

Course-Section: VPA 120H 0101
 Title INTRO TO THE ARTS I
 Instructor: KREI ZENBECK, AL
 Enrollment: 26
 Questionnaires: 18

University of Maryland
 Baltimore County
 Fall 2007

Page 1640
 FEB 13, 2008
 Job I RBR3029

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaire

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Mean	Instructor Rank	Course Mean	Dept Mean	UMBC Mean	Level Mean	Sect Mean
			1	2	3	4	5							
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	0	2	3	12	4.59	529/1639	4.59	4.56	4.27	4.08	4.59
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	1	2	2	12	4.47	567/1639	4.47	4.57	4.22	4.17	4.47
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	10	0	0	1	0	6	4.71	323/1397	4.71	4.71	4.28	4.18	4.71
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	1	5	11	4.59	392/1583	4.59	4.50	4.19	4.01	4.59
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	12	0	0	1	0	4	4.60	276/1532	4.60	4.53	4.01	3.88	4.60
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	1	0	0	2	2	12	4.63	275/1504	4.63	4.46	4.05	3.78	4.63
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	2	1	1	1	5	7	4.07	1003/1612	4.07	4.28	4.16	4.10	4.07
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	4	13	4.76	869/1635	4.76	4.84	4.65	4.56	4.76
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	0	0	3	6	6	4.20	725/1579	4.20	4.20	4.08	3.95	4.20
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	7	0	1	0	1	0	9	4.45	877/1518	4.45	4.61	4.43	4.38	4.45
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	7	0	0	0	1	0	10	4.82	776/1520	4.82	4.91	4.70	4.61	4.82
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	7	0	0	0	1	1	9	4.73	335/1517	4.73	4.74	4.27	4.20	4.73
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	2	0	9	4.64	489/1550	4.64	4.69	4.22	4.17	4.64
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	6	1	0	0	2	3	6	4.36	376/1295	4.36	4.59	3.94	3.84	4.36
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	1	2	10	4.69	309/1398	4.69	4.52	4.07	3.85	4.69
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	6	0	0	0	0	3	9	4.75	393/1391	4.75	4.73	4.30	4.07	4.75
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	5	0	0	0	0	1	12	4.92	179/1388	4.92	4.73	4.28	4.01	4.92
4. Were special techniques successful	5	2	0	0	1	1	9	4.73	131/ 958	4.73	4.57	3.93	3.71	4.73
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	17	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/ 224	****	****	4.10	3.90	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	17	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/ 240	****	****	4.11	4.01	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	17	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/ 85	****	****	4.58	4.50	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	17	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/ 80	****	****	4.47	4.39	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	17	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/ 82	****	****	4.16	3.90	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	16	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/ 52	****	****	4.04	3.61	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	17	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/ 53	****	****	4.05	3.51	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	16	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/ 42	****	****	4.75	4.79	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	16	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/ 37	****	****	4.58	5.00	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	17	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/ 50	****	****	4.45	4.54	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	17	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/ 32	****	****	4.51	4.67	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	17	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/ 43	****	****	4.69	4.69	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	6	0.00-0.99	2	A	12	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	B	3						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	C	0	General	0	Under-grad	18	Non-major	18
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	#### - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	17				
				?	1						

Course-Section: VPA 225 0101
 Title IDEAS IN TH ARTS
 Instructor: BOAZ, HEATHER
 Enrollment: 29
 Questionnaires: 29

University of Maryland
 Baltimore County
 Fall 2007

Page 1641
 FEB 13, 2008
 Job I RBR3029

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaire

Frequencies Instructor Course Dept UMBC Level Sect

Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	5	0	0	0	3	5	16	4.54	572/1639	4.54	4.56	4.27	4.35	4.54
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	5	0	0	0	2	4	18	4.67	349/1639	4.67	4.57	4.22	4.27	4.67
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	5	14	0	0	0	3	7	4.70	342/1397	4.70	4.71	4.28	4.39	4.70
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	4	1	1	2	0	4	17	4.42	584/1583	4.42	4.50	4.19	4.28	4.42
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	7	0	1	0	1	6	14	4.45	388/1532	4.45	4.53	4.01	4.09	4.45
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	8	1	1	0	2	6	11	4.30	568/1504	4.30	4.46	4.05	4.09	4.30
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	6	1	0	0	3	5	14	4.50	490/1612	4.50	4.28	4.16	4.21	4.50
8. How many times was class cancelled	6	1	0	0	0	2	20	4.91	662/1635	4.91	4.84	4.65	4.63	4.91
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	13	1	0	1	3	3	8	4.20	725/1579	4.20	4.20	4.08	4.14	4.20
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	8	0	0	0	2	1	18	4.76	435/1518	4.76	4.61	4.43	4.48	4.76
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	9	0	0	0	0	0	20	5.00	1/1520	5.00	4.91	4.70	4.78	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	9	0	0	0	0	5	15	4.75	299/1517	4.75	4.74	4.27	4.34	4.75
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	9	0	0	0	1	3	16	4.75	351/1550	4.75	4.69	4.22	4.33	4.75
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	8	0	0	0	1	2	18	4.81	109/1295	4.81	4.59	3.94	4.07	4.81
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	12	0	0	1	3	2	11	4.35	546/1398	4.35	4.52	4.07	4.14	4.35
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	12	0	0	0	1	3	13	4.71	454/1391	4.71	4.73	4.30	4.35	4.71
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	12	0	1	0	1	2	13	4.53	631/1388	4.53	4.73	4.28	4.37	4.53
4. Were special techniques successful	12	5	0	1	1	2	8	4.42	260/958	4.42	4.57	3.93	4.00	4.42
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	28	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/240	****	****	4.11	4.47	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	28	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/52	****	****	4.04	4.78	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	28	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/53	****	****	4.05	4.28	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	28	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/42	****	****	4.75	****	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	27	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/37	****	****	4.58	****	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	28	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/32	****	****	4.56	****	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	28	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/50	****	****	4.45	3.24	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	28	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/32	****	****	4.51	4.33	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	28	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/43	****	****	4.69	****	****
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	28	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/32	****	****	4.37	1.00	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	28	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/21	****	****	4.52	3.00	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors		
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	A 15	Required for Majors 5	Graduate 0	Major 0
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	B 2			
56-83	3	2.00-2.99	0	C 0	General 4	Under-grad 29	Non-major 29
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	4	D 0			
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	5	F 0	Electives 0	#### - Means there are not enough responses to be significant	
				P 0			
				I 0	Other 8		
				? 0			

Course-Section: VPA 225H 0401
 Title IDEAS IN THE ARTS
 Instructor: BOAZ, HEATHER
 Enrollment: 1
 Questions: 1

University of Maryland
 Baltimore County
 Fall 2007

Page 1642
 FEB 13, 2008
 Job 1RBR3029

Student Course Evaluation Questions

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor	Course	Dept	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors		
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	A 0	Required for Majors 0	Graduate 0	Major 0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	B 0			
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	C 0	General 0	Under-grad 1	Non-major 1

84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0		
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0
				P	0		
				I	0	Other	0
				?	0		

- Means there are not enough responses to be significant