# Student Course Evaluation Questionnaire

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Questions</th>
<th>Frequencies</th>
<th>Instructor</th>
<th>Course Dept</th>
<th>UMBC Level</th>
<th>Sect</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>General</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Was the grading system clearly explained</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. How many times was class cancelled</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lecture</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Discussion</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Were special techniques successful</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Laboratory</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Were you provided with adequate background information</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Seminar</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Was the instructor available for individual attention</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Were criteria for grading made clear</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Field Work</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Was the instructor available for consultation</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Self Paced</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Were there enough proctors for all the students</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Credits Earned</td>
<td>Cum. GPA</td>
<td>Expected Grades</td>
<td>Reasons</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Majors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00-27</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.00-0.99</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Required for Majors</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28-55</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.00-1.99</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Required for Majors</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56-83</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.00-2.99</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84-150</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.00-3.49</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Electives</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grad.</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.50-4.00</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Electives</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>I</td>
<td>Other</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>?</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* **** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant
### Course Evaluation Questionnaire

**General**

1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned
7. Was the grading system clearly explained
8. How many times was class cancelled
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness

**Lecture**

1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding

**Discussion**

1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion
4. Were special techniques successful

**Laboratory**

1. Were you provided with adequate background information
2. Did the lab instructor provide assistance

**Seminar**

1. Was the instructor available for individual attention
2. Did research projects contribute to what you learned
3. Did presentations contribute to what you learned
4. Were criteria for grading made clear

**Field Work**

1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria
3. Was the instructor available for consultation
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations

**Self Paced**

1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful

---

**Frequency Distribution**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Credits Earned</th>
<th>Cum. GPA</th>
<th>Expected Grades</th>
<th>Resons</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Majors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>00-27</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.00-0.99</td>
<td>A 12</td>
<td>Required for Majors 0</td>
<td>Graduate 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28-55</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.00-1.99</td>
<td>B 8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56-83</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.00-2.99</td>
<td>C 1</td>
<td>General 5</td>
<td>Under-grad 28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84-150</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.00-3.49</td>
<td>D 0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grad.</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.50-4.00</td>
<td>F 0</td>
<td>Electives 4</td>
<td>#**** - Means there are not enough</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Other</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>responses to be significant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>?</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Student Course Evaluation Questionnaire

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Questions</th>
<th>NR</th>
<th>NA</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Mean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>General</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>4.76</td>
<td>350/1670</td>
<td>4.76</td>
<td>4.29</td>
<td>4.31</td>
<td>4.24</td>
<td>4.76</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>4.56</td>
<td>542/1666</td>
<td>4.56</td>
<td>4.20</td>
<td>4.27</td>
<td>4.18</td>
<td>4.56</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4.92</td>
<td>153/1406</td>
<td>4.92</td>
<td>4.34</td>
<td>4.32</td>
<td>4.22</td>
<td>4.92</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>4.68</td>
<td>368/1615</td>
<td>4.68</td>
<td>4.42</td>
<td>4.24</td>
<td>4.18</td>
<td>4.68</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>4.84</td>
<td>165/1566</td>
<td>4.84</td>
<td>4.48</td>
<td>4.07</td>
<td>4.04</td>
<td>4.84</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>4.80</td>
<td>173/1528</td>
<td>4.80</td>
<td>4.46</td>
<td>4.12</td>
<td>4.07</td>
<td>4.80</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Was the grading system clearly explained</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>4.28</td>
<td>867/1650</td>
<td>4.28</td>
<td>4.18</td>
<td>4.22</td>
<td>4.12</td>
<td>4.28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. How many times was class cancelled</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.79</td>
<td>873/1667</td>
<td>4.79</td>
<td>4.55</td>
<td>4.67</td>
<td>4.67</td>
<td>4.79</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4.90</td>
<td>116/1626</td>
<td>4.90</td>
<td>4.29</td>
<td>4.11</td>
<td>4.06</td>
<td>4.90</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lecture</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>4.57</td>
<td>821/1559</td>
<td>4.57</td>
<td>4.60</td>
<td>4.46</td>
<td>4.40</td>
<td>4.57</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>4.96</td>
<td>298/1560</td>
<td>4.96</td>
<td>4.91</td>
<td>4.72</td>
<td>4.67</td>
<td>4.96</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>4.65</td>
<td>500/1549</td>
<td>4.65</td>
<td>4.54</td>
<td>4.31</td>
<td>4.25</td>
<td>4.65</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>4.65</td>
<td>532/1546</td>
<td>4.65</td>
<td>4.49</td>
<td>4.32</td>
<td>4.24</td>
<td>4.65</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4.35</td>
<td>465/1323</td>
<td>4.35</td>
<td>4.42</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>3.99</td>
<td>4.35</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Discussion</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>4.82</td>
<td>215/1384</td>
<td>4.82</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>4.10</td>
<td>4.12</td>
<td>4.82</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>1/1378</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>4.59</td>
<td>4.29</td>
<td>4.30</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>1/1378</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>4.78</td>
<td>4.31</td>
<td>4.33</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Were special techniques successful</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4.27</td>
<td>361/ 904</td>
<td>4.27</td>
<td>4.30</td>
<td>4.03</td>
<td>4.03</td>
<td>4.27</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Frequency Distribution

