### General

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>NR</th>
<th>NA</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Mean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.67</td>
<td>1416/1639</td>
<td>3.53</td>
<td>4.12</td>
<td>4.27</td>
<td>4.08</td>
<td>3.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>1481/1639</td>
<td>3.55</td>
<td>4.28</td>
<td>4.22</td>
<td>4.17</td>
<td>3.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>1268/1397</td>
<td>3.45</td>
<td>3.78</td>
<td>4.28</td>
<td>4.18</td>
<td>3.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>1406/1583</td>
<td>3.13</td>
<td>3.85</td>
<td>4.19</td>
<td>4.01</td>
<td>3.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>1241/1532</td>
<td>3.45</td>
<td>3.84</td>
<td>4.01</td>
<td>3.88</td>
<td>3.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.83</td>
<td>1268/1532</td>
<td>3.13</td>
<td>3.57</td>
<td>4.19</td>
<td>4.01</td>
<td>3.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Was the grading system clearly explained</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>990/1504</td>
<td>3.62</td>
<td>4.05</td>
<td>4.05</td>
<td>3.78</td>
<td>3.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. How many times was class cancelled</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>1/1635</td>
<td>4.70</td>
<td>4.54</td>
<td>4.65</td>
<td>4.56</td>
<td>5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>889/1579</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>4.34</td>
<td>4.08</td>
<td>3.95</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Lecture

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>NR</th>
<th>NA</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Mean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.17</td>
<td>1162/1518</td>
<td>3.98</td>
<td>4.17</td>
<td>4.33</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>4.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>1414/1520</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>4.27</td>
<td>4.70</td>
<td>4.61</td>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.33</td>
<td>1405/1517</td>
<td>3.57</td>
<td>4.42</td>
<td>4.27</td>
<td>4.20</td>
<td>3.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>1328/1550</td>
<td>3.55</td>
<td>3.96</td>
<td>4.22</td>
<td>4.14</td>
<td>3.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>838/1295</td>
<td>3.28</td>
<td>3.67</td>
<td>3.94</td>
<td>3.84</td>
<td>3.75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Discussion

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>NR</th>
<th>NA</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Mean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.80</td>
<td>929/1398</td>
<td>3.57</td>
<td>3.93</td>
<td>4.07</td>
<td>3.95</td>
<td>3.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>983/1391</td>
<td>3.83</td>
<td>4.04</td>
<td>4.30</td>
<td>4.07</td>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4.20</td>
<td>872/1388</td>
<td>3.93</td>
<td>4.28</td>
<td>4.28</td>
<td>4.01</td>
<td>4.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Were special techniques successful</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>201/ 958</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>3.74</td>
<td>3.93</td>
<td>3.71</td>
<td>4.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Frequency Distribution

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Credits Earned</th>
<th>Cum. GPA</th>
<th>Expected Grades</th>
<th>Reasons</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Majors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-27</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.00-0.99</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28-55</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.00-1.99</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56-83</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.00-2.99</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>General 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84-150</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.00-3.49</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grad.</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.50-4.00</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Electives 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>I</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Other   4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>?</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Questions</td>
<td>Frequencies</td>
<td>Instructor</td>
<td>Course Dept</td>
<td>UMBC Level</td>
<td>Sect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Friedman</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course</td>
<td>0 0 0 0 4 0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.40 1530/1639</td>
<td>3.53</td>
<td>4.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals</td>
<td>0 0 0 0 3 1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.60 1444/1639</td>
<td>3.55</td>
<td>4.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals</td>
<td>0 0 0 1 2 1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.40 1300/1397</td>
<td>3.45</td>
<td>3.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals</td>
<td>0 1 1 0 2 1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.75 1569/1583</td>
<td>3.13</td>
<td>3.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned</td>
<td>0 0 0 0 4 0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.40 1300/1532</td>
<td>3.45</td>
<td>3.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned</td>
<td>0 0 0 1 2 1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.40 1259/1504</td>
<td>3.62</td>
<td>4.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Was the grading system clearly explained</td>
<td>0 0 0 1 3 0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.20 1488/1612</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>3.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. How many times was class cancelled</td>
<td>0 0 0 0 1 0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.40 1235/1635</td>
<td>4.70</td>
<td>4.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness</td>
<td>3 0 0 0 1 0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.00 889/1579</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>4.34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| General                                                                 |              |            |             |            |      |
| 1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared                          | 0 0 0 0 2 2 | 1          | 3.80 1351/1518 | 3.98      | 4.17 | 4.38 | 3.80 |
| 2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject                     | 0 0 0 0 2 1 | 2          | 4.00 1414/1520 | 4.00      | 4.27 | 4.70 | 4.61 |
| 3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly                  | 0 0 0 1 1 1 | 2          | 3.80 1241/1517 | 3.57      | 4.42 | 4.27 | 4.20 |
| 4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned                       | 0 0 0 1 2 0 | 2          | 3.60 1297/1550 | 3.55      | 3.96 | 4.22 | 4.17 |
| 5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding                 | 0 0 1 1 2 0 | 1          | 2.80 1213/1295 | 3.28      | 3.67 | 3.94 | 3.84 |

