

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	ENMG 650 01
Title:	Proj. Man. Fundamentals
Instructor:	Griner, Anita E.

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment:	25
Questionnaires:	24

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	5	0	0	2	7	7	3	3.58	1417/1542	3.58	4.31	4.33	4.39	3.58
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	6	0	1	2	4	7	4	3.61	1370/1542	3.61	4.33	4.29	4.31	3.61
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	4	0	2	4	6	4	4	3.20	1280/1339	3.20	4.45	4.32	4.31	3.20
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	4	0	2	1	5	5	7	3.70	1263/1498	3.70	4.41	4.26	4.25	3.70
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	4	4	7	4	3.58	1203/1428	3.58	4.37	4.12	4.13	3.58
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	4	0	1	5	6	4	4	3.25	1298/1407	3.25	4.17	4.15	4.20	3.25
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	4	0	1	5	2	4	8	3.65	1262/1521	3.65	4.47	4.20	4.24	3.65
8. How many times was class cancelled	4	0	0	0	0	0	20	5.00	1/1541	5.00	4.88	4.70	4.75	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	8	1	0	1	5	6	3	3.73	1172/1518	3.73	4.15	4.11	4.15	3.73
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	5	0	1	2	1	2	13	4.26	1079/1472	4.26	4.68	4.46	4.48	4.26
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	6	0	0	0	1	4	13	4.67	1039/1475	4.67	4.92	4.72	4.76	4.67
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	5	0	0	1	5	5	8	4.05	1083/1471	4.05	4.49	4.32	4.36	4.05
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	5	0	1	4	3	7	4	3.47	1325/1470	3.47	4.35	4.33	4.34	3.47
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	5	0	2	3	2	6	6	3.58	1033/1310	3.58	4.13	4.06	3.99	3.58
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	2	4	2	10	4.11	733/1210	4.11	4.44	4.18	4.28	4.11
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	5	0	0	2	3	3	11	4.21	822/1211	4.21	4.57	4.37	4.51	4.21
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	5	0	1	1	2	3	12	4.26	810/1207	4.26	4.64	4.41	4.53	4.26
4. Were special techniques successful	6	2	0	0	3	6	7	4.25	361/859	4.25	4.22	4.08	4.08	4.25

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	ENMG 650 01
Title:	Proj. Man. Fundamentals
Instructor:	Griner, Anita E.

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment:	25
Questionnaires:	24

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	23	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/210	****	****	4.17	4.12	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	22	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/69	****	****	4.56	4.62	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	23	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/69	****	****	4.60	4.71	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	23	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/68	****	****	4.50	4.55	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	23	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/73	****	****	4.54	4.54	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	23	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/67	****	****	4.17	4.35	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	22	0	1	0	0	0	1	3.00	****/32	****	****	4.20	4.06	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	23	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/35	****	****	4.36	4.40	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	23	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/25	****	****	4.59	4.53	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	23	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/23	****	****	4.41	4.39	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	23	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/17	****	****	4.62	4.43	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	23	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/30	****	****	4.27	4.36	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	23	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/19	****	****	4.57	4.45	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	23	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/29	****	****	4.29	4.42	****
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	23	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/18	****	****	4.25	4.35	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	ENMG 650 01
Title:	Proj. Man. Fundamentals
Instructor:	Griner, Anita E.

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment:	25
Questionnaires:	24

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	23	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/13	****	****	4.14	4.23	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	5	0.00-0.99 0	A 10	Required for Majors 15	Graduate 10
28-55	0	1.00-1.99 0	B 6		Major 4
56-83	0	2.00-2.99 0	C 1	General 0	Under-grad 14
84-150	0	3.00-3.49 2	D 0		Non-major 20
Grad.	10	3.50-4.00 7	F 0	Electives 3	
			P 0		
			I 0	Other 0	
			? 7		

**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	ENMG 652 01
Title:	Mgmt,Leadership And Com
Instructor:	Izenberg,Illysa

