	2	6	4.56	499/1615	4.28	4.44	4.24	4.17	4.56
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	6	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	295/1566	4.33	4.39	4.07	4.03	4.67
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	0	3	5	4.63	330/1528	4.31	4.33	4.12	4.00	4.63
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	0	1	3	4	4.38	757/1650	4.10	4.23	4.22	4.28	4.38
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	730/1667	4.72	4.52	4.67	4.61	4.88
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	1	0	2	6	4.44	499/1626	4.44	4.47	4.11	4.07	4.44
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1559	4.83	4.80	4.46	4.47	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1560	5.00	5.00	4.72	4.68	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1549	4.67	4.80	4.31	4.32	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	2	5	4.71	457/1546	4.52	4.67	4.32	4.32	4.71
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	4	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1323	4.50	4.72	4.00	3.91	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1384	5.00	4.97	4.10	3.92	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	3	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1378	5.00	4.87	4.29	4.09	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	3	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1378	5.00	5.00	4.31	4.08	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	3	2	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/ 904	4.50	4.71	4.03	3.94	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors		
00-27	4	0.00-0.99	0	A 9	Required for Majors 0	Graduate 0	Major 0
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	B 0			
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	C 0	General 0	Under-grad 9	Non-major 9
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	1	D 0			
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	5	F 0	Electives 0	#### - Means there are not enough responses to be significant	
				P 0			
				I 0	Other 9		
				? 0			

Course-Section: VPA 121H 0201  
 Title INTRODUCTION TO ARTS I  
 Instructor: KREIZENBECK, AL  
 Enrollment: 8  
 Questionnaires: 7

University of Maryland  
 Baltimore County  
 Spring 2008

Page 1674  
 AUG 6, 2008  
 Job IRBR3029

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaire

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course Mean	Dept Mean	UMBC Mean	Level Mean	Sect Mean
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank					
-----														
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	4	2	4.14	1116/1670	4.35	4.38	4.31	4.23	4.14
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	2	3	4.14	1092/1666	4.46	4.56	4.27	4.30	4.14
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	4	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	423/1406	4.67	4.67	4.32	4.31	4.67
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	1	1	2	3	4.00	1083/1615	4.28	4.44	4.24	4.17	4.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	2	0	0	2	1	2	4.00	851/1566	4.33	4.39	4.07	4.03	4.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	2	2	2	4.00	899/1528	4.31	4.33	4.12	4.00	4.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	1	1	2	2	3.83	1314/1650	4.10	4.23	4.22	4.28	3.83
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	3	4	4.57	1104/1667	4.72	4.52	4.67	4.61	4.57
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	1	2	4	4.43	531/1626	4.44	4.47	4.11	4.07	4.43
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	4	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	673/1559	4.83	4.80	4.46	4.47	4.67
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	4	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1560	5.00	5.00	4.72	4.68	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	4	0	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	900/1549	4.67	4.80	4.31	4.32	4.33
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	919/1546	4.52	4.67	4.32	4.32	4.33
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	4	0	0	0	1	1	1	4.00	692/1323	4.50	4.72	4.00	3.91	4.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1384	5.00	4.97	4.10	3.92	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	5	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1378	5.00	4.87	4.29	4.09	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	5	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1378	5.00	5.00	4.31	4.08	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	5	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	461/ 904	4.50	4.71	4.03	3.94	4.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	0	A	7	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	B	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	C	0	General	0	Under-grad	7	Non-major	7
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	0	#### - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	7				
				?	0						

Course-Section: VPA 225 0102  
 Title IDEAS IN TH ARTS  
 Instructor: BOAZ, HEATHER  
 Enrollment: 9  
 Questionnaires: 9

University of Maryland  
 Baltimore County  
 Spring 2008

Page 1675  
 AUG 6, 2008  
 Job IRBR3029

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaire

Questions	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Dept	UMBC	Level	Sect		
	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean		
-----														
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	3	5	4.44	751/1670	4.44	4.38	4.31	4.32	4.44
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	3	5	4.44	719/1666	4.44	4.56	4.27	4.27	4.44
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	4	4	4.33	775/1615	4.33	4.44	4.24	4.29	4.33
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	8	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/1566	****	4.39	4.07	4.00	****
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	3	5	4.44	504/1528	4.44	4.33	4.12	4.11	4.44
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	1	4	4	4.33	806/1650	4.33	4.23	4.22	4.20	4.33
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	1	5	3	4.22	1388/1667	4.22	4.52	4.67	4.64	4.22
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	0	0	0	3	3	4.50	403/1626	4.50	4.47	4.11	4.06	4.50
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	2	7	4.78	486/1559	4.78	4.80	4.46	4.40	4.78
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1560	5.00	5.00	4.72	4.73	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1549	5.00	4.80	4.31	4.25	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	0	8	4.78	382/1546	4.78	4.67	4.32	4.30	4.78
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	126/1323	4.89	4.72	4.00	4.08	4.89
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	179/1384	4.89	4.97	4.10	4.07	4.89
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	264/1378	4.89	4.87	4.29	4.25	4.89
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1378	5.00	5.00	4.31	4.26	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	0	3	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	123/ 904	4.83	4.71	4.03	4.01	4.83

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned		Cum. GPA		Expected Grades		Reasons		Type		Majors		
-----												
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	A	8	Required for Majors		2	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	B	1							
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	C	0	General		1	Under-grad	9	Non-major	9
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	3	D	0							
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives		0	#### - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0							
				I	0	Other		5				
				?	0							

Course-Section: VPA 325 0101  
 Title CONTEMP ART IN PROCESS  
 Instructor: BOAZ, HEATHER  
 Enrollment: 11  
 Questionnaires: 8

University of Maryland  
 Baltimore County  
 Spring 2008

Page 1676  
 AUG 6, 2008  
 Job IRBR3029

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaire

Questions	Frequencies						Instructor		Course Mean	Dept Mean	UMBC Mean	Level Mean	Sect Mean	
	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean						Rank
-----														
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	2	1	5	4.38	849/1670	4.38	4.38	4.31	4.24	4.38
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	216/1666	4.86	4.56	4.27	4.18	4.86
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	7	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1406	****	4.67	4.32	4.22	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	210/1615	4.86	4.44	4.24	4.18	4.86
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	2	5	4.50	389/1566	4.50	4.39	4.07	4.04	4.50
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	1	1	5	4.25	706/1528	4.25	4.33	4.12	4.07	4.25
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	1	1	0	6	4.38	757/1650	4.38	4.23	4.22	4.12	4.38
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	4	3	4.43	1236/1667	4.43	4.52	4.67	4.67	4.43
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	0	3	3	4.50	403/1626	4.50	4.47	4.11	4.06	4.50
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	1	0	7	4.75	521/1559	4.75	4.80	4.46	4.40	4.75
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1560	5.00	5.00	4.72	4.67	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	229/1549	4.88	4.80	4.31	4.25	4.88
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	288/1546	4.86	4.67	4.32	4.24	4.86
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1323	5.00	4.72	4.00	3.99	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1384	5.00	4.97	4.10	4.12	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	3	0	0	0	1	0	4	4.60	525/1378	4.60	4.87	4.29	4.30	4.60
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	3	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1378	5.00	5.00	4.31	4.33	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	3	3	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/ 904	5.00	4.71	4.03	4.03	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors		
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	0	A 4	Required for Majors 2	Graduate 0	Major 0
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	B 2			
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	1	C 0	General 1	Under-grad 8	Non-major 8
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D 0			
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	4	F 0	Electives 0	#### - Means there are not enough responses to be significant	
				P 0			
				I 0	Other 2		
				? 0			