| Discussion                                                               |              |            |             |            |      |
| 1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned                  | 2 0 0 0 2 1 | 0          | 3.33 1183/1398 | 3.57      | 3.93 | 4.07 | 3.85 |
| 2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate                  | 2 0 0 0 2 0 | 1          | 3.67 1177/1391 | 3.83      | 4.04 | 4.30 | 4.07 |
| 3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion                  | 2 0 0 0 2 0 | 1          | 3.67 1130/1388 | 3.93      | 4.28 | 4.28 | 4.01 |
| 4. Were special techniques successful                                     | 2 0 1 1 1 0 | 0          | 2.00 937/ 958  | 3.25      | 3.74 | 3.93 | 3.71 |

<p>| Frequency Distribution                                                   |              |            |             |            |      |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Credits Earned</th>
<th>Cum. GPA</th>
<th>Expected Grades</th>
<th>Reasons</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Majors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>00-27</td>
<td>0.00-0.99</td>
<td>A 1</td>
<td>Required for Majors</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Graduate 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28-55</td>
<td>1.00-1.99 0</td>
<td>B 1</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Under-grad 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56-83</td>
<td>2.00-2.99 0</td>
<td>C 2</td>
<td>Electives</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>#</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84-150</td>
<td>3.00-3.49 0</td>
<td>D 0</td>
<td>Other</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>#</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grad.</td>
<td>3.50-4.00 0</td>
<td>F 0</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>#</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P 0</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>#</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>I 0</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>#</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>? 0</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>#</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### General

1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course
   - Frequencies: NR 0, NA 2, 1 0, 2 0, 3 0, 4 0, 5 0
   - Mean: 5.00
   - Rank: 1/1639
   - Mean: 5.00, 4.12, 4.27, 4.08, 5.00

2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals
   - Frequencies: NR 0, NA 0, 1 0, 2 0, 3 0, 4 0, 5 0
   - Mean: 4.50
   - Rank: 517/1639
   - Mean: 4.50, 4.28, 4.22, 4.17, 4.50

3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals
   - Frequencies: NR 0, NA 0, 1 1, 2 0, 3 0, 4 0, 5 0
   - Mean: 4.00
   - Rank: 973/1397
   - Mean: 4.00, 3.78, 4.28, 4.18, 4.00

4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals
   - Frequencies: NR 0, NA 0, 1 0, 2 0, 3 0, 4 0, 5 0
   - Mean: 4.00
   - Rank: 1010/1583
   - Mean: 4.00, 3.85, 4.19, 4.01, 4.00

5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned
   - Frequencies: NR 0, NA 0, 1 1, 2 0, 3 0, 4 0, 5 0
   - Mean: 3.50
   - Rank: 1241/1532
   - Mean: 3.50, 3.84, 4.01, 3.88, 3.50

6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned
   - Frequencies: NR 0, NA 0, 1 0, 2 0, 3 0, 4 0, 5 0
   - Mean: 5.00
   - Rank: 1504/1010
   - Mean: 5.00, 4.05, 4.05, 3.78, 5.00

7. Was the grading system clearly explained
   - Frequencies: NR 0, NA 0, 1 0, 2 0, 3 0, 4 0, 5 0
   - Mean: 5.00
   - Rank: 1612/382
   - Mean: 5.00, 4.54, 4.65, 4.56, 5.00