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment:	22
Questionnaires:	19

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	1	2	2	14	4.53	608/1542	4.05	4.31	4.33	4.39	4.53
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	1	1	2	15	4.63	454/1542	3.98	4.33	4.29	4.31	4.63
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	7	0	1	0	2	9	4.58	497/1339	4.58	4.45	4.32	4.31	4.58
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	1	1	0	1	16	4.58	464/1498	4.08	4.41	4.26	4.25	4.58
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	1	2	15	4.63	276/1428	4.13	4.37	4.12	4.13	4.63
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	1	1	16	4.68	234/1407	3.84	4.17	4.15	4.20	4.68
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	1	4	14	4.68	304/1521	4.16	4.47	4.20	4.24	4.68
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	19	5.00	1/1541	4.96	4.88	4.70	4.75	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	0	0	1	0	3	11	4.60	295/1518	3.91	4.15	4.11	4.15	4.60
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	0	18	5.00	1/1472	4.71	4.68	4.46	4.48	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	18	5.00	1/1475	4.92	4.92	4.72	4.76	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	2	16	4.89	186/1471	4.40	4.49	4.32	4.36	4.89
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	2	16	4.89	203/1470	4.28	4.35	4.33	4.34	4.89
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	0	0	1	2	2	12	4.47	354/1310	4.05	4.13	4.06	3.99	4.47
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	0	0	0	13	5.00	1/1210	4.72	4.44	4.18	4.28	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	6	0	0	0	0	0	13	5.00	1/1211	4.89	4.57	4.37	4.51	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	6	0	0	0	0	0	13	5.00	1/1207	4.72	4.64	4.41	4.53	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	6	0	0	0	0	0	13	5.00	1/859	4.36	4.22	4.08	4.08	5.00

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	ENMG 652 01
Title:	Mgmt,Leadership And Com
Instructor:	Izenberg,Illysa

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment:	22
Questionnaires:	19

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	18	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/207	****	****	4.12	4.20	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	18	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/210	****	****	4.17	4.12	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	18	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/202	****	****	4.50	4.23	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	18	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/202	****	****	4.32	4.24	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	18	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/199	****	****	4.15	4.30	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	17	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/69	****	****	4.56	4.62	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	17	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/69	****	****	4.60	4.71	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	17	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/68	****	****	4.50	4.55	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	17	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/73	****	****	4.54	4.54	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	17	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/67	****	****	4.17	4.35	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	18	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/32	****	****	4.20	4.06	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	18	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/35	****	****	4.36	4.40	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	18	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/25	****	****	4.59	4.53	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	18	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/23	****	****	4.41	4.39	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	18	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/17	****	****	4.62	4.43	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	17	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/30	****	****	4.27	4.36	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	17	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/19	****	****	4.57	4.45	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	17	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/29	****	****	4.29	4.42	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	ENMG 652 01
Title:	Mgmt,Leadership And Com
Instructor:	Izenberg,Illysa

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment:	22
Questionnaires:	19

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	17	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/18	****	****	4.25	4.35	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	17	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/13	****	****	4.14	4.23	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	6	0.00-0.99 0	A 15	Required for Majors 15	Graduate 7 Major 3
28-55	0	1.00-1.99 0	B 3		
56-83	0	2.00-2.99 0	C 0	General 1	Under-grad 12 Non-major 16
84-150	0	3.00-3.49 4	D 0		
Grad.	7	3.50-4.00 4	F 0	Electives 1	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant
			P 0		
			I 0	Other 0	
			? 1		

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	ENMG 652 02
Title:	Mgmt,Leadership And Com
Instructor:	Gouker,Toby R

