

Course-Section: DANC 110 0101  
 Title BEGIN MOD DANC TECH I  
 Instructor: WALTON, ELIZABE  
 Enrollment: 26  
 Questionnaires: 17

University of Maryland  
 Baltimore County  
 Fall 2008

Page 478  
 FEB 11, 2009  
 Job IRBR3029

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaire

Questions	Frequencies								Instructor		Course	Dept	UMBC	Level	Sect
	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	
-----															
General															
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	1	1	3	12	4.53	617/1649	4.53	4.44	4.28	4.11	4.53	
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	1	0	0	2	14	4.65	388/1648	4.65	4.10	4.23	4.16	4.65	
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	2	11	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	****/1375	****	4.68	4.27	4.10	****	
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	5	0	1	0	2	9	4.58	405/1595	4.58	3.81	4.20	4.03	4.58	
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	14	1	0	0	0	1	3.00	****/1533	****	4.31	4.04	3.87	****	
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	14	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1512	****	4.10	4.10	3.86	****	
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	2	0	3	2	1	8	4.00	1029/1623	4.00	4.04	4.16	4.08	4.00	
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	15	2	4.12	1498/1646	4.12	4.68	4.69	4.67	4.12	
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	1	6	8	4.47	428/1621	4.47	4.24	4.06	3.96	4.47	

Lecture															
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	9	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1568	5.00	4.41	4.43	4.39	5.00	
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	9	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1572	5.00	4.64	4.70	4.64	5.00	
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	9	0	0	0	0	3	5	4.63	524/1564	4.63	4.26	4.28	4.20	4.63	
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	9	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	238/1559	4.88	4.52	4.29	4.20	4.88	
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	9	4	1	0	0	0	3	4.00	****/1352	****	4.41	3.98	3.86	****	

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	A	11	Required for Majors	7	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	3	1.00-1.99	0	B	0						
56-83	3	2.00-2.99	0	C	0	General	4	Under-grad	17	Non-major	17
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	5	F	0	Electives	1	#### - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	2						
				I	0	Other	2				
				?	0						

Course-Section: DANC 116 0101  
 Title BALLET I (ELEMENTARY)  
 Instructor: LACY, SANDRA L  
 Enrollment: 21  
 Questionnaires: 19

University of Maryland  
 Baltimore County  
 Fall 2008

Page 479  
 FEB 11, 2009  
 Job IRBR3029

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaire

Questions	Frequencies								Instructor		Course	Dept	UMBC	Level	Sect
	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	
General															
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	1	0	0	1	2	2	13	4.50	644/1649	4.50	4.44	4.28	4.11	4.50	
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	5	13	4.72	291/1648	4.72	4.10	4.23	4.16	4.72	
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	14	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	****/1375	****	4.68	4.27	4.10	****	
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	2	6	1	1	1	2	6	4.00	1067/1595	4.00	3.81	4.20	4.03	4.00	
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	15	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/1533	****	4.31	4.04	3.87	****	
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	3	0	2	5	3	5	3.73	1131/1512	3.73	4.10	4.10	3.86	3.73	
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	1	1	1	2	2	11	4.24	838/1623	4.24	4.04	4.16	4.08	4.24	
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	0	0	0	12	5	4.29	1370/1646	4.29	4.68	4.69	4.67	4.29	
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	0	0	1	4	11	4.63	270/1621	4.63	4.24	4.06	3.96	4.63	
Lecture															
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	1	2	15	4.78	442/1568	4.78	4.41	4.43	4.39	4.78	
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	2	16	4.89	640/1572	4.89	4.64	4.70	4.64	4.89	
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	1	4	12	4.65	498/1564	4.65	4.26	4.28	4.20	4.65	
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	4	14	4.78	361/1559	4.78	4.52	4.29	4.20	4.78	
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	15	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1352	****	4.41	3.98	3.86	****	
Discussion															
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	18	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/1384	****	3.28	4.08	3.86	****	
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	18	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1382	****	3.48	4.29	4.03	****	
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	18	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/1368	****	3.92	4.30	4.01	****	