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Credits Earned</th>
<th>Cum. GPA</th>
<th>Expected Grades</th>
<th>Reasons</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Majors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>00-27</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00-0.99</td>
<td>A 13</td>
<td>Graduate 0</td>
<td>Major 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28-55</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.00-1.99</td>
<td>B 8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56-83</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.00-2.99</td>
<td>C 0</td>
<td>General 0</td>
<td>Under-grad 34 Non-major 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84-150</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3.00-3.49</td>
<td>D 0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grad.</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.50-4.00</td>
<td>F 0</td>
<td>Electives 0</td>
<td>## - Means there are not enough responses to be significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P 0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>I 0</td>
<td>Other 23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>? 0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### General

1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course
   - Frequency Distribution: 6 0 1 1 2 3 8
   - Mean: 4.07
   - Rank: 1183/1670
   - Mean: 4.07
   - Mean: 4.29
   - Mean: 4.31
   - Mean: 4.24
   - Mean: 4.07

2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals
   - Frequency Distribution: 6 0 0 0 3 5 7
   - Mean: 4.27
   - Rank: 955/1666
   - Mean: 4.27
   - Mean: 4.20
   - Mean: 4.27
   - Mean: 4.18
   - Mean: 4.27

3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals
   - Frequency Distribution: 6 12 0 0 0 0 3
   - Mean: 5.00
   - Rank: 1406/1406
   - Mean: 4.34
   - Mean: 4.32
   - Mean: 4.22
   - Mean: 4.22
   - Mean: 4.07

4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals
   - Frequency Distribution: 6 0 0 1 1 3 10
   - Mean: 4.47
   - Rank: 606/1615
   - Mean: 4.47
   - Mean: 4.42
   - Mean: 4.24
   - Mean: 4.18
   - Mean: 4.18

5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned
   - Frequency Distribution: 7 0 0 1 4 9
   - Mean: 4.57
   - Rank: 354/1566
   - Mean: 4.57
   - Mean: 4.34
   - Mean: 4.32
   - Mean: 4.22
   - Mean: 4.47

6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned
   - Frequency Distribution: 6 1 0 0 1 6 6
   - Mean: 4.38
   - Rank: 580/1528
   - Mean: 4.38
   - Mean: 4.46
   - Mean: 4.12
   - Mean: 4.07
   - Mean: 4.38

7. Was the grading system clearly explained
   - Frequency Distribution: 6 0 0 0 4 0 2
   - Mean: 4.20
   - Rank: 1101/1650
   - Mean: 4.20
   - Mean: 4.55
   - Mean: 4.67
   - Mean: 4.67
   - Mean: 4.20

8. How many times was class cancelled
   - Frequency Distribution: 6 0 0 0 0 12 3
   - Mean: 4.20
   - Rank: 1409/1667
   - Mean: 4.20
   - Mean: 4.67
   - Mean: 4.67
   - Mean: 4.67
   - Mean: 4.20

9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness
   - Frequency Distribution: 9 0 0 0 2 6 4
   - Mean: 4.17
   - Rank: 831/1626
   - Mean: 4.17
   - Mean: 4.29
   - Mean: 4.11
   - Mean: 4.06
   - Mean: 4.17

### Lecture

1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared
   - Frequency Distribution: 6 0 0 0 1 5 9
   - Mean: 4.53
   - Rank: 858/1559
   - Mean: 4.53
   - Mean: 4.60
   - Mean: 4.46
   - Mean: 4.40
   - Mean: 4.53

2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject
   - Frequency Distribution: 6 0 0 0 0 2 13
   - Mean: 4.87
   - Rank: 699/1560
   - Mean: 4.87
   - Mean: 4.91
   - Mean: 4.72
   - Mean: 4.67
   - Mean: 4.87

3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly
   - Frequency Distribution: 6 0 0 0 1 2 10
   - Mean: 4.60
   - Rank: 562/1549
   - Mean: 4.60
   - Mean: 4.54
   - Mean: 4.31
   - Mean: 4.25
   - Mean: 4.60

4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned
   - Frequency Distribution: 6 0 0 1 1 4 9
   - Mean: 4.40
   - Rank: 849/1546
   - Mean: 4.40
   - Mean: 4.49
   - Mean: 4.32
   - Mean: 4.24
   - Mean: 4.40

5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding
   - Frequency Distribution: 7 2 0 1 2 4 5
   - Mean: 4.08
   - Rank: 663/1323
   - Mean: 4.08
   - Mean: 4.42
   - Mean: 4.00
   - Mean: 3.99
   - Mean: 4.08

### Discussion

1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned
   - Frequency Distribution: 8 0 1 1 2 1 8
   - Mean: 4.08
   - Rank: 803/1384
   - Mean: 4.08
   - Mean: 4.50
   - Mean: 4.10
   - Mean: 4.12
   - Mean: 4.08

