<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Questions</th>
<th>Frequencies</th>
<th>Instructor</th>
<th>Course Dept</th>
<th>UMBC Level</th>
<th>Sect</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>General</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course</td>
<td>1 0 0 0 2 14</td>
<td>4.71</td>
<td>379/1639</td>
<td>4.71</td>
<td>4.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals</td>
<td>1 0 0 0 1 2</td>
<td>4.76</td>
<td>241/1639</td>
<td>4.76</td>
<td>4.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals</td>
<td>1 0 0 1 3 13</td>
<td>4.65</td>
<td>383/1397</td>
<td>4.65</td>
<td>4.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals</td>
<td>1 0 0 0 1 4</td>
<td>4.65</td>
<td>339/1583</td>
<td>4.65</td>
<td>4.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned</td>
<td>2 3 0 0 2 10</td>
<td>4.62</td>
<td>269/1532</td>
<td>4.62</td>
<td>4.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned</td>
<td>2 0 0 0 1 4</td>
<td>4.63</td>
<td>275/1504</td>
<td>4.63</td>
<td>4.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Was the grading system clearly explained</td>
<td>3 0 0 0 0 3</td>
<td>4.80</td>
<td>166/1612</td>
<td>4.80</td>
<td>4.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. How many times was class cancelled</td>
<td>2 0 0 0 0 16</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>1/1635</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>4.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness</td>
<td>4 3 1 0 3 7</td>
<td>4.36</td>
<td>538/1579</td>
<td>4.36</td>
<td>4.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lecture</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Did the instructor's lectures well prepared</td>
<td>1 0 0 0 0 17</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>1518/639</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>4.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject</td>
<td>1 0 0 0 0 17</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>1520/650</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>4.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly</td>
<td>1 0 1 1 0 15</td>
<td>4.71</td>
<td>360/1517</td>
<td>4.71</td>
<td>4.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned</td>
<td>1 0 1 1 2 13</td>
<td>4.59</td>
<td>545/1550</td>
<td>4.59</td>
<td>4.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding</td>
<td>1 2 1 0 1 1</td>
<td>4.47</td>
<td>297/1295</td>
<td>4.47</td>
<td>3.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Discussion</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned</td>
<td>7 0 0 1 2 8</td>
<td>4.55</td>
<td>403/1398</td>
<td>4.55</td>
<td>4.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate</td>
<td>7 0 0 0 0 1</td>
<td>4.91</td>
<td>227/1391</td>
<td>4.91</td>
<td>4.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion</td>
<td>7 0 0 0 0 1</td>
<td>4.91</td>
<td>224/1388</td>
<td>4.91</td>
<td>4.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Were special techniques successful</td>
<td>7 1 0 0 0 2</td>
<td>4.80</td>
<td>99/958</td>
<td>4.80</td>
<td>3.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Laboratory</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material</td>
<td>17 0 0 0 0 1</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>****/224</td>
<td>****</td>
<td>4.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Were you provided with adequate background information</td>
<td>17 0 0 0 0 1</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>****/240</td>
<td>****</td>
<td>4.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities</td>
<td>17 0 0 0 0 1</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>****/219</td>
<td>****</td>
<td>4.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance</td>
<td>17 0 0 0 0 1</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>****/215</td>
<td>****</td>
<td>4.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified</td>
<td>17 0 0 0 0 1</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>****/198</td>
<td>****</td>
<td>4.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Seminar</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme</td>
<td>17 0 0 0 0 1</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>****/85</td>
<td>****</td>
<td>4.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Was the instructor available for individual attention</td>
<td>17 0 0 0 0 1</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>****/82</td>
<td>****</td>
<td>4.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned</td>
<td>17 0 0 0 0 1</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>****/78</td>
<td>****</td>
<td>4.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned</td>
<td>17 0 0 0 0 1</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>****/80</td>
<td>****</td>
<td>4.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Were criteria for grading made clear</td>
<td>17 0 0 0 0 1</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>****/82</td>
<td>****</td>
<td>4.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Field Work</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned</td>
<td>17 0 0 0 0 1</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>****/52</td>
<td>****</td>
<td>4.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria</td>
<td>17 0 0 0 0 1</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>****/53</td>
<td>****</td>
<td>4.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Was the instructor available for consultation</td>
<td>17 0 0 0 0 1</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>****/42</td>
<td>****</td>
<td>4.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations</td>
<td>17 0 0 0 0 1</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>****/37</td>
<td>****</td>
<td>4.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities</td>
<td>17 0 0 0 0 1</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>****/32</td>
<td>****</td>
<td>4.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Self Paced</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned</td>
<td>17 0 0 0 0 1</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>****/50</td>
<td>****</td>
<td>4.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal</td>
<td>17 0 0 0 0 1</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>****/32</td>
<td>****</td>
<td>4.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful</td>
<td>17 0 0 0 0 1</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>****/43</td>
<td>****</td>
<td>4.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful</td>
<td>17 0 0 0 0 1</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>****/32</td>
<td>****</td>
<td>4.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Were there enough proctors for all the students</td>
<td>17 0 0 0 0 1</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>****/21</td>
<td>****</td>
<td>4.52</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Frequency Distribution