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment:	24
Questionnaires:	19

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	7	0	1	1	3	4	3	3.58	1415/1542	4.05	4.31	4.33	4.39	3.58
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	7	0	1	3	2	3	3	3.33	1453/1542	3.98	4.33	4.29	4.31	3.33
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	7	8	0	1	1	0	2	3.75	****/1339	4.58	4.45	4.32	4.31	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	7	0	0	3	3	2	4	3.58	1315/1498	4.08	4.41	4.26	4.25	3.58
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	8	0	0	3	1	4	3	3.64	1173/1428	4.13	4.37	4.12	4.13	3.64
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	7	0	1	4	3	2	2	3.00	1349/1407	3.84	4.17	4.15	4.20	3.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	8	0	0	2	2	5	2	3.64	1271/1521	4.16	4.47	4.20	4.24	3.64
8. How many times was class cancelled	7	0	0	0	0	1	11	4.92	620/1541	4.96	4.88	4.70	4.75	4.92
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	10	0	0	2	4	2	1	3.22	1383/1518	3.91	4.15	4.11	4.15	3.22
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	7	0	0	0	2	3	7	4.42	940/1472	4.71	4.68	4.46	4.48	4.42
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	7	0	0	0	0	2	10	4.83	727/1475	4.92	4.92	4.72	4.76	4.83
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	7	0	0	1	3	4	4	3.92	1170/1471	4.40	4.49	4.32	4.36	3.92
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	7	0	1	0	5	2	4	3.67	1268/1470	4.28	4.35	4.33	4.34	3.67
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	8	0	1	0	4	3	3	3.64	1005/1310	4.05	4.13	4.06	3.99	3.64
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	10	0	0	0	2	1	6	4.44	485/1210	4.72	4.44	4.18	4.28	4.44
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	10	0	0	0	0	2	7	4.78	327/1211	4.89	4.57	4.37	4.51	4.78
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	10	0	0	0	2	1	6	4.44	685/1207	4.72	4.64	4.41	4.53	4.44

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	ENMG 652 02
Title:	Mgmt,Leadership And Com
Instructor:	Gouker,Toby R

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment:	24
Questionnaires:	19

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	10	2	1	0	2	1	3	3.71	622/859	4.36	4.22	4.08	4.08	3.71

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	4	0.00-0.99 0	A 7	Required for Majors 7	Graduate 3
28-55	0	1.00-1.99 0	B 3		
56-83	0	2.00-2.99 0	C 0	General 0	Under-grad 16
84-150	0	3.00-3.49 0	D 0		
Grad.	3	3.50-4.00 5	F 0	Electives 1	Non-major 17
			P 0		
			I 0		
			? 9		

**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	ENMG 654 01
Title:	Leading Teams And Org.
Instructor:	Izenberg,Illysa

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment:	13
Questionnaires:	12

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	1	0	1	10	4.67	435/1542	4.67	4.31	4.33	4.39	4.67
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	5.00	1/1542	5.00	4.33	4.29	4.31	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	3	0	0	1	0	7	4.75	313/1339	4.75	4.45	4.32	4.31	4.75
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	0	11	5.00	1/1498	5.00	4.41	4.26	4.25	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	11	4.92	83/1428	4.92	4.37	4.12	4.13	4.92
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	11	4.92	85/1407	4.92	4.17	4.15	4.20	4.92
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	1	0	11	4.83	159/1521	4.83	4.47	4.20	4.24	4.83
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	5.00	1/1541	5.00	4.88	4.70	4.75	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	1/1518	5.00	4.15	4.11	4.15	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	5.00	1/1472	5.00	4.68	4.46	4.48	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	5.00	1/1475	5.00	4.92	4.72	4.76	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	5.00	1/1471	5.00	4.49	4.32	4.36	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	5.00	1/1470	5.00	4.35	4.33	4.34	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	1	1	10	4.75	140/1310	4.75	4.13	4.06	3.99	4.75
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	1	10	4.91	129/1210	4.91	4.44	4.18	4.28	4.91
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	0	1	10	4.91	194/1211	4.91	4.57	4.37	4.51	4.91
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	0	0	11	5.00	1/1207	5.00	4.64	4.41	4.53	5.00

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	ENMG 654 01
Title:	Leading Teams And Org.
Instructor:	Izenberg,Illysa

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment:	13
Questionnaires:	12

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	2	0	0	1	0	0	9	4.70	144/859	4.70	4.22	4.08	4.08	4.70

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	5	0.00-0.99 0	A 9	Required for Majors 7	Graduate 6
28-55	0	1.00-1.99 0	B 2		Major 6
56-83	0	2.00-2.99 0	C 0	General 0	Under-grad 6
84-150	0	3.00-3.49 0	D 0		Non-major 6
Grad.	6	3.50-4.00 7	F 0	Electives 5	
			P 0		
			I 0	Other 0	
			? 1		

**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	ENMG 658 01
Title:	Financial Management
Instructor:	Peterson,Sandra