8. How many times was class cancelled
   - Frequencies: NR 0, NA 0, 1 0, 2 0, 3 0, 4 0, 5 0
   - Mean: 5.00
   - Rank: 1635/382
   - Mean: 5.00, 4.54, 4.65, 4.56, 5.00

9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness
   - Frequencies: NR 0, NA 0, 1 1, 2 0, 3 0, 4 0, 5 0
   - Mean: 4.50
   - Rank: 382/1579
   - Mean: 4.50, 4.05, 4.05, 3.78, 5.00

### Lecture

1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared
   - Frequencies: NR 0, NA 0, 1 1, 2 0, 3 0, 4 0, 5 0
   - Mean: 4.50
   - Rank: 807/1518
   - Mean: 4.50, 4.17, 4.43, 4.38, 4.50

2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject
   - Frequencies: NR 0, NA 0, 1 1, 2 0, 3 0, 4 0, 5 0
   - Mean: 4.50
   - Rank: 1188/1520
   - Mean: 4.50, 4.27, 4.70, 4.61, 4.50

3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly
   - Frequencies: NR 0, NA 0, 1 1, 2 0, 3 0, 4 0, 5 0
   - Mean: 4.50
   - Rank: 597/1517
   - Mean: 4.50, 4.42, 4.27, 4.20, 4.50

4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned
   - Frequencies: NR 0, NA 0, 1 0, 2 0, 3 0, 4 0, 5 0
   - Mean: 4.00
   - Rank: 1077/1550
   - Mean: 4.00, 3.96, 4.22, 4.17, 4.00

5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding
   - Frequencies: NR 0, NA 0, 1 1, 2 0, 3 0, 4 0, 5 0
   - Mean: 3.50
   - Rank: 978/1295
   - Mean: 3.50, 3.67, 3.94, 3.84, 3.50

### Discussion

1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned
   - Frequencies: NR 0, NA 0, 1 1, 2 0, 3 0, 4 0, 5 0
   - Mean: 4.50
   - Rank: 426/1398
   - Mean: 4.50, 3.93, 4.07, 3.85, 4.50

2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate
   - Frequencies: NR 0, NA 0, 1 1, 2 0, 3 0, 4 0, 5 0
   - Mean: 4.50
   - Rank: 616/1391
   - Mean: 4.50, 4.04, 4.30, 4.07, 4.50

3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion
   - Frequencies: NR 0, NA 0, 1 1, 2 0, 3 0, 4 0, 5 0
   - Mean: 4.00
   - Rank: 944/1388
   - Mean: 4.00, 4.28, 4.28, 4.01, 4.00

4. Were special techniques successful
   - Frequencies: NR 0, NA 0, 1 1, 2 0, 3 0, 4 0, 5 0
   - Mean: 3.50
   - Rank: 725/958
   - Mean: 3.50, 3.74, 3.93, 3.71, 3.50

### Frequency Distribution

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Credits Earned</th>
<th>Cum. GPA</th>
<th>Expected Grades</th>
<th>Reasons</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Majors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>00-27</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.00-0.99</td>
<td>A 1</td>
<td>Required for Majors</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28-55</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.00-1.99</td>
<td>B 0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56-83</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.00-2.99</td>
<td>C 0</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84-150</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.00-3.49</td>
<td>D 0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grad.</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.50-4.00</td>
<td>F 0</td>
<td>Electives</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P 0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>I 0</td>
<td>Other</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### General

1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned
7. Was the grading system clearly explained
8. How many times was class cancelled
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness

### Lecture

1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding

### Discussion

1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion
4. Were special techniques successful

### Laboratory

1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material
2. Were you provided with adequate background information
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities
4. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified

### Seminar

1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned
5. Were criteria for grading made clear

### Field Work

1. Was the instructor available for consultation

---

### Frequency Distribution

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Credits Earned</th>
<th>Cum. GPA</th>
<th>Expected Grades</th>
<th>Reasons</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>00-27</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.00-0.99</td>
<td>Required for Majors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28-55</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.00-1.99</td>
<td>Graduate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56-83</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.00-2.99</td>
<td>General</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84-150</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.00-3.49</td>
<td>Under-grad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grad.</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.50-4.00</td>
<td>Non-major</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Instructor</th>
<th>Course Dept</th>
<th>UMBC Level</th>
<th>Sect</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