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	A 10	Required for Majors	4
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	B 3		Graduate 0
56-83	5	2.00-2.99	C 0	General	5
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	D 0		Under-grad 19
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	F 0	Electives	3
			P 1		#### - Means there are not enough responses to be significant
			I 0	Other	4
			? 2		

Course-Section: DANC 202 0101  
 Title DANCE HIST II: CONTEMP  
 Instructor: WALTON, ELIZABE  
 Enrollment: 42  
 Questionnaires: 21

University of Maryland  
 Baltimore County  
 Fall 2008

Page 480  
 FEB 11, 2009  
 Job IRBR3029

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaire

Questions	Frequencies								Instructor		Course	Dept	UMBC	Level	Sect
	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	
General															
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	2	5	14	4.57	550/1649	4.57	4.44	4.28	4.29	4.57	
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	4	15	4.62	427/1648	4.62	4.10	4.23	4.25	4.62	
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	2	17	4.71	347/1375	4.71	4.68	4.27	4.37	4.71	
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	6	13	4.52	474/1595	4.52	3.81	4.20	4.22	4.52	
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	1	1	4	4	10	4.05	781/1533	4.05	4.31	4.04	4.04	4.05	
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	2	0	0	3	5	11	4.42	493/1512	4.42	4.10	4.10	4.14	4.42	
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	5	4	12	4.33	720/1623	4.33	4.04	4.16	4.21	4.33	
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	21	5.00	1/1646	5.00	4.68	4.69	4.63	5.00	
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	0	0	0	3	9	5	4.12	847/1621	4.12	4.24	4.06	4.01	4.12	
Lecture															
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	1	1	5	14	4.52	827/1568	4.52	4.41	4.43	4.39	4.52	
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	1	1	19	4.86	715/1572	4.86	4.64	4.70	4.73	4.86	
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	4	4	13	4.43	754/1564	4.43	4.26	4.28	4.27	4.43	
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	2	2	16	4.57	618/1559	4.57	4.52	4.29	4.33	4.57	
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	3	1	17	4.67	208/1352	4.67	4.41	3.98	4.07	4.67	
Discussion															
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	12	0	2	0	2	2	3	3.44	1105/1384	3.44	3.28	4.08	3.99	3.44	
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	12	0	1	2	1	2	3	3.44	1226/1382	3.44	3.48	4.29	4.19	3.44	
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	12	0	0	1	1	2	5	4.22	860/1368	4.22	3.92	4.30	4.21	4.22	
4. Were special techniques successful	12	8	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/ 948	****	2.81	3.95	3.89	****	
Self Paced															
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	20	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/ 53	****	5.00	4.30	4.07	****	
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	20	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/ 30	****	****	4.16	1.50	****	
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	20	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/ 41	****	5.00	4.43	3.50	****	
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	20	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/ 24	****	****	4.42	2.00	****	

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	3	0.00-0.99	1	A	12	Required for Majors	6	Graduate	0	Major	6
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	B	4						
56-83	3	2.00-2.99	0	C	0	General	5	Under-grad	21	Non-major	15
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	0	#### - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	7				
				?	1						

Course-Section: DANC 202H 0101  
 Title DANCE HIST II - HONORS  
 Instructor: WALTON, ELIZABE  
 Enrollment: 6  
 Questionnaires: 4