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment:	24
Questionnaires:	22

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	1	0	0	0	1	0	20	4.90	169/1542	4.80	4.31	4.33	4.39	4.90
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	0	21	5.00	1/1542	4.85	4.33	4.29	4.31	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	1	0	0	0	2	18	4.90	157/1339	4.88	4.45	4.32	4.31	4.90
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	6	15	4.71	298/1498	4.68	4.41	4.26	4.25	4.71
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	1	2	17	4.80	142/1428	4.77	4.37	4.12	4.13	4.80
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	4	16	4.80	141/1407	4.72	4.17	4.15	4.20	4.80
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	0	0	0	0	1	19	4.95	50/1521	4.87	4.47	4.20	4.24	4.95
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	1	0	0	0	1	18	4.95	413/1541	4.97	4.88	4.70	4.75	4.95
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	10	0	0	0	0	3	9	4.75	181/1518	4.52	4.15	4.11	4.15	4.75
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	0	0	20	5.00	1/1472	4.98	4.68	4.46	4.48	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	0	20	5.00	1/1475	4.95	4.92	4.72	4.76	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	0	0	20	5.00	1/1471	4.85	4.49	4.32	4.36	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	20	5.00	1/1470	4.90	4.35	4.33	4.34	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	4	2	0	0	2	4	10	4.50	324/1310	4.55	4.13	4.06	3.99	4.50
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	2	1	16	4.74	266/1210	4.62	4.44	4.18	4.28	4.74
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	3	0	0	0	0	2	17	4.89	203/1211	4.76	4.57	4.37	4.51	4.89
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	3	0	0	0	0	0	19	5.00	1/1207	4.88	4.64	4.41	4.53	5.00

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	ENMG 658 01
Title:	Financial Management
Instructor:	Peterson,Sandra

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment:	24
Questionnaires:	22

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	3	1	1	0	1	4	12	4.44	250/859	4.37	4.22	4.08	4.08	4.44

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	3	0.00-0.99 1	A 17	Required for Majors 14	Graduate 10
28-55	0	1.00-1.99 0	B 1		Major 14
56-83	0	2.00-2.99 0	C 0	General 1	Under-grad 12
84-150	0	3.00-3.49 1	D 0		Non-major 8
Grad.	10	3.50-4.00 6	F 0	Electives 0	
			P 0		**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant
			I 0	Other 3	
			? 4		

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	ENMG 658 02
Title:	Financial Management
Instructor:	Ziegler,James B

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment:	25
Questionnaires:	22

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	2	0	0	0	0	6	14	4.70	385/1542	4.80	4.31	4.33	4.39	4.70
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	2	0	0	0	0	6	14	4.70	366/1542	4.85	4.33	4.29	4.31	4.70
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	2	0	0	0	0	3	17	4.85	205/1339	4.88	4.45	4.32	4.31	4.85
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	2	0	0	0	1	5	14	4.65	369/1498	4.68	4.41	4.26	4.25	4.65
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	0	5	14	4.74	196/1428	4.77	4.37	4.12	4.13	4.74
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	1	5	13	4.63	279/1407	4.72	4.17	4.15	4.20	4.63
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	3	0	0	0	0	4	15	4.79	203/1521	4.87	4.47	4.20	4.24	4.79
8. How many times was class cancelled	3	0	0	0	0	0	19	5.00	1/1541	4.97	4.88	4.70	4.75	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	5	0	1	0	0	8	8	4.29	640/1518	4.52	4.15	4.11	4.15	4.29
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	0	1	19	4.95	105/1472	4.98	4.68	4.46	4.48	4.95
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	2	18	4.90	538/1475	4.95	4.92	4.72	4.76	4.90
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	1	4	15	4.70	413/1471	4.85	4.49	4.32	4.36	4.70
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	1	2	17	4.80	311/1470	4.90	4.35	4.33	4.34	4.80
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	0	0	0	2	4	14	4.60	247/1310	4.55	4.13	4.06	3.99	4.60
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	0	1	6	9	4.50	430/1210	4.62	4.44	4.18	4.28	4.50
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	6	0	0	0	1	4	11	4.63	488/1211	4.76	4.57	4.37	4.51	4.63
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	6	0	0	0	1	2	13	4.75	402/1207	4.88	4.64	4.41	4.53	4.75
4. Were special techniques successful	6	2	0	0	2	6	6	4.29	344/859	4.37	4.22	4.08	4.08	4.29

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	ENMG 658 02
Title:	Financial Management
Instructor:	Ziegler,James B