---

### Questionnaires

- Course: ESL:ADV WRTNG & GRAMMA
- Instructor: FOLLETT, SONJA
- Fall 2007
- Job: IRBR3029
- Enrollment: 14
- Questionnaires: 9
- Student Course Evaluation Questionnaire
- Frequency Distribution
### Student Course Evaluation Questionnaire

#### Frequencies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Questions</th>
<th>NR</th>
<th>NA</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Mean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4.17</td>
<td>990/1639</td>
<td>4.17</td>
<td>4.12</td>
<td>4.27</td>
<td>4.08</td>
<td>4.17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4.58</td>
<td>435/1639</td>
<td>4.58</td>
<td>4.28</td>
<td>4.22</td>
<td>4.17</td>
<td>4.58</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>973/1397</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>3.78</td>
<td>4.28</td>
<td>4.18</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4.33</td>
<td>697/1583</td>
<td>4.33</td>
<td>3.85</td>
<td>4.19</td>
<td>4.01</td>
<td>4.33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4.45</td>
<td>388/1532</td>
<td>4.45</td>
<td>3.84</td>
<td>4.01</td>
<td>3.88</td>
<td>4.45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>367/1504</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>4.05</td>
<td>4.27</td>
<td>4.08</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Was the grading system clearly explained</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4.42</td>
<td>617/1612</td>
<td>4.42</td>
<td>3.77</td>
<td>4.16</td>
<td>4.10</td>
<td>4.42</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. How many times was class cancelled</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4.83</td>
<td>766/1635</td>
<td>4.83</td>
<td>4.54</td>
<td>4.65</td>
<td>4.56</td>
<td>4.83</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4.64</td>
<td>262/1579</td>
<td>4.64</td>
<td>4.08</td>
<td>4.38</td>
<td>4.28</td>
<td>4.64</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lecture</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4.82</td>
<td>345/1518</td>
<td>4.82</td>
<td>4.17</td>
<td>4.43</td>
<td>4.38</td>
<td>4.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4.79</td>
<td>546/1520</td>
<td>4.79</td>
<td>4.27</td>
<td>4.70</td>
<td>4.61</td>
<td>4.91</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4.75</td>
<td>299/1517</td>
<td>4.75</td>
<td>4.42</td>
<td>4.27</td>
<td>4.20</td>
<td>4.75</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4.08</td>
<td>1038/1550</td>
<td>4.08</td>
<td>3.96</td>
<td>4.22</td>
<td>4.17</td>
<td>4.08</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.25</td>
<td>459/1295</td>
<td>4.25</td>
<td>3.67</td>
<td>3.94</td>
<td>3.84</td>
<td>4.25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discussion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.56</td>
<td>397/1398</td>
<td>4.56</td>
<td>3.93</td>
<td>4.07</td>
<td>3.85</td>
<td>4.56</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.44</td>
<td>662/1391</td>
<td>4.44</td>
<td>4.04</td>
<td>4.30</td>
<td>4.07</td>
<td>4.44</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4.67</td>
<td>496/1388</td>
<td>4.67</td>
<td>4.28</td>
<td>4.28</td>
<td>4.01</td>
<td>4.67</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Did special techniques successful</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.33</td>
<td>307/ 958</td>
<td>4.33</td>
<td>3.74</td>
<td>3.93</td>
<td>3.71</td>
<td>4.33</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laboratory</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>****/ 224</td>
<td>****</td>
<td>****</td>
<td>4.10</td>
<td>3.90</td>
<td>****</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Were you provided with adequate background information</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>****/ 240</td>
<td>****</td>
<td>****</td>
<td>4.11</td>
<td>4.01</td>
<td>****</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>****/ 219</td>
<td>****</td>
<td>****</td>
<td>4.44</td>
<td>4.44</td>
<td>****</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>****/ 215</td>
<td>****</td>
<td>****</td>
<td>4.35</td>
<td>4.43</td>
<td>****</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seminar</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>****/ 85</td>
<td>****</td>
<td>****</td>
<td>4.58</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>****</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Was the instructor available for individual attention</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>****/ 83</td>
<td>****</td>
<td>****</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>4.52</td>
<td>4.12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>****/ 78</td>
<td>****</td>
<td>****</td>
<td>4.67</td>
<td>4.47</td>
<td>4.25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>****/ 80</td>
<td>****</td>
<td>****</td>
<td>4.47</td>
<td>4.39</td>
<td>****</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Were criteria for grading made clear</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>****/ 82</td>
<td>****</td>
<td>****</td>
<td>4.16</td>
<td>3.90</td>
<td>****</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Frequency Distribution