University of Maryland  
 Baltimore County  
 Fall 2008

Page 481  
 FEB 11, 2009  
 Job IRBR3029

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaire

Questions	Frequencies								Instructor		Course	Dept	UMBC	Level	Sect
	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	
-----															
General															
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1649	5.00	4.44	4.28	4.29	5.00	
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	0	3	4.50	556/1648	4.50	4.10	4.23	4.25	4.50	
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	0	3	4.50	546/1375	4.50	4.68	4.27	4.37	4.50	
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	321/1595	4.67	3.81	4.20	4.22	4.67	
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	4.00	815/1533	4.00	4.31	4.04	4.04	4.00	
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	380/1512	4.50	4.10	4.10	4.14	4.50	
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	502/1623	4.50	4.04	4.16	4.21	4.50	
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	1	0	0	1	2	3.75	1621/1646	3.75	4.68	4.69	4.63	3.75	
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	374/1621	4.50	4.24	4.06	4.01	4.50	
-----															
Lecture															
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	480/1568	4.75	4.41	4.43	4.39	4.75	
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1572	5.00	4.64	4.70	4.73	5.00	
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	342/1564	4.75	4.26	4.28	4.27	4.75	
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1559	5.00	4.52	4.29	4.33	5.00	
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1352	5.00	4.41	3.98	4.07	5.00	
-----															
Discussion															
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	3.00	1254/1384	3.00	3.28	4.08	3.99	3.00	
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	774/1382	4.33	3.48	4.29	4.19	4.33	
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	3.00	1286/1368	3.00	3.92	4.30	4.21	3.00	

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	A 3	Required for Majors	2
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	B 1		Graduate 0
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	C 0	General	1
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	D 0		Under-grad 4
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	F 0	Electives	1
			P 0		#### - Means there are not enough responses to be significant
			I 0	Other	1
			? 0		

Course-Section: DANC 216 0101  
 Title BALLET II (INTERMEDIAT  
 Instructor: DOLID, LAURA  
 Enrollment: 21  
 Questionnaires: 13

University of Maryland  
 Baltimore County  
 Fall 2008

Page 482  
 FEB 11, 2009  
 Job IRBR3029

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaire

Questions	Frequencies					Instructor		Course Mean	Dept Mean	UMBC Mean	Level Mean	Sect Mean		
	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5						Mean	Rank
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	2	1	4	6	4.08	1136/1649	4.08	4.44	4.28	4.29	4.08
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	1	1	2	9	4.46	614/1648	4.46	4.10	4.23	4.25	4.46
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	2	10	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/1375	****	4.68	4.27	4.37	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	4	4	4	4.00	1067/1595	4.00	3.81	4.20	4.22	4.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	12	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/1533	****	4.31	4.04	4.04	****
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	2	0	5	3	3	3.38	1327/1512	3.38	4.10	4.10	4.14	3.38
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	2	0	0	1	4	6	4.45	568/1623	4.45	4.04	4.16	4.21	4.45
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	11	2	4.15	1469/1646	4.15	4.68	4.69	4.63	4.15
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	1	3	6	2	3.75	1192/1621	3.75	4.24	4.06	4.01	3.75
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	10	0	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	****/1568	****	4.41	4.43	4.39	****
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	10	0	0	0	0	2	1	4.33	****/1572	****	4.64	4.70	4.73	****
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	10	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/1564	****	4.26	4.28	4.27	****
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	10	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/1559	****	4.52	4.29	4.33	****
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	12	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1384	****	3.28	4.08	3.99	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	12	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1382	****	3.48	4.29	4.19	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	12	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1368	****	3.92	4.30	4.21	****
4. Were special techniques successful	12	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/ 948	****	2.81	3.95	3.89	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	A	8	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	6
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	0	B	1						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	C	0	General	1	Under-grad	13	Non-major	7
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	1	#### - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	9				
				?	2						

Course-Section: DANC 220 0101  
 Title BEGIN MOD DANCE TECH I  
 Instructor: HAMBY, DOUGLAS  
 Enrollment: 11  
 Questionnaires: 9