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Credits Earned</th>
<th>Cum. GPA</th>
<th>Expected Grades</th>
<th>Reasons</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Majors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>00-27</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00-0.99</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28-55</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.00-1.99</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56-83</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.00-2.99</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84-150</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>3.00-3.49</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grad.</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.50-4.00</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>I</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>?</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- A: Required for Majors
- B: General
- C: Electives
- D: Other

Graduate: 0
Major: 0
Under-grad: 18
Non-major: 18

### - Means there are not enough responses to be significant
### General

1. **Did you gain new insights, skills from this course**
   - Frequency: 0 0 0 0 2 2 12
   - Mean: 4.63
   - Cum. GPA: 482/1639
   - Expected Grades: 4.62 4.73 4.27 4.28 4.63

2. **Did the instructor make clear the expected goals**
   - Frequency: 0 0 0 0 2 2 12
   - Mean: 4.63
   - Cum. GPA: 393/1639
   - Expected Grades: 4.68 4.78 4.22 4.20 4.63

3. **Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals**
   - Frequency: 0 0 0 3 3 10
   - Mean: 4.44
   - Cum. GPA: 617/1397
   - Expected Grades: 4.57 4.70 4.28 4.26 4.44

4. **Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals**
   - Frequency: 0 4 0 1 2 1
   - Mean: 4.58
   - Cum. GPA: 392/1583
   - Expected Grades: 4.60 4.69 4.19 4.24 4.58

5. **Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned**
   - Frequency: 1 4 0 0 3 6
   - Mean: 3.91
   - Cum. GPA: 911/1532
   - Expected Grades: 4.08 4.33 4.01 4.05 4.91

6. **Did written assignments contribute to what you learned**
   - Frequency: 0 9 0 0 2 2
   - Mean: 4.14
   - Cum. GPA: 724/1504
   - Expected Grades: 4.28 4.48 4.05 4.12 4.14

7. **Was the grading system clearly explained**
   - Frequency: 0 0 0 0 1 1
   - Mean: 4.81
   - Cum. GPA: 160/1612
   - Expected Grades: 4.79 4.84 4.16 4.12 4.81

8. **How many times was class cancelled**
   - Frequency: 2 0 0 0 0 0
   - Mean: 5.00
   - Cum. GPA: 1/1635
   - Expected Grades: 4.98 4.86 4.65 4.66 4.81

9. **How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness**
   - Frequency: 3 0 0 0 1 4
   - Mean: 4.54
   - Cum. GPA: 352/1579
   - Expected Grades: 4.47 4.08 4.07 4.54

### Lecture

1. **Were the instructor's lectures well prepared**
   - Frequency: 1 0 0 0 1 3
   - Mean: 4.67
   - Cum. GPA: 602/1518
   - Expected Grades: 4.79 4.88 4.43 4.39 4.67

2. **Did the instructor seem interested in the subject**
   - Frequency: 1 0 0 0 0 1
   - Mean: 4.93
   - Cum. GPA: 382/1520
   - Expected Grades: 4.90 4.95 4.70 4.68 4.93

3. **Was lecture material presented and explained clearly**
   - Frequency: 1 0 0 0 1 4
   - Mean: 4.60
   - Cum. GPA: 474/1517
   - Expected Grades: 4.63 4.74 4.27 4.23 4.60

4. **Did the lectures contribute to what you learned**
   - Frequency: 1 0 0 0 2 2
   - Mean: 4.60
   - Cum. GPA: 522/1550
   - Expected Grades: 4.63 4.71 4.22 4.20 4.60