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Credits Earned</th>
<th>Cum. GPA</th>
<th>Expected Grades</th>
<th>Reasons</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Majors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>00-27</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.00-0.99</td>
<td>2 A 6</td>
<td>Required for Majors</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28-55</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.00-1.99</td>
<td>0 B 2</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>0 Under-grad 11 Non-major 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56-83</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.00-2.99</td>
<td>0 C 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84-150</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.00-3.49</td>
<td>0 D 0</td>
<td>Electives 0</td>
<td>0 Other 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grad.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.50-4.00</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### General
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course  
   - Frequency: 1
   - Questions: 1
   - Mean: 4.25
   - Rank: 890/1639
   - Mean: 4.27
   - Mean: 4.08
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals  
   - Frequency: 1
   - Questions: 1
   - Mean: 4.00
   - Rank: 1090/1639
   - Mean: 4.28
   - Mean: 4.22
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals  
   - Frequency: 1
   - Questions: 1
   - Mean: 3.67
   - Rank: 1219/1397
   - Mean: 3.78
   - Mean: 4.28
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals  
   - Frequency: 1
   - Questions: 1
   - Mean: 4.00
   - Rank: 1010/1583
   - Mean: 4.00
   - Mean: 4.20
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned  
   - Frequency: 1
   - Questions: 1
   - Mean: 4.50
   - Rank: 335/1532
   - Mean: 3.84
   - Mean: 4.01
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned  
   - Frequency: 1
   - Questions: 1
   - Mean: 4.00
   - Rank: 1010/1583
   - Mean: 3.85
   - Mean: 4.01
7. Was the grading system clearly explained  
   - Frequency: 1
   - Questions: 1
   - Mean: 4.00
   - Rank: 1044/1612
   - Mean: 4.00
   - Mean: 4.00
8. How many times was class cancelled  
   - Frequency: 1
   - Questions: 1
   - Mean: 4.00
   - Rank: 1044/1612
   - Mean: 4.00
   - Mean: 4.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness  
   - Frequency: 3
   - Questions: 1
   - Mean: 4.50
   - Rank: 382/1579
   - Mean: 4.34
   - Mean: 4.08

### Lecture
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared  
   - Frequency: 1
   - Questions: 1
   - Mean: 4.00
   - Rank: 1237/1518
   - Mean: 4.17
   - Mean: 4.38
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject  
   - Frequency: 1
   - Questions: 1
   - Mean: 4.50
   - Rank: 1188/1520
   - Mean: 4.27
   - Mean: 4.61
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly  
   - Frequency: 2
   - Questions: 1
   - Mean: 4.67
   - Rank: 405/1517
   - Mean: 4.42
   - Mean: 4.20
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned  
   - Frequency: 1
   - Questions: 1
   - Mean: 4.00
   - Rank: 1077/1550
   - Mean: 4.00
   - Mean: 3.96
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding  
   - Frequency: 1
   - Questions: 1
   - Mean: 3.50
   - Rank: 978/1295
   - Mean: 3.67
   - Mean: 3.50

### Discussion
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned  
   - Frequency: 2
   - Questions: 1
   - Mean: 3.67
   - Rank: 1030/1398
   - Mean: 3.67
   - Mean: 4.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate  
   - Frequency: 2
   - Questions: 1
   - Mean: 3.67
   - Rank: 1177/1391
   - Mean: 4.04
   - Mean: 4.07
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion  
   - Frequency: 2
   - Questions: 1
   - Mean: 3.67
   - Rank: 1130/1388
   - Mean: 3.94
   - Mean: 4.01
4. Were special techniques successful  
   - Frequency: 2
   - Questions: 1
   - Mean: 3.67
   - Rank: 658/958
   - Mean: 3.74
   - Mean: 3.71

