

## Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

<b>Course-Section:</b>	<b>LLC 600 01</b>
<b>Title:</b>	<b>Lang, Lit, &amp; Culture</b>
<b>Instructor:</b>	<b>Galindo,Claudia</b>

**Term - Fall 2012**

<b>Enrollment:</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>Questionnaires:</b>	<b>7</b>

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
<b>General</b>														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	204/1589	4.86	4.68	4.32	4.39	4.86
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	182/1589	4.86	4.75	4.29	4.33	4.86
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	223/1391	4.83	4.89	4.34	4.40	4.83
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	5	4.71	286/1552	4.71	4.59	4.25	4.30	4.71
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	126/1495	4.86	4.30	4.14	4.18	4.86
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	0	6	4.71	200/1457	4.71	4.85	4.15	4.30	4.71
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	1	0	1	5	4.43	616/1572	4.43	4.71	4.21	4.29	4.43
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1589	5.00	4.96	4.66	4.79	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	1	1	4	4.50	369/1569	4.50	4.83	4.13	4.18	4.50
<b>Lecture</b>														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	311/1530	4.86	4.70	4.49	4.55	4.86
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1533	5.00	4.95	4.75	4.82	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	1	0	6	4.71	405/1528	4.71	4.71	4.35	4.38	4.71
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	0	1	5	4.43	829/1529	4.43	4.76	4.36	4.38	4.43
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	3	0	0	1	1	2	4.25	586/1393	4.25	4.27	4.06	3.91	4.25
<b>Discussion</b>														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	1	5	4.57	400/1337	4.57	4.79	4.17	4.29	4.57
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	269/1331	4.86	4.96	4.35	4.51	4.86
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	1	0	1	5	4.43	765/1333	4.43	4.86	4.40	4.51	4.43

## Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

<b>Course-Section:</b>	<b>LLC 600 01</b>
<b>Title:</b>	<b>Lang, Lit, &amp; Culture</b>
<b>Instructor:</b>	<b>Galindo,Claudia</b>

**Term - Fall 2012**

<b>Enrollment:</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>Questionnaires:</b>	<b>7</b>

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
<b>Discussion</b>														
4. Were special techniques successful	0	4	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1014	5.00	4.70	4.05	4.13	5.00

### Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	1	0.00-0.99 2	A 6	Required for Majors 7	Graduate 6
28-55	0	1.00-1.99 0	B 1		Major 7
56-83	0	2.00-2.99 0	C 0	General 0	Under-grad 1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49 0	D 0		Non-major 0
Grad.	6	3.50-4.00 1	F 0	Electives 0	
			P 0		
			I 0	Other 0	
			? 0		

\*\*\*\* - Means there are not enough responses to be significant

## Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

<b>Course-Section:</b>	<b>LLC 750 04</b>
<b>Title:</b>	<b>Topics In LLC</b>
<b>Instructor:</b>	<b>Saper,Craig Jon</b>

**Term - Fall 2012**

<b>Enrollment:</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>Questionnaires:</b>	<b>7</b>

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
<b>General</b>														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	204/1589	4.86	4.68	4.32	4.39	4.86
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	3	4	4.57	511/1589	4.57	4.75	4.29	4.33	4.57
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	223/1391	4.83	4.89	4.34	4.40	4.83
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	142/1552	4.86	4.59	4.25	4.30	4.86
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1495	5.00	4.30	4.14	4.18	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1457	5.00	4.85	4.15	4.30	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	1	2	4	4.43	616/1572	4.43	4.71	4.21	4.29	4.43
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1589	5.00	4.96	4.66	4.79	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	139/1569	4.83	4.83	4.13	4.18	4.83
<b>Lecture</b>														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	311/1530	4.86	4.70	4.49	4.55	4.86
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1533	5.00	4.95	4.75	4.82	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	2	5	4.71	405/1528	4.71	4.71	4.35	4.38	4.71
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1529	5.00	4.76	4.36	4.38	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	1	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	111/1393	4.83	4.27	4.06	3.91	4.83
<b>Discussion</b>														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1337	5.00	4.79	4.17	4.29	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1331	5.00	4.96	4.35	4.51	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1333	5.00	4.86	4.40	4.51	5.00

## Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

<b>Course-Section:</b>	<b>LLC 750 04</b>
<b>Title:</b>	<b>Topics In LLC</b>
<b>Instructor:</b>	<b>Saper,Craig Jon</b>

**Term - Fall 2012**

<b>Enrollment:</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>Questionnaires:</b>	<b>7</b>

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
<b>Discussion</b>														
4. Were special techniques successful	1	1	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	110/1014	4.80	4.70	4.05	4.13	4.80

### Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	2	0.00-0.99 0	A 7	Required for Majors 4	Graduate 5
28-55	0	1.00-1.99 0	B 0		Major 6
56-83	0	2.00-2.99 0	C 0	General 1	Under-grad 2
84-150	0	3.00-3.49 0	D 0		Non-major 1
Grad.	5	3.50-4.00 3	F 0	Electives 2	
			P 0		
			I 0	Other 0	
			? 0		

\*\*\*\* - Means there are not enough responses to be significant

## Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

<b>Course-Section:</b>	<b>LLC 890 01</b>
<b>Title:</b>	<b>Res Proposal Seminar</b>
<b>Instructor:</b>	<b>Bickel,Beverly</b>

**Term - Fall 2012**

<b>Enrollment:</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>Questionnaires:</b>	<b>6</b>

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
<b>General</b>														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	1	4	4.50	646/1589	4.50	4.68	4.32	4.39	4.50
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	200/1589	4.83	4.75	4.29	4.33	4.83
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	1	0	0	0	4	4.20	900/1552	4.20	4.59	4.25	4.30	4.20
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	2	3	4.33	609/1495	4.33	4.30	4.14	4.18	4.33
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	248/1457	4.67	4.85	4.15	4.30	4.67
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1572	5.00	4.71	4.21	4.29	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	651/1589	4.83	4.96	4.66	4.79	4.83
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1569	5.00	4.83	4.13	4.18	5.00
<b>Lecture</b>														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	1	0	0	4	4.40	1016/1530	4.40	4.70	4.49	4.55	4.40
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	872/1533	4.80	4.95	4.75	4.82	4.80
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	1	0	0	4	4.40	817/1528	4.40	4.71	4.35	4.38	4.40
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	1	0	4	4.60	615/1529	4.60	4.76	4.36	4.38	4.60
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	1	1	0	0	0	3	4.00	796/1393	4.00	4.27	4.06	3.91	4.00
<b>Discussion</b>														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	1	0	4	4.60	379/1337	4.60	4.79	4.17	4.29	4.60
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1331	5.00	4.96	4.35	4.51	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1333	5.00	4.86	4.40	4.51	5.00

## Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

<b>Course-Section:</b>	<b>LLC 890 01</b>
<b>Title:</b>	<b>Res Proposal Seminar</b>
<b>Instructor:</b>	<b>Bickel,Beverly</b>

**Term - Fall 2012**

<b>Enrollment:</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>Questionnaires:</b>	<b>6</b>

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
<b>Discussion</b>														
4. Were special techniques successful	1	0	1	0	0	1	3	4.00	554/1014	4.00	4.70	4.05	4.13	4.00

### Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned		Cum. GPA		Expected Grades		Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	A	1	Required for Majors	3	Graduate	3	Major	6
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	B	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	C	0	General	0	Under-grad	3	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	3	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	2	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	4						
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

## Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

<b>Course-Section:</b>	<b>LLC 898 06</b>
<b>Title:</b>	<b>Pre Candidacy Doc Rsch</b>
<b>Instructor:</b>	<b>Truscello,David</b>

**Term - Fall 2012**

<b>Enrollment:</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>Questionnaires:</b>	<b>4</b>

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
<b>General</b>														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	646/1589	4.50	4.68	4.32	4.39	4.50
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	292/1589	4.75	4.75	4.29	4.33	4.75
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	3	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1391	5.00	4.89	4.34	4.40	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	3	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	1437/1495	3.00	4.30	4.14	4.18	3.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1457	5.00	4.85	4.15	4.30	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	3	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1572	5.00	4.71	4.21	4.29	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1589	5.00	4.96	4.66	4.79	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	1	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1569	5.00	4.83	4.13	4.18	5.00
<b>Lecture</b>														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	644/1530	4.67	4.70	4.49	4.55	4.67
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1533	5.00	4.95	4.75	4.82	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1528	5.00	4.71	4.35	4.38	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1529	5.00	4.76	4.36	4.38	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	796/1393	4.00	4.27	4.06	3.91	4.00
<b>Discussion</b>														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1337	5.00	4.79	4.17	4.29	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1331	5.00	4.96	4.35	4.51	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1333	5.00	4.86	4.40	4.51	5.00

## Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

<b>Course-Section:</b>	LLC 898 06
<b>Title:</b>	Pre Candidacy Doc Rsch
<b>Instructor:</b>	Truscello,David

**Term - Fall 2012**

<b>Enrollment:</b>	6
<b>Questionnaires:</b>	4

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
<b>Discussion</b>														
4. Were special techniques successful	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1014	5.00	4.70	4.05	4.13	5.00

### Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	0	0.00-0.99 0	A 1	Required for Majors 0	Graduate 3 Major 3
28-55	0	1.00-1.99 0	B 1		
56-83	0	2.00-2.99 0	C 0	General 0	Under-grad 1 Non-major 1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49 0	D 0		
Grad.	3	3.50-4.00 1	F 0	Electives 4	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant
			P 1		
			I 0	Other 0	
			? 0		