5. **Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding**
   - Frequency: 1 8 2 0 1 1
   - Mean: 3.43
   - Cum. GPA: 1023/1295
   - Expected Grades: 3.91 4.01 3.94 3.95 3.43

### Discussion

1. **Did class discussions contribute to what you learned**
   - Frequency: 6 0 0 1 2 2 5
   - Mean: 4.10
   - Cum. GPA: 735/1398
   - Expected Grades: 4.28 4.43 4.07 4.13 4.10

2. **Were all students actively encouraged to participate**
   - Frequency: 7 0 0 1 0 3 5
   - Mean: 4.33
   - Cum. GPA: 752/1391
   - Expected Grades: 4.53 4.74 4.30 4.35 4.33

3. **Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion**
   - Frequency: 7 0 0 0 0 3 6
   - Mean: 4.67
   - Cum. GPA: 496/1388
   - Expected Grades: 4.79 4.87 4.28 4.34 4.67

4. **Were special techniques successful**
   - Frequency: 7 1 1 0 0 3 4
   - Mean: 4.13
   - Cum. GPA: 424/958
   - Expected Grades: 4.43 4.54 3.93 3.97 4.13

### Frequency Distribution

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Credits Earned</th>
<th>Cum. GPA</th>
<th>Expected Grades</th>
<th>Reasons</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Majors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>00-27</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00-0.99</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28-55</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.00-1.99</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56-83</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.00-2.99</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84-150</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.00-3.49</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grad.</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.50-4.00</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>I</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Other</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### General

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Questions</th>
<th>NR</th>
<th>NA</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Mean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>4.61</td>
<td>508/1639</td>
<td>4.62</td>
<td>4.73</td>
<td>4.27</td>
<td>4.28</td>
<td>4.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>4.74</td>
<td>273/1639</td>
<td>4.68</td>
<td>4.78</td>
<td>4.22</td>
<td>4.20</td>
<td>4.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>4.70</td>
<td>342/1397</td>
<td>4.57</td>
<td>4.70</td>
<td>4.28</td>
<td>4.26</td>
<td>4.70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>4.62</td>
<td>363/1583</td>
<td>4.60</td>
<td>4.69</td>
<td>4.19</td>
<td>4.24</td>
<td>4.62</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>4.26</td>
<td>571/1532</td>
<td>4.08</td>
<td>4.33</td>
<td>4.01</td>
<td>4.05</td>
<td>4.26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>4.42</td>
<td>466/1504</td>
<td>4.28</td>
<td>4.48</td>
<td>4.05</td>
<td>4.12</td>
<td>4.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Was the grading system clearly explained</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>4.76</td>
<td>207/1612</td>
<td>4.79</td>
<td>4.84</td>
<td>4.16</td>
<td>4.12</td>
<td>4.76</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. How many times was class cancelled</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>4.95</td>
<td>331/1635</td>
<td>4.98</td>
<td>4.86</td>
<td>4.65</td>
<td>4.66</td>
<td>4.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4.25</td>
<td>657/1579</td>
<td>4.39</td>
<td>4.47</td>
<td>4.08</td>
<td>4.07</td>
<td>4.25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Lecture

1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared                            | 0  | 0  | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 11| 4.91 | 191/1518 | 4.79 | 4.88 | 4.43 | 4.39 | 4.91 |
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject                      | 0  | 0  | 0 | 0 | 3 | 20| 4.87 | 648/1520 | 4.90 | 4.95 | 4.70 | 4.68 | 4.87 |
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly                   | 0  | 0  | 0 | 1 | 6 | 16| 4.65 | 417/1517 | 4.63 | 4.74 | 4.27 | 4.23 | 4.65 |
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned                        | 0  | 1  | 0 | 0 | 4 | 18| 4.65 | 468/1550 | 4.63 | 4.71 | 4.22 | 4.20 | 4.65 |
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding                  | 0  | 8  | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 10| 4.40 | 346/1295 | 3.91 | 4.01 | 3.94 | 3.95 | 4.40 |

### Discussion

1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned                   | 12 | 0  | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 8 | 4.45 | 468/1398 | 4.28 | 4.43 | 4.07 | 4.13 | 4.45 |
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate                   | 12 | 0  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 9 | 4.73 | 429/1391 | 4.53 | 4.74 | 4.30 | 4.35 | 4.73 |
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion                  | 12 | 0  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 10| 4.91 | 224/1388 | 4.79 | 4.87 | 4.28 | 4.34 | 4.91 |
4. Were special techniques successful                                      | 12 | 0  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 10| 4.73 | 131/ 958 | 4.43 | 4.54 | 3.93 | 3.97 | 4.73 |