### Laboratory
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material  
   - Frequency: 4
   - Questions: 1
   - Mean: 4.00
   - Rank: ****/224
   - Mean: 4.10
   - Mean: 3.90

### Seminar
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme  
   - Frequency: 4
   - Questions: 1
   - Mean: 4.00
   - Rank: ****/85
   - Mean: 4.58
   - Mean: 4.50
2. Did research projects contribute to what you learned  
   - Frequency: 4
   - Questions: 1
   - Mean: 4.00
   - Rank: ****/78
   - Mean: 4.67
   - Mean: 4.25

### Field Work
1. Was the instructor available for consultation  
   - Frequency: 4
   - Questions: 1
   - Mean: 4.00
   - Rank: ****/42
   - Mean: 4.75
   - Mean: 4.79

### Frequency Distribution

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Credits Earned</th>
<th>Cum. GPA</th>
<th>Expected Grades</th>
<th>Reasons</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Majors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.00-0.99 1</td>
<td>A 2</td>
<td>Required for Majors 0</td>
<td>Graduate 0</td>
<td>Major 0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28-55 0</td>
<td>1.00-1.99 0</td>
<td>B 1</td>
<td>General 0</td>
<td>Under-grad 5</td>
<td>Non-major 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56-83 0</td>
<td>2.00-2.99 0</td>
<td>C 0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84-150 0</td>
<td>3.00-3.49 0</td>
<td>D 0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grad. 0</td>
<td>3.50-4.00 0</td>
<td>F 0</td>
<td>Electives 0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P 0</td>
<td>I 0</td>
<td>Other 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>? 0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### General

1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course
   - Frequency: 0 0 0 0 1 0
   - Mean: 4.00 1138/1639
   - Rank: 4.08 4.00

2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals
   - Frequency: 0 0 0 0 0 1
   - Mean: 5.00 1/1639

3. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals
   - Frequency: 0 0 0 0 1 0
   - Mean: 3.00 1421/1532

4. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned
   - Frequency: 0 0 0 0 1 0
   - Mean: 4.00 824/1504

5. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals
   - Frequency: 0 0 0 0 1 0
   - Mean: 4.00 1010/1583

6. Was the grading system clearly explained
   - Frequency: 0 0 0 0 1 0
   - Mean: 4.00 1595/1612

7. How many times was class cancelled
   - Frequency: 0 0 0 0 1 0
   - Mean: 4.00 1497/1635

8. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness
   - Frequency: 0 0 0 0 1 0
   - Mean: 4.00 889/1579

### Lecture

1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared
   - Frequency: 0 0 0 0 1 0
   - Mean: 3.00 1481/1518

2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject
   - Frequency: 0 0 0 0 1 0
   - Mean: 3.00 1512/1520

3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly
   - Frequency: 0 0 0 0 1 0
   - Mean: 5.00 1/1517

4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned
   - Frequency: 0 0 0 0 1 0
   - Mean: 4.00 1077/1550

### Discussion

1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned
   - Frequency: 0 0 0 0 1 0
   - Mean: 3.00 1271/1398

2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate
   - Frequency: 0 0 0 0 1 0
   - Mean: 4.00 983/1391

3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion
   - Frequency: 0 0 0 0 1 0
   - Mean: 5.00 1388/1398

4. Were special techniques successful
   - Frequency: 0 0 0 0 1 0
   - Mean: 4.00 456/958

### Frequency Distribution

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Credits Earned</th>
<th>Cum. GPA</th>
<th>Expected Grades</th>
<th>Credits Earned</th>
<th>Cum. GPA</th>
<th>Expected Grades</th>
<th>Credits Earned</th>
<th>Cum. GPA</th>
<th>Expected Grades</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>00-27</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00-0.99</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Required for Majors</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Graduate</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28-55</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.00-1.99</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56-83</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.00-2.99</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Under-grad</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84-150</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.00-3.49</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grad.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.50-4.00</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Electives</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>#*** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>P</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Other</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>I</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>?</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>