University of Maryland  
 Baltimore County  
 Fall 2008

Page 483  
 FEB 11, 2009  
 Job IRBR3029

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaire

Questions	Frequencies								Instructor		Course	Dept	UMBC	Level	Sect
	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	
General															
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	1	1	3	4	4.11	1106/1649	4.11	4.44	4.28	4.29	4.11	
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	1	2	1	3	2	3.33	1546/1648	3.33	4.10	4.23	4.25	3.33	
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	2	1	1	0	3	2	3.57	1180/1375	3.57	4.68	4.27	4.37	3.57	
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	2	1	0	2	3	1	3.43	1435/1595	3.43	3.81	4.20	4.22	3.43	
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	6	1	0	0	0	2	3.67	1139/1533	3.67	4.31	4.04	4.04	3.67	
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	3	1	2	1	0	2	3.00	1428/1512	3.00	4.10	4.10	4.14	3.00	
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	2	2	3	2	3.56	1367/1623	3.56	4.04	4.16	4.21	3.56	
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	1	0	4	3	4.13	1491/1646	4.13	4.68	4.69	4.63	4.13	
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	0	0	4	1	1	3.50	1345/1621	3.50	4.24	4.06	4.01	3.50	
Lecture															
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	5	0	1	0	1	1	1	3.25	1496/1568	3.25	4.41	4.43	4.39	3.25	
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	5	0	0	0	1	1	2	4.25	1400/1572	4.25	4.64	4.70	4.73	4.25	
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	5	0	1	0	1	1	1	3.25	1460/1564	3.25	4.26	4.28	4.27	3.25	
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	5	0	1	0	0	2	1	3.50	1370/1559	3.50	4.52	4.29	4.33	3.50	
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	5	2	1	0	0	1	0	2.50	****/1352	****	4.41	3.98	4.07	****	
Discussion															
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	0	1	2	0	3.67	1011/1384	3.67	3.28	4.08	3.99	3.67	
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	6	0	1	0	0	0	2	3.67	1146/1382	3.67	3.48	4.29	4.19	3.67	
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	6	0	0	1	0	1	1	3.67	1129/1368	3.67	3.92	4.30	4.21	3.67	
4. Were special techniques successful	6	0	1	0	0	1	1	3.33	776/ 948	3.33	2.81	3.95	3.89	3.33	

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	1	0.00-0.99 0	A 6	Required for Majors 0	Graduate 0 Major 4
28-55	1	1.00-1.99 0	B 2		
56-83	1	2.00-2.99 0	C 0	General 5	Under-grad 9 Non-major 5
84-150	1	3.00-3.49 2	D 0		
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00 2	F 0	Electives 0	#### - Means there are not enough responses to be significant
			P 0		
			I 0	Other 3	
			? 0		

Course-Section: DANC 230 0101  
 Title IMPROVISATION  
 Instructor: HAMBY, DOUGLAS  
 Enrollment: 13  
 Questionnaires: 13

University of Maryland  
 Baltimore County  
 Fall 2008

Page 484  
 FEB 11, 2009  
 Job IRBR3029

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaire

Questions	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Dept	UMBC	Level	Sect		
	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean		
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	1	4	3	5	3.92	1254/1649	3.92	4.44	4.28	4.29	3.92
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	1	0	3	5	3	3.75	1347/1648	3.75	4.10	4.23	4.25	3.75
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	11	0	1	0	1	0	3.00	****/1375	****	4.68	4.27	4.37	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	7	0	1	1	3	1	3.67	1335/1595	3.67	3.81	4.20	4.22	3.67
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	10	0	2	0	1	0	2.67	****/1533	****	4.31	4.04	4.04	****
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	5	0	0	2	3	2	4.00	883/1512	4.00	4.10	4.10	4.14	4.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	2	3	0	2	5	3.42	1429/1623	3.42	4.04	4.16	4.21	3.42
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	0	12	5.00	1/1646	5.00	4.68	4.69	4.63	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	1	3	1	4	3	3.42	1399/1621	3.42	4.24	4.06	4.01	3.42
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	2	0	1	1	7	4.00	1279/1568	4.00	4.41	4.43	4.39	4.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	1	2	1	7	4.27	1393/1572	4.27	4.64	4.70	4.73	4.27
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	1	2	2	1	5	3.64	1348/1564	3.64	4.26	4.28	4.27	3.64
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	2	1	1	2	5	3.64	1333/1559	3.64	4.52	4.29	4.33	3.64
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	3	5	1	2	0	0	2	3.00	1219/1352	3.00	4.41	3.98	4.07	3.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	6	0	1	0	2	1	3	3.71	987/1384	3.71	3.28	4.08	3.99	3.71
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	6	0	0	1	2	1	3	3.86	1050/1382	3.86	3.48	4.29	4.19	3.86
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	6	0	1	1	3	0	2	3.14	1276/1368	3.14	3.92	4.30	4.21	3.14
4. Were special techniques successful	6	2	1	2	1	0	1	2.60	905/ 948	2.60	2.81	3.95	3.89	2.60

