### Student Course Evaluation Questionnaire

#### General

1. **Did you gain new insights, skills from this course**
   - Frequencies: 0 0 2 0 1 3 12
   - Mean: 4.28
   - Rank: 864/1504
   - **Mean:** 4.28 4.24 4.27 4.44 4.28

2. **Did the instructor make clear the expected goals**
   - Frequencies: 0 0 0 1 6 11
   - Mean: 4.56
   - Rank: 437/1503
   - **Mean:** 4.22 4.20 4.28 4.56

3. **Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals**
   - Frequencies: 0 0 0 4 5 9
   - Mean: 4.28
   - Rank: 766/1290
   - **Mean:** 4.32 4.28 4.36 4.28

4. **Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals**
   - Frequencies: 0 0 0 1 11
   - Mean: 4.56
   - Rank: 385/1453
   - **Mean:** 4.22 4.34 4.56

5. **Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned**
   - Frequencies: 0 0 0 2 3 13
   - Mean: 4.61
   - Rank: 241/1421
   - **Mean:** 4.08 4.00 4.35

6. **Did written assignments contribute to what you learned**
   - Frequencies: 1 0 0 0 2 2 13
   - Mean: 4.65
   - Rank: 199/1365
   - **Mean:** 4.11 4.08 4.35

7. **Was the grading system clearly explained**
   - Frequencies: 0 0 2 1 2 4 11
   - Mean: 4.11
   - Rank: 926/1485
   - **Mean:** 4.20 4.16 4.24

8. **How many times was class cancelled**
   - Frequencies: 1 0 0 0 0 0 17
   - Mean: 5.00
   - Rank: 1/1504
   - **Mean:** 5.00 4.68 4.69

9. **How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness**
   - Frequencies: 7 0 0 0 1 7 3
   - Mean: 4.18
   - Rank: 710/1483
   - **Mean:** 4.07 4.20

#### Lecture

1. **Were the instructor’s lectures well prepared**
   - Frequencies: 0 0 0 0 1 1 16
   - Mean: 4.94
   - Rank: 107/1425
   - **Mean:** 4.41 4.41 4.51

2. **Did the instructor seem interested in the subject**
   - Frequencies: 0 0 0 0 1 0 17
   - Mean: 5.00
   - Rank: 1/1426
   - **Mean:** 4.72 4.69 5.00

3. **Was lecture material presented and explained clearly**
   - Frequencies: 1 0 0 0 1 5 11
   - Mean: 4.59
   - Rank: 475/1418
   - **Mean:** 4.29 4.36

4. **Did the lectures contribute to what you learned**
   - Frequencies: 1 0 0 1 0 3 13
   - Mean: 4.65
   - Rank: 472/1416
   - **Mean:** 4.34 4.38

5. **Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding**
   - Frequencies: 1 0 0 0 1 4 12
   - Mean: 4.65
   - Rank: 189/1199
   - **Mean:** 4.07 4.20

#### Discussion

1. **Did class discussions contribute to what you learned**
   - Frequencies: 1 0 0 2 0 5 10
   - Mean: 4.35
   - Rank: 512/1312
   - **Mean:** 4.12 4.31

2. **Were all students actively encouraged to participate**
   - Frequencies: 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 16
   - Mean: 4.29
   - Rank: 770/1303
   - **Mean:** 4.39 4.48

3. **Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion**
   - Frequencies: 1 0 1 1 3 11
   - Mean: 4.29
   - Rank: 774/1299
   - **Mean:** 4.34 4.56

4. **Were special techniques successful**
   - Frequencies: 1 1 0 0 1 4 11
   - Mean: 4.63
   - Rank: 146/758
   - **Mean:** 4.05 4.24

#### Laboratory

1. **Did the lab increase understanding of the material**
   - Frequencies: 3 0 0 1 0 2 12
   - Mean: 4.67
   - Rank: 47/233
   - **Mean:** 4.67 4.09 4.56

2. **Were you provided with adequate background information**
   - Frequencies: 3 0 0 0 0 4 11
   - Mean: 4.73
   - Rank: 41/244
   - **Mean:** 4.73 4.09

3. **Were necessary materials available for lab activities**
   - Frequencies: 3 0 0 0 1 2 11
   - Mean: 4.71
   - Rank: 72/225
   - **Mean:** 4.40 4.69

4. **Did the lab instructor provide assistance**
   - Frequencies: 3 1 0 0 0 1 11
   - Mean: 4.71
   - Rank: 72/225
   - **Mean:** 4.40 4.69

5. **Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified**
   - Frequencies: 3 0 0 0 2 1 12
   - Mean: 4.67
   - Rank: 46/207
   - **Mean:** 4.09 4.40

#### Seminar

1. **Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme**
   - Frequencies: 17 0 0 0 0 0 1
   - Mean: 5.00
   - Rank: 76
   - **Mean:** 4.60 4.57

2. **Was the instructor available for individual attention**
   - Frequencies: 17 0 0 0 0 0 1
   - Mean: 5.00
   - Rank: 76
   - **Mean:** 4.54 4.35

3. **Did research projects contribute to what you learned**
   - Frequencies: 16 0 0 0 0 0 2
   - Mean: 5.00
   - Rank: 67
   - **Mean:** 4.32 4.48

4. **Did presentations contribute to what you learned**
   - Frequencies: 16 0 0 0 0 0 2
   - Mean: 5.00
   - Rank: 76
   - **Mean:** 4.41 4.44

5. **Were criteria for grading made clear**
   - Frequencies: 16 0 0 0 0 0 2
   - Mean: 5.00
   - Rank: 73
   - **Mean:** 4.17 4.15

#### Frequency Distribution

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Credits Earned</th>
<th>Cum. GPA</th>
<th>Expected Grades</th>
<th>Reasons</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Majors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>00-27</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.00-0.99</td>
<td>A 13</td>
<td>Required for Majors 0</td>
<td>Graduate 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28-55</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.00-1.99</td>
<td>B 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56-83</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.00-2.99</td>
<td>C 0</td>
<td>General 0</td>
<td>Under-grad 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84-150</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.00-3.49</td>
<td>D 0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grad.</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.50-4.00</td>
<td>F 0</td>
<td>Electives 0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Other</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Other</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

responses to be significant