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment:	25
Questionnaires:	22

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	19	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/207	****	****	4.12	4.20	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	20	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/210	****	****	4.17	4.12	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	20	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/202	****	****	4.50	4.23	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	20	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/202	****	****	4.32	4.24	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	20	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/199	****	****	4.15	4.30	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	19	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/69	****	****	4.56	4.62	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	20	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/69	****	****	4.60	4.71	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	20	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/68	****	****	4.50	4.55	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	20	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/73	****	****	4.54	4.54	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	20	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/67	****	****	4.17	4.35	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	20	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/32	****	****	4.20	4.06	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	20	0	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	****/35	****	****	4.36	4.40	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	20	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/25	****	****	4.59	4.53	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	20	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/23	****	****	4.41	4.39	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	20	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/17	****	****	4.62	4.43	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	20	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/30	****	****	4.27	4.36	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	20	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/19	****	****	4.57	4.45	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	20	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/29	****	****	4.29	4.42	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	ENMG 658 02
Title:	Financial Management
Instructor:	Ziegler,James B

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment:	25
Questionnaires:	22

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	20	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/18	****	****	4.25	4.35	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	20	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/13	****	****	4.14	4.23	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	5	0.00-0.99 0	A 14	Required for Majors 13	Graduate 6 Major 0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99 0	B 3		
56-83	0	2.00-2.99 0	C 0	General 0	Under-grad 16 Non-major 22
84-150	0	3.00-3.49 1	D 0		
Grad.	6	3.50-4.00 7	F 0	Electives 4	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant
			P 0		
			I 0	Other 1	
			? 5		

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	ENMG 659 01
Title:	Strategic Management
Instructor:	Fenton,Robert E

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment:	16
Questionnaires:	12

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	4	0	0	0	4	3	1	3.63	1403/1542	3.63	4.31	4.33	4.39	3.63
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	4	0	0	0	4	3	1	3.63	1365/1542	3.63	4.33	4.29	4.31	3.63
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	4	1	0	0	2	4	1	3.86	1082/1339	3.86	4.45	4.32	4.31	3.86
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	4	0	0	0	0	6	2	4.25	854/1498	4.25	4.41	4.26	4.25	4.25
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	1	3	3	4.29	598/1428	4.29	4.37	4.12	4.13	4.29
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	1	3	2	1	3.43	1246/1407	3.43	4.17	4.15	4.20	3.43
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	5	0	0	0	0	3	4	4.57	441/1521	4.57	4.47	4.20	4.24	4.57
8. How many times was class cancelled	4	0	0	0	0	6	2	4.25	1327/1541	4.25	4.88	4.70	4.75	4.25
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	6	0	0	0	2	3	1	3.83	1107/1518	3.83	4.15	4.11	4.15	3.83
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	4	0	0	0	4	1	3	3.88	1305/1472	3.88	4.68	4.46	4.48	3.88
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	4	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1475	5.00	4.92	4.72	4.76	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	4	0	0	0	4	1	3	3.88	1191/1471	3.88	4.49	4.32	4.36	3.88
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	2	2	2	2	3.50	1318/1470	3.50	4.35	4.33	4.34	3.50
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	4	0	1	1	2	3	1	3.25	1168/1310	3.25	4.13	4.06	3.99	3.25
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	4	0	1	0	2	4	1	3.50	1007/1210	3.50	4.44	4.18	4.28	3.50
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	4	0	0	1	3	1	3	3.75	1041/1211	3.75	4.57	4.37	4.51	3.75
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	4	0	0	0	3	1	4	4.13	881/1207	4.13	4.64	4.41	4.53	4.13
4. Were special techniques successful	4	3	1	2	0	2	0	2.60	839/859	2.60	4.22	4.08	4.08	2.60

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	ENMG 659 01
Title:	Strategic Management
Instructor:	Fenton,Robert E

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment:	16
Questionnaires:	12

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	11	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/30	****	****	4.27	4.36	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	11	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/29	****	****	4.29	4.42	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	2	0.00-0.99 0	A 7	Required for Majors 1	Graduate 5 Major 6
28-55	0	1.00-1.99 0	B 0		
56-83	0	2.00-2.99 0	C 0	General 1	Under-grad 7 Non-major 6
84-150	0	3.00-3.49 1	D 0		
Grad.	5	3.50-4.00 4	F 0	Electives 3	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant
			P 0		
			I 0	Other 1	
			? 5		