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	2	0.00-0.99 0	A 9	Required for Majors 0	Graduate 0 Major 7
28-55	0	1.00-1.99 0	B 2		
56-83	1	2.00-2.99 0	C 0	General 0	Under-grad 13 Non-major 6
84-150	0	3.00-3.49 1	D 0		
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00 0	F 0	Electives 0	#### - Means there are not enough responses to be significant
			P 0		
			I 0	Other 11	
			? 0		

Course-Section: DANC 280A 0101  
 Title PERFORMANCE PRACTICUM  
 Instructor: HAMBY, DOUGLAS (Instr. A)  
 Enrollment: 3  
 Questionnaires: 3

University of Maryland  
 Baltimore County  
 Fall 2008

Page 485  
 FEB 11, 2009  
 Job IRBR3029

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaire

Questions	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Dept	UMBC	Level	Sect		
	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean		
-----														
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	871/1649	4.50	4.44	4.28	4.29	4.33
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	3.33	1546/1648	3.25	4.10	4.23	4.25	3.33
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1375	5.00	4.68	4.27	4.37	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	1592/1595	2.00	3.81	4.20	4.22	1.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	380/1512	4.63	4.10	4.10	4.14	4.50
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1623	4.75	4.04	4.16	4.21	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1646	5.00	4.68	4.69	4.63	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	914/1621	3.50	4.24	4.06	4.01	3.50
Lecture														
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1463/1572	4.00	4.64	4.70	4.73	4.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	1380/1384	1.00	3.28	4.08	3.99	1.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	1378/1382	1.00	3.48	4.29	4.19	1.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	1	0.00-0.99 0	A 2	Required for Majors 0	Graduate 0 Major 0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99 0	B 0		
56-83	1	2.00-2.99 0	C 0	General 2	Under-grad 3 Non-major 3
84-150	0	3.00-3.49 0	D 0		
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00 1	F 0	Electives 0	#### - Means there are not enough responses to be significant
			P 0		
			I 0	Other 0	
			? 0		



Course-Section: DANC 280A 0101  
 Title PERFORMANCE PRACTICUM  
 Instructor: (Instr. B)  
 Enrollment: 3  
 Questionnaires: 3

University of Maryland  
 Baltimore County  
 Fall 2008

Page 486  
 FEB 11, 2009  
 Job IRBR3029

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaire

Questions	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Dept	UMBC	Level	Sect		
	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean		
-----														
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	871/1649	4.50	4.44	4.28	4.29	4.33
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	3.33	1546/1648	3.25	4.10	4.23	4.25	3.33
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1375	5.00	4.68	4.27	4.37	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	1592/1595	2.00	3.81	4.20	4.22	1.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	380/1512	4.63	4.10	4.10	4.14	4.50
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1623	4.75	4.04	4.16	4.21	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1646	5.00	4.68	4.69	4.63	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	1504/1621	3.50	4.24	4.06	4.01	3.50
Lecture														
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	1563/1564	1.00	4.26	4.28	4.27	1.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	1380/1384	1.00	3.28	4.08	3.99	1.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	1378/1382	1.00	3.48	4.29	4.19	1.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	1	0.00-0.99 0	A 2	Required for Majors 0	Graduate 0 Major 0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99 0	B 0		
56-83	1	2.00-2.99 0	C 0	General 2	Under-grad 3 Non-major 3
84-150	0	3.00-3.49 0	D 0		
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00 1	F 0	Electives 0	#### - Means there are not enough responses to be significant
			P 0		
			I 0	Other 0	
			? 0		

Course-Section: DANC 280A 0101  
 Title PERFORMANCE PRACTICUM  
 Instructor: (Instr. C)  
 Enrollment: 3  
 Questionnaires: 3

