

Course Section: JDST 290 0101
 Title TOPICS IN JUDAIC STUDI
 Instructor: BUCHDAHL, GUSTA
 Enrollment: 8
 Questionnaires: 5

University of Maryland
 Baltimore County
 Fall 2006

Page 1060
 JAN 18, 2007
 Job IRBR3029

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaire

Questions	Frequencies								Instructor		Course	Dept	UMBC	Level	Sect
	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	
General															
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	4.60	478/1669	4.60	4.60	4.23	4.34	4.60	
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	4.60	439/1666	4.60	4.60	4.19	4.29	4.60	
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1421	5.00	5.00	4.24	4.35	5.00	
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1617	5.00	5.00	4.15	4.24	5.00	
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	0	1	3	4.20	611/1555	4.20	4.20	4.00	3.96	4.20	
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	2	0	0	1	1	1	4.00	895/1543	4.00	4.00	4.06	4.10	4.00	
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	1	1	0	3	4.00	1043/1647	4.00	4.00	4.12	4.19	4.00	
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	901/1668	4.80	4.80	4.67	4.59	4.80	
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	1	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	373/1605	4.50	4.50	4.07	4.15	4.50	
Lecture															
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1514	5.00	5.00	4.39	4.39	5.00	
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1551	5.00	5.00	4.66	4.72	5.00	
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1503	5.00	5.00	4.24	4.29	5.00	
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1506	5.00	5.00	4.26	4.33	5.00	
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	2	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/1311	****	****	3.85	3.96	****	
Discussion															
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1490	5.00	5.00	4.05	4.11	5.00	
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1502	5.00	5.00	4.26	4.31	5.00	
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	434/1489	4.75	4.75	4.29	4.36	4.75	

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	A 2	Required for Majors	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	B 2		Graduate
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	C 0	General	0
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	D 0		5
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	F 0	Electives	0
			P 0		#### - Means there are not enough responses to be significant
			I 0	Other	3
			? 1		