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	ENMG 668 01
Title:	Project and SE Managemen
Instructor:	Ray,Jeffrey S

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment:	11
Questionnaires:	11

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	2	5	4	4.18	1034/1542	4.18	4.31	4.33	4.39	4.18
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	6	3	4.09	1082/1542	4.09	4.33	4.29	4.31	4.09
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	4	6	4.45	638/1339	4.45	4.45	4.32	4.31	4.45
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	2	4	4	4.20	906/1498	4.20	4.41	4.26	4.25	4.20
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	3	5	2	3.73	1119/1428	3.73	4.37	4.12	4.13	3.73
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	2	1	5	3	3.82	1045/1407	3.82	4.17	4.15	4.20	3.82
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	1	2	3	5	4.09	1001/1521	4.09	4.47	4.20	4.24	4.09
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	2	9	4.82	836/1541	4.82	4.88	4.70	4.75	4.82
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	1	0	1	1	6	1	3.78	1147/1518	3.78	4.15	4.11	4.15	3.78
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	1	2	8	4.64	644/1472	4.64	4.68	4.46	4.48	4.64
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	1	10	4.91	538/1475	4.91	4.92	4.72	4.76	4.91
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	1	2	4	4	4.00	1104/1471	4.00	4.49	4.32	4.36	4.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	2	1	0	2	6	3.82	1216/1470	3.82	4.35	4.33	4.34	3.82
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	1	3	0	5	2	3.36	1129/1310	3.36	4.13	4.06	3.99	3.36
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	1	3	3	3	3.80	897/1210	3.80	4.44	4.18	4.28	3.80
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	1	3	1	5	4.00	918/1211	4.00	4.57	4.37	4.51	4.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	1	2	1	6	4.20	840/1207	4.20	4.64	4.41	4.53	4.20
4. Were special techniques successful	1	0	0	1	2	3	4	4.00	478/859	4.00	4.22	4.08	4.08	4.00

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	ENMG 668 01
Title:	Project and SE Managemen
Instructor:	Ray,Jeffrey S

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment:	11
Questionnaires:	11

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	9	1	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/207	****	****	4.12	4.20	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	10	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/210	****	****	4.17	4.12	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	9	1	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/202	****	****	4.50	4.23	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	9	1	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/202	****	****	4.32	4.24	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	3	0.00-0.99 2	A 8	Required for Majors 7	Graduate 4 Major 2
28-55	0	1.00-1.99 0	B 1		
56-83	0	2.00-2.99 0	C 0	General 0	Under-grad 7 Non-major 9
84-150	0	3.00-3.49 1	D 0		
Grad.	4	3.50-4.00 5	F 0	Electives 3	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant
			P 0		
			I 0	Other 1	
			? 2		

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	ENMG 698 01
Title:	Engineering Management P
Instructor:	Pavlak,Alex

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment:	3
Questionnaires:	2

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1542	5.00	4.31	4.33	4.39	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1542	5.00	4.33	4.29	4.31	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1339	5.00	4.45	4.32	4.31	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1498	5.00	4.41	4.26	4.25	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1428	5.00	4.37	4.12	4.13	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1407	5.00	4.17	4.15	4.20	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1521	5.00	4.47	4.20	4.24	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1541	5.00	4.88	4.70	4.75	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1472	5.00	4.68	4.46	4.48	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1475	5.00	4.92	4.72	4.76	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1471	5.00	4.49	4.32	4.36	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1470	5.00	4.35	4.33	4.34	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1310	5.00	4.13	4.06	3.99	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1210	5.00	4.44	4.18	4.28	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1211	5.00	4.57	4.37	4.51	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1207	5.00	4.64	4.41	4.53	5.00

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	ENMG 698 01
Title:	Engineering Management P
Instructor:	Pavlak,Alex

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment:	3
Questionnaires:	2

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/859	5.00	4.22	4.08	4.08	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	0	0.00-0.99 0	A 1	Required for Majors 0	Graduate 0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99 0	B 0		
56-83	0	2.00-2.99 0	C 0	General 0	Under-grad 2
84-150	0	3.00-3.49 0	D 0		
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00 0	F 0	Electives 1	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant
			P 0		
			I 0	Other 0	
			? 1		