University of Maryland  
 Baltimore County  
 Fall 2008

Page 487  
 FEB 11, 2009  
 Job IRBR3029

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaire

Questions	Frequencies					Instructor		Course Mean	Dept Mean	UMBC Mean	Level Mean	Sect Mean		
	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5						Mean	Rank
-----														
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	871/1649	4.50	4.44	4.28	4.29	4.33
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	3.33	1546/1648	3.25	4.10	4.23	4.25	3.33
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1375	5.00	4.68	4.27	4.37	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	1592/1595	2.00	3.81	4.20	4.22	1.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	380/1512	4.63	4.10	4.10	4.14	4.50
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1623	4.75	4.04	4.16	4.21	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1646	5.00	4.68	4.69	4.63	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	1515/1568	3.00	4.41	4.43	4.39	3.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	1380/1384	1.00	3.28	4.08	3.99	1.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	1378/1382	1.00	3.48	4.29	4.19	1.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
-----					
00-27	1	0.00-0.99 0	A 2	Required for Majors	0 Graduate 0 Major 0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99 0	B 0		
56-83	1	2.00-2.99 0	C 0	General	2 Under-grad 3 Non-major 3
84-150	0	3.00-3.49 0	D 0		
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00 1	F 0	Electives	0 #### - Means there are not enough responses to be significant
			P 0		
			I 0	Other	0
			? 0		

Course-Section: DANC 280A 0201  
 Title PERFORMANCE PRACTICUM  
 Instructor: WALTON, ELIZABE  
 Enrollment: 1  
 Questionnaires: 1

University of Maryland  
 Baltimore County  
 Fall 2008

Page 488  
 FEB 11, 2009  
 Job IRBR3029

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaire

Questions	Frequencies								Instructor		Course	Dept	UMBC	Level	Sect
	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	
-----															
General															
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1649	4.50	4.44	4.28	4.29	5.00	
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	1591/1648	3.25	4.10	4.23	4.25	3.00	
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1595	2.00	3.81	4.20	4.22	5.00	
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1533	5.00	4.31	4.04	4.04	5.00	
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1512	4.63	4.10	4.10	4.14	5.00	
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1029/1623	4.75	4.04	4.16	4.21	4.00	
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1646	5.00	4.68	4.69	4.63	5.00	
Field Work															
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/ 52	5.00	5.00	4.06	3.93	5.00	
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/ 48	5.00	5.00	4.09	4.05	5.00	
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	28/ 39	4.00	4.00	4.47	4.49	4.00	
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	68/ 312	4.00	4.00	3.68	3.59	4.00	
Self Paced															
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/ 53	5.00	5.00	4.30	4.07	5.00	
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/ 41	5.00	5.00	4.43	3.50	5.00	

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	A 1	Required for Majors	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	B 0		Graduate 0
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	C 0	General	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	D 0		Under-grad 1
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	F 0	Electives	0
			P 0		#### - Means there are not enough responses to be significant
			I 0	Other	1
			? 0		

Course-Section: DANC 280B 0101  
 Title PERFORMANCE PRACTICUM  
 Instructor: HAMBY, DOUGLAS  
 Enrollment: 1  
 Questionnaires: 1

University of Maryland  
 Baltimore County  
 Fall 2008

Page 489  
 FEB 11, 2009  
 Job IRBR3029

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaire

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course Mean	Dept Mean	UMBC Mean	Level Mean	Sect Mean
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank					
-----														
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1183/1649	4.00	4.44	4.28	4.29	4.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1124/1648	4.00	4.10	4.23	4.25	4.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	1533/1623	3.00	4.04	4.16	4.21	3.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1646	5.00	4.68	4.69	4.63	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	914/1621	4.00	4.24	4.06	4.01	4.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
-----					
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	A 1	Required for Majors	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	B 0		Graduate
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	C 0	General	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	D 0		Under-grad
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	F 0	Electives	1
			P 0		#### - Means there are not enough responses to be significant
			I 0	Other	0
			? 0		

Course-Section: DANC 280C 0101  
 Title PERFORMANCE PRACTICUM  
 Instructor: HAMBY, DOUGLAS  
 Enrollment: 3  
 Questionnaires: 3

