

Course-Section: JPNS 102 0101
 Title ELEM JAPANESE II
 Instructor: WALCOTT, YASUKO
 Enrollment: 19
 Questionnaires: 15

University of Maryland
 Baltimore County
 Spring 2007

Page 925
 JUN 26, 2007
 Job IRBR3029

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaire

Questions	Frequencies								Instructor		Course	Dept	UMBC	Level	Sect
	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	
General															
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	2	13	4.87	204/1522	4.80	4.36	4.30	4.14	4.87	
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	1	1	7	5	4.14	986/1522	4.20	4.33	4.26	4.18	4.14	
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	6	9	4.60	425/1285	4.43	4.45	4.30	4.22	4.60	
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	1	1	3	10	4.47	535/1476	4.37	4.33	4.22	4.09	4.47	
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	2	5	7	4.36	475/1412	4.11	4.26	4.06	4.01	4.36	
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	2	2	11	4.60	247/1381	4.54	4.19	4.08	3.93	4.60	
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	1	3	5	6	4.07	956/1500	3.88	4.14	4.18	4.16	4.07	
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	5.00	1/1517	5.00	4.56	4.65	4.62	5.00	
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	0	0	0	5	7	4.58	326/1497	4.45	4.21	4.11	4.02	4.58	
Lecture															
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	4	11	4.73	492/1440	4.55	4.45	4.45	4.40	4.73	
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	5.00	1/1448	4.92	4.79	4.71	4.63	5.00	
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	1	0	14	4.87	160/1436	4.35	4.39	4.29	4.24	4.87	
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	14	4.93	113/1432	4.70	4.47	4.29	4.23	4.93	
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	3	0	0	3	3	5	4.18	508/1221	3.72	3.91	3.93	3.86	4.18	
Discussion															
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	9	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	170/1280	4.31	4.28	4.10	3.92	4.83	
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	9	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1277	4.78	4.60	4.34	4.13	5.00	
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	9	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1269	4.44	4.47	4.31	4.04	5.00	
4. Were special techniques successful	9	1	0	0	1	0	4	4.60	166/ 854	4.24	4.16	4.02	3.87	4.60	
Self Paced															
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	14	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/ 37	****	4.33	4.63	4.53	****	
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	14	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/ 23	****	****	4.41	4.19	****	

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	A 2	Required for Majors	6
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	B 5	Graduate	0
56-83	3	2.00-2.99	C 7	General	5
84-150	4	3.00-3.49	D 0	Under-grad	15
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	F 0	Electives	2
			P 0	#### - Means there are not enough responses to be significant	
			I 0	Other	1
			? 1		

Course-Section: JPNS 102 0201
 Title ELEM JAPANESE II
 Instructor: WALCOTT, YASUKO
 Enrollment: 23
 Questionnaires: 19

University of Maryland
 Baltimore County
 Spring 2007

Page 926
 JUN 26, 2007
 Job IRBR3029

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaire

Questions	Frequencies								Instructor		Course	Dept	UMBC	Level	Sect
	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	

General															
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	2	1	16	4.74	350/1522	4.80	4.36	4.30	4.14	4.74	
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	10	7	4.26	864/1522	4.20	4.33	4.26	4.18	4.26	
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	10	7	4.26	759/1285	4.43	4.45	4.30	4.22	4.26	
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	4	5	9	4.28	769/1476	4.37	4.33	4.22	4.09	4.28	
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	4	1	0	5	3	6	3.87	924/1412	4.11	4.26	4.06	4.01	3.87	
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	2	0	0	2	5	10	4.47	361/1381	4.54	4.19	4.08	3.93	4.47	
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	1	8	6	4	3.68	1227/1500	3.88	4.14	4.18	4.16	3.68	
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	19	5.00	1/1517	5.00	4.56	4.65	4.62	5.00	
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	0	0	3	5	8	4.31	592/1497	4.45	4.21	4.11	4.02	4.31	
Lecture															
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	2	8	9	4.37	961/1440	4.55	4.45	4.45	4.40	4.37	
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	1	1	17	4.84	656/1448	4.92	4.79	4.71	4.63	4.84	
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	1	5	9	4	3.84	1181/1436	4.35	4.39	4.29	4.24	3.84	
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	3	4	12	4.47	669/1432	4.70	4.47	4.29	4.23	4.47	
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	5	3	0	3	3	3	3.25	1011/1221	3.72	3.91	3.93	3.86	3.25	
Discussion															
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	10	0	0	1	2	4	2	3.78	894/1280	4.31	4.28	4.10	3.92	3.78	
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	10	0	0	0	1	2	6	4.56	560/1277	4.78	4.60	4.34	4.13	4.56	
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	10	0	1	0	2	2	4	3.89	968/1269	4.44	4.47	4.31	4.04	3.89	
4. Were special techniques successful	10	1	0	0	3	3	2	3.88	538/ 854	4.24	4.16	4.02	3.87	3.88	

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	A 2	Required for Majors	9
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	B 11		Graduate
56-83	6	2.00-2.99	C 5	General	4
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	D 1		Under-grad
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	F 0	Electives	1
			P 0		
			I 0		
			? 0		

- Means there are not enough responses to be significant

Course-Section: JPNS 202 0101
 Title INTERMEDIATE JAPANESE
 Instructor: WALCOTT, YASUKO
 Enrollment: 11
 Questionnaires: 7

University of Maryland
 Baltimore County
 Spring 2007

Page 927
 JUN 26, 2007
 Job IRBR3029

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaire

Questions	Frequencies								Instructor		Course	Dept	UMBC	Level	Sect
	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	

General															
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1522	5.00	4.36	4.30	4.34	5.00	
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	3	4	4.57	465/1522	4.57	4.33	4.26	4.29	4.57	
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	1	0	5	1	3.86	1046/1285	3.86	4.45	4.30	4.36	3.86	
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	1	1	2	3	4.00	1009/1476	4.00	4.33	4.22	4.20	4.00	
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	0	3	3	4.50	339/1412	4.50	4.26	4.06	4.00	4.50	
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	1	2	3	4.00	806/1381	4.00	4.19	4.08	3.97	4.00	
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	1	3	3	4.29	750/1500	4.29	4.14	4.18	4.20	4.29	
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1517	5.00	4.56	4.65	4.63	5.00	
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	3	3	4.50	385/1497	4.50	4.21	4.11	4.11	4.50	
Lecture															
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	2	0	4	4.33	984/1440	4.33	4.45	4.45	4.42	4.33	
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1448	5.00	4.79	4.71	4.78	5.00	
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	1	3	2	4.17	957/1436	4.17	4.39	4.29	4.29	4.17	
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	454/1432	4.67	4.47	4.29	4.31	4.67	
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	1	1	1	0	1	2	3.40	956/1221	3.40	3.91	3.93	4.02	3.40	
Discussion															
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	3	3	4.50	390/1280	4.50	4.28	4.10	4.08	4.50	
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	290/1277	4.83	4.60	4.34	4.33	4.83	
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	3	1	2	3.83	989/1269	3.83	4.47	4.31	4.33	3.83	
4. Were special techniques successful	1	1	0	0	0	4	1	4.20	363/ 854	4.20	4.16	4.02	4.00	4.20	

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	A 1	Required for Majors	2
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	B 3		Graduate 0
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	C 3	General	7
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	D 0		Non-major 6
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	F 0	Electives	1
			P 0		
			I 0		
			? 0	Other	1

- Means there are not enough responses to be significant