

Course-Section: HUM 120H 0101
 Title INTRO TO HUMANITIES I
 Instructor: FITZPATRICK, CA (Instr. A)
 Enrollment: 17
 Questionnaires: 15

University of Maryland
 Baltimore County
 Fall 2005

Page 1010
 JAN 21, 2006
 Job IRBR3029

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaire

Questions	Frequencies								Instructor		Course	Dept	UMBC	Level	Sect
	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	

General															
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	1	3	6	5	4.00	1196/1674	4.00	4.23	4.27	4.07	4.00	
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	1	2	4	5	3	3.47	1515/1674	3.47	4.26	4.23	4.16	3.47	
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	13	0	0	1	1	0	3.50	****/1423	****	4.36	4.27	4.16	****	
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	1	0	6	4	4	3.67	1377/1609	3.67	4.23	4.22	4.05	3.67	
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	1	1	4	8	4.13	672/1585	4.13	4.04	3.96	3.88	4.13	
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	2	1	4	7	3.93	976/1535	3.93	4.08	4.08	3.89	3.93	
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	5	2	5	2	0	2.29	1626/1651	2.29	4.20	4.18	4.10	2.29	
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	2	13	4.87	778/1673	4.87	4.65	4.69	4.67	4.87	
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	1	1	0	6	6	1	3.43	1412/1656	3.79	4.06	4.07	3.96	3.79	
Lecture															
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	1	2	3	7	4.23	1160/1586	4.32	4.43	4.43	4.37	4.32	
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	3	0	2	8	4.15	1437/1585	4.29	4.72	4.69	4.60	4.29	
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	1	2	1	3	5	3.75	1302/1582	3.78	4.30	4.26	4.17	3.78	
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	2	0	3	3	5	3.69	1318/1575	3.93	4.32	4.27	4.17	3.93	
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	1	0	1	3	3	5	4.00	666/1380	4.04	3.94	3.94	3.78	4.04	
Discussion															
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	1	1	1	4	7	4.07	786/1520	4.07	4.14	4.01	3.76	4.07	
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	1	2	2	9	4.36	808/1515	4.36	4.37	4.24	3.97	4.36	
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	2	1	4	7	4.14	990/1511	4.14	4.37	4.27	4.00	4.14	
4. Were special techniques successful	1	1	1	1	1	6	4	3.85	595/ 994	3.85	3.97	3.94	3.73	3.85	
Seminar															
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	12	0	0	0	0	2	1	4.33	****/ 103	****	4.39	4.41	4.33	****	
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	12	0	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	****/ 101	****	4.33	4.48	4.18	****	
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	12	0	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	****/ 95	****	4.15	4.31	3.99	****	
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	12	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/ 99	****	4.36	4.39	4.10	****	
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	12	1	0	0	1	1	0	3.50	****/ 97	****	3.76	4.14	3.69	****	

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	6	0.00-0.99	0	A	5	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	0	B	9						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	C	0	General	0	Under-grad	15	Non-major	5
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	#### - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	15				
				?	0						

Course-Section: HUM 120H 0101
 Title INTRO TO HUMANITIES I
 Instructor: STOLLE-MCALLIST (Instr. B)
 Enrollment: 17
 Questionnaires: 15

University of Maryland
 Baltimore County
 Fall 2005

Page 1011
 JAN 21, 2006
 Job IRBR3029

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaire

Questions	Frequencies								Instructor		Course	Dept	UMBC	Level	Sect
	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	
General															
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	1	3	6	5	4.00	1196/1674	4.00	4.23	4.27	4.07	4.00	
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	1	2	4	5	3	3.47	1515/1674	3.47	4.26	4.23	4.16	3.47	
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	13	0	0	1	1	0	3.50	****/1423	****	4.36	4.27	4.16	****	
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	1	0	6	4	4	3.67	1377/1609	3.67	4.23	4.22	4.05	3.67	
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	1	1	4	8	4.13	672/1585	4.13	4.04	3.96	3.88	4.13	
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	2	1	4	7	3.93	976/1535	3.93	4.08	4.08	3.89	3.93	
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	5	2	5	2	0	2.29	1626/1651	2.29	4.20	4.18	4.10	2.29	
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	2	13	4.87	778/1673	4.87	4.65	4.69	4.67	4.87	
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	2	7	4	4.15	838/1656	3.79	4.06	4.07	3.96	3.79	
Lecture															
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	0	0	2	3	7	4.42	989/1586	4.32	4.43	4.43	4.37	4.32	
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	1	1	2	8	4.42	1300/1585	4.29	4.72	4.69	4.60	4.29	
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	4	0	1	2	0	3	5	3.82	1266/1582	3.78	4.30	4.26	4.17	3.78	
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	0	1	0	2	2	7	4.17	1040/1575	3.93	4.32	4.27	4.17	3.93	
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	3	0	0	1	2	4	5	4.08	630/1380	4.04	3.94	3.94	3.78	4.04	
Discussion															
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	1	1	1	4	7	4.07	786/1520	4.07	4.14	4.01	3.76	4.07	
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	1	2	2	9	4.36	808/1515	4.36	4.37	4.24	3.97	4.36	
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	2	1	4	7	4.14	990/1511	4.14	4.37	4.27	4.00	4.14	
4. Were special techniques successful	1	1	1	1	1	6	4	3.85	595/ 994	3.85	3.97	3.94	3.73	3.85	
Seminar															
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	12	0	0	0	0	2	1	4.33	****/ 103	****	4.39	4.41	4.33	****	
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	12	0	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	****/ 101	****	4.33	4.48	4.18	****	
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	12	0	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	****/ 95	****	4.15	4.31	3.99	****	
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	12	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/ 99	****	4.36	4.39	4.10	****	
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	12	1	0	0	1	1	0	3.50	****/ 97	****	3.76	4.14	3.69	****	

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	6	0.00-0.99	0	A	5	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	0	B	9						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	C	0	General	0	Under-grad	15	Non-major	5
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	#### - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	15				
				?	0						