University of Maryland  
 Baltimore County  
 Fall 2008

Page 490  
 FEB 11, 2009  
 Job IRBR3029

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaire

Questions	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Dept	UMBC	Level	Sect		
	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean		
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	3.67	1429/1649	4.33	4.44	4.28	4.29	3.67
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	4.00	1124/1648	4.50	4.10	4.23	4.25	4.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	1	0	2	0	3.33	1470/1595	3.33	3.81	4.20	4.22	3.33
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	380/1512	4.75	4.10	4.10	4.14	4.50
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	3.67	1318/1623	3.83	4.04	4.16	4.21	3.67
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	1037/1646	4.83	4.68	4.69	4.63	4.67
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	3.67	1261/1621	4.33	4.24	4.06	4.01	3.67
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	3.67	1426/1568	4.33	4.41	4.43	4.39	3.67
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	4.00	1463/1572	4.00	4.64	4.70	4.73	4.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	4.00	1127/1564	4.50	4.26	4.28	4.27	4.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	4.00	1121/1559	4.50	4.52	4.29	4.33	4.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	3.00	1254/1384	4.00	3.28	4.08	3.99	3.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	3.50	1216/1382	4.25	3.48	4.29	4.19	3.50
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	3.50	1181/1368	4.25	3.92	4.30	4.21	3.50

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	1	0.00-0.99 0	A 2	Required for Majors	0 Graduate 0 Major 1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99 0	B 0		
56-83	0	2.00-2.99 0	C 0	General	3 Under-grad 3 Non-major 2
84-150	0	3.00-3.49 0	D 0		
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00 0	F 0	Electives	0 #### - Means there are not enough responses to be significant
			P 0		
			I 0	Other	0
			? 0		

Course-Section: DANC 280C 0201  
 Title PERFORMANCE PRACTICUM  
 Instructor: WALTON, ELIZABE  
 Enrollment: 1  
 Questionnaires: 1

University of Maryland  
 Baltimore County  
 Fall 2008

Page 491  
 FEB 11, 2009  
 Job IRBR3029

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaire

Questions	Frequencies								Instructor		Course	Dept	UMBC	Level	Sect
	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	
-----															
General															
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1649	4.33	4.44	4.28	4.29	5.00	
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1648	4.50	4.10	4.23	4.25	5.00	
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1512	4.75	4.10	4.10	4.14	5.00	
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1029/1623	3.83	4.04	4.16	4.21	4.00	
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1646	4.83	4.68	4.69	4.63	5.00	
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1621	4.33	4.24	4.06	4.01	5.00	
Lecture															
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1568	4.33	4.41	4.43	4.39	5.00	
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1564	4.50	4.26	4.28	4.27	5.00	
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1559	4.50	4.52	4.29	4.33	5.00	
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1352	5.00	4.41	3.98	4.07	5.00	
Discussion															
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1384	4.00	3.28	4.08	3.99	5.00	
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1382	4.25	3.48	4.29	4.19	5.00	
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1368	4.25	3.92	4.30	4.21	5.00	

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	A	1	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	B	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	C	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	#### - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	0						

Course-Section: DANC 290 0101  
 Title INDEP STUDIES IN DANCE  
 Instructor: WALTON, ELIZABE  
 Enrollment: 4  
 Questionnaires: 4

University of Maryland  
 Baltimore County  
 Fall 2008

Page 492  
 FEB 11, 2009  
 Job IRBR3029

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaire

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course Mean	Dept Mean	UMBC Mean	Level Mean	Sect Mean
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank					
-----														
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1649	5.00	4.44	4.28	4.29	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1648	5.00	4.10	4.23	4.25	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	3	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1375	5.00	4.68	4.27	4.37	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1595	5.00	3.81	4.20	4.22	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	0	3	4.50	380/1512	4.50	4.10	4.10	4.14	4.50
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	1	0	3	4.50	502/1623	4.50	4.04	4.16	4.21	4.50
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1646	5.00	4.68	4.69	4.63	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	165/1621	4.75	4.24	4.06	4.01	4.75

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
-----					
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	A 4	Required for Majors	0 Graduate 0 Major 