

## Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

<b>Course-Section:</b>	<b>HUM 120H 01</b>
<b>Title:</b>	<b>Intro To Humanities I</b>
<b>Instructor:</b>	<b>McCully,Susan</b>

**Term - Fall 2012**

<b>Enrollment:</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>Questionnaires:</b>	<b>10</b>

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
<b>General</b>														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	5	5	4.50	646/1589	4.50	4.50	4.32	4.20	4.50
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	1	4	5	0	3.40	1497/1589	3.40	3.40	4.29	4.28	3.40
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	3	4	2	3.89	1202/1552	3.89	3.89	4.25	4.16	3.89
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	1	0	1	4	3	3.89	1038/1495	3.89	3.89	4.14	4.07	3.89
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	1	3	5	4.44	465/1457	4.44	4.44	4.15	3.99	4.44
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	2	3	3	2	0	0	1.88	1568/1572	1.88	1.88	4.21	4.18	1.88
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	1/1589	5.00	5.00	4.66	4.59	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	0	0	1	1	4	0	3.50	1367/1569	3.63	3.63	4.13	4.08	3.63
<b>Lecture</b>														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	4	0	0	0	1	2	3	4.33	1095/1530	4.29	4.29	4.49	4.45	4.29
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	4	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1533	5.00	5.00	4.75	4.69	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	4	0	0	0	0	3	3	4.50	695/1528	4.50	4.50	4.35	4.31	4.50
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	1	1	4	4.50	739/1529	4.63	4.63	4.36	4.31	4.63
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	4	0	0	0	0	3	3	4.50	349/1393	4.50	4.50	4.06	3.99	4.50
<b>Discussion</b>														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	226/1337	4.80	4.80	4.17	4.01	4.80
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	5	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1331	5.00	5.00	4.35	4.18	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	5	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	373/1333	4.80	4.80	4.40	4.22	4.80
4. Were special techniques successful	5	1	0	1	1	1	1	3.50	823/1014	3.50	3.50	4.05	3.91	3.50
<b>Seminar</b>														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	2	0	0	0	1	2	5	4.50	37/62	4.50	4.50	4.46	4.33	4.50

## Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

<b>Course-Section:</b>	<b>HUM 120H 01</b>
<b>Title:</b>	<b>Intro To Humanities I</b>
<b>Instructor:</b>	<b>McCully,Susan</b>

**Term - Fall 2012**

<b>Enrollment:</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>Questionnaires:</b>	<b>10</b>

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
<b>Seminar</b>														
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	2	0	0	1	2	1	4	4.00	50/65	4.00	4.00	4.43	4.13	4.00
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	3	3	2	3.88	47/63	3.88	3.88	4.29	4.12	3.88
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	1	4	3	4.25	45/61	4.25	4.25	4.47	4.61	4.25
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	2	0	2	2	2	2	0	2.50	60/61	2.50	2.50	4.19	3.98	2.50

### Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	4	0.00-0.99 3	A 6	Required for Majors 9	Graduate 0 Major 0
28-55	1	1.00-1.99 0	B 4		
56-83	0	2.00-2.99 0	C 0	General 0	Under-grad 10 Non-major 10
84-150	0	3.00-3.49 0	D 0		
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00 1	F 0	Electives 0	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant
			P 0		
			I 0	Other 0	
			? 0		

## Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

<b>Course-Section:</b>	<b>HUM 120H 01</b>
<b>Title:</b>	<b>Intro To Humanities I</b>
<b>Instructor:</b>	<b>Dusman,Linda J</b>

**Term - Fall 2012**

<b>Enrollment:</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>Questionnaires:</b>	<b>10</b>

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
<b>General</b>														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	5	5	4.50	646/1589	4.50	4.50	4.32	4.20	4.50
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	1	4	5	0	3.40	1497/1589	3.40	3.40	4.29	4.28	3.40
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	3	4	2	3.89	1202/1552	3.89	3.89	4.25	4.16	3.89
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	1	0	1	4	3	3.89	1038/1495	3.89	3.89	4.14	4.07	3.89
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	1	3	5	4.44	465/1457	4.44	4.44	4.15	3.99	4.44
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	2	3	3	2	0	0	1.88	1568/1572	1.88	1.88	4.21	4.18	1.88
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	1/1589	5.00	5.00	4.66	4.59	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	6	0	0	0	1	3	0	3.75	1209/1569	3.63	3.63	4.13	4.08	3.63
<b>Lecture</b>														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	6	0	0	0	1	1	2	4.25	1169/1530	4.29	4.29	4.49	4.45	4.29
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	6	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1533	5.00	5.00	4.75	4.69	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	6	0	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	695/1528	4.50	4.50	4.35	4.31	4.50
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	397/1529	4.63	4.63	4.36	4.31	4.63
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	6	0	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	349/1393	4.50	4.50	4.06	3.99	4.50
<b>Discussion</b>														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	226/1337	4.80	4.80	4.17	4.01	4.80
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	5	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1331	5.00	5.00	4.35	4.18	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	5	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	373/1333	4.80	4.80	4.40	4.22	4.80
4. Were special techniques successful	5	1	0	1	1	1	1	3.50	823/1014	3.50	3.50	4.05	3.91	3.50
<b>Seminar</b>														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	2	0	0	0	1	2	5	4.50	37/62	4.50	4.50	4.46	4.33	4.50

## Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

<b>Course-Section:</b>	<b>HUM 120H 01</b>
<b>Title:</b>	<b>Intro To Humanities I</b>
<b>Instructor:</b>	<b>Dusman,Linda J</b>

**Term - Fall 2012**

<b>Enrollment:</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>Questionnaires:</b>	<b>10</b>

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
<b>Seminar</b>														
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	2	0	0	1	2	1	4	4.00	50/65	4.00	4.00	4.43	4.13	4.00
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	3	3	2	3.88	47/63	3.88	3.88	4.29	4.12	3.88
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	1	4	3	4.25	45/61	4.25	4.25	4.47	4.61	4.25
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	2	0	2	2	2	2	0	2.50	60/61	2.50	2.50	4.19	3.98	2.50

### Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	4	0.00-0.99 3	A 6	Required for Majors 9	Graduate 0 Major 0
28-55	1	1.00-1.99 0	B 4		
56-83	0	2.00-2.99 0	C 0	General 0	Under-grad 10 Non-major 10
84-150	0	3.00-3.49 0	D 0		
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00 1	F 0	Electives 0	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant
			P 0		
			I 0	Other 0	
			? 0		