2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate
   - Frequency Distribution: 8 0 1 0 0 3 9
   - Mean: 4.46
   - Rank: 649/1378
   - Mean: 4.46
   - Mean: 4.59
   - Mean: 4.29
   - Mean: 4.30
   - Mean: 4.46

3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion
   - Frequency Distribution: 8 0 2 1 2 2 6
   - Mean: 3.69
   - Rank: 657/904
   - Mean: 3.69
   - Mean: 3.70
   - Mean: 4.03
   - Mean: 4.03
   - Mean: 3.69

4. Were special techniques successful
   - Frequency Distribution: 8 0 1 0 1 1 10
   - Mean: 4.46
   - Rank: 692/1378
   - Mean: 4.46
   - Mean: 4.78
   - Mean: 4.31
   - Mean: 4.33
   - Mean: 4.46

### Seminar

1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme
   - Frequency Distribution: 20 0 0 0 0 0 1
   - Mean: 5.00
   - Rank: 87
   - Mean: 4.50
   - Mean: 4.65
   - Mean: 4.30
   - Mean: 4.30

### Frequency Distribution

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Credits Earned</th>
<th>Cum. GPA</th>
<th>Expected Grades</th>
<th>Reasons</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Majors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>00-27</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00-0.99</td>
<td>A 6</td>
<td>Required for Majors</td>
<td>Graduate 0 Major 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28-55</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.00-1.99</td>
<td>B 7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56-83</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.00-2.99</td>
<td>C 1</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>Under-grad 21 Non-major 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84-150</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.00-3.49</td>
<td>D 0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grad.</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.50-4.00</td>
<td>F 0</td>
<td>Electives</td>
<td>**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P 0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>I 0</td>
<td>Other</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>? 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### General
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course
   - 0 0 0 0 0 1 1
   - Mean: 4.50
   - Rank: 665/1670
   - Mean: 4.50

2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals
   - 0 0 0 0 0 2 0
   - Mean: 4.00
   - Rank: 1199/1666
   - Mean: 4.00

3. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals
   - 0 0 0 0 0 1 1
   - Mean: 4.50
   - Rank: 389/1566
   - Mean: 4.50

4. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned
   - 0 0 0 0 0 1 1
   - Mean: 4.50
   - Rank: 421/1528
   - Mean: 4.50

5. Was the grading system clearly explained
   - 0 0 0 0 0 2 0
   - Mean: 4.00
   - Rank: 1135/1650
   - Mean: 4.00

6. How many times was class cancelled
   - 0 0 0 0 0 0 2
   - Mean: 5.00
   - Rank: 1/1667
   - Mean: 5.00

### Lecture
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared
   - 1 0 0 0 0 0 1
   - Mean: 5.00
   - Rank: 1/1559
   - Mean: 5.00

2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject
   - 1 0 0 0 0 0 1
   - Mean: 5.00
   - Rank: 1/1560
   - Mean: 5.00

3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly
   - 1 0 0 0 0 0 1
   - Mean: 5.00
   - Rank: 1/1549
   - Mean: 5.00

4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned
   - 1 0 0 0 0 0 1
   - Mean: 5.00
   - Rank: 1/1546
   - Mean: 5.00

### Discussion
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned
   - 1 0 0 0 0 0 1
   - Mean: 5.00
   - Rank: 1/1384
   - Mean: 5.00

2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate
   - 1 0 0 0 0 0 1
   - Mean: 5.00
   - Rank: 1/1378
   - Mean: 5.00

3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion
   - 1 0 0 0 0 0 1
   - Mean: 5.00
   - Rank: 1/1378
   - Mean: 5.00

### Seminar
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme
   - 0 0 0 0 0 1 1
   - Mean: 4.50
   - Rank: 65/87
   - Mean: 4.50

2. Was the instructor available for individual attention
   - 0 0 0 0 0 0 2
   - Mean: 1.00
   - Rank: 79
   - Mean: 5.00

3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned
   - 0 0 0 0 0 1 1
   - Mean: 4.50
   - Rank: 48/75
   - Mean: 4.50

4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned
   - 0 0 0 0 0 1 1
   - Mean: 4.50
   - Rank: 45/79
   - Mean: 4.50

5. Were criteria for grading made clear
   - 0 0 0 0 0 0 2
   - Mean: 4.00

### Frequency Distribution
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Credits Earned</th>
<th>Cum. GPA</th>
<th>Expected Grades</th>
<th>Reasons</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Majors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>00-27</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00-0.99</td>
<td>A 1</td>
<td>Required for Majors</td>
<td>0 Major 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28-55</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.00-1.99</td>
<td>B 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56-83</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.00-2.99</td>
<td>C 0</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>0 Under-grad 2 Non-major 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84-150</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.00-3.49</td>
<td>D 0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grad.</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.50-4.00</td>
<td>F 0</td>
<td>Electives</td>
<td>0 ******</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>