### Frequency Distribution

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Credits Earned</th>
<th>Cum. GPA</th>
<th>Expected Grades</th>
<th>Reasons</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Majors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>00-27</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00-0.99</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Required for Majors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28-55</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.00-1.99</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>General</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56-83</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.00-2.99</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Electives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84-150</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3.00-3.49</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grad.</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.50-4.00</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>?</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Course: TAXATION

### General

1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course
   - Mean: 5.00
   - Rank: 1/1639
   - Mean: 5.00
   - Mean: 4.73
   - Mean: 4.27
   - Mean: 4.28
   - Mean: 5.00

2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals
   - Mean: 5.00
   - Mean: 4.78
   - Mean: 4.22
   - Mean: 4.20
   - Mean: 5.00

3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals
   - Mean: 5.00
   - Mean: 4.70
   - Mean: 4.28
   - Mean: 4.26
   - Mean: 5.00

4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals
   - Mean: 4.93
   - Mean: 4.69
   - Mean: 4.19
   - Mean: 4.24
   - Mean: 4.93

5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned
   - Mean: 4.55
   - Mean: 4.33
   - Mean: 4.01
   - Mean: 4.05
   - Mean: 4.93

6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned
   - Mean: 4.50
   - Mean: 4.48
   - Mean: 4.05
   - Mean: 4.12
   - Mean: 4.75

7. Was the grading system clearly explained
   - Mean: 5.00
   - Mean: 4.84
   - Mean: 4.16
   - Mean: 4.12
   - Mean: 5.00

8. How many times was class cancelled
   - Mean: 4.50
   - Mean: 4.50
   - Mean: 4.46
   - Mean: 4.66
   - Mean: 4.50

9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness
   - Mean: 4.73
   - Mean: 4.47
   - Mean: 4.08
   - Mean: 4.07
   - Mean: 4.73

### Lecture

1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared
   - Mean: 4.93
   - Mean: 4.88
   - Mean: 4.39
   - Mean: 4.93

2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject
   - Mean: 5.00
   - Mean: 4.95
   - Mean: 4.68
   - Mean: 5.00

3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly
   - Mean: 5.00
   - Mean: 4.74
   - Mean: 4.27
   - Mean: 5.00

4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned
   - Mean: 5.00
   - Mean: 4.71
   - Mean: 4.22
   - Mean: 5.00

5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding
   - Mean: 3.75
   - Mean: 4.01
   - Mean: 3.94
   - Mean: 3.95
   - Mean: 3.75

### Discussion

1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned
   - Mean: 4.60
   - Mean: 4.43
   - Mean: 4.07
   - Mean: 4.13
   - Mean: 4.60

2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate
   - Mean: 5.00
   - Mean: 4.74
   - Mean: 4.30
   - Mean: 4.35
   - Mean: 5.00

3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion
   - Mean: 5.00
   - Mean: 4.87
   - Mean: 4.28
   - Mean: 4.34
   - Mean: 5.00

4. Were special techniques successful
   - Mean: 4.50
   - Mean: 4.54
   - Mean: 3.93
   - Mean: 3.97
   - Mean: 4.50

### Frequency Distribution

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Credits Earned</th>
<th>Cum. GPA</th>
<th>Expected Grades</th>
<th>Reasons</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Majors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>00-27</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00-0.99</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28-55</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.00-1.99</td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56-83</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.00-2.99</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84-150</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.00-3.49</td>
<td>D</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grad.</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.50-4.00</td>
<td>F</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Other</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Credits Earned:**
- 00-27: 0
- 28-55: 0
- 56-83: 4
- 84-150: 5
- Grad.: 0

**Cum. GPA:**
- 0.00-0.99: 0
- 1.00-1.99: 0
- 2.00-2.99: 2
- 3.00-3.49: 2
- 3.50-4.00: 4

**Expected Grades:**
- A: 8
- B: 5
- C: 0
- D: 0
- F: 0
- P: 0
- I: 0
- Other: 11

**Reasons:**
- Required for Majors: 0
- Graduate: 0
- Electives: 0
- Under-grad: 14
- Non-major: 14
- Other: 11

---

**Type:**
- Graduated: 0
- Major: 0

---

**Majors:**
- General: 2
- Under-grad: 14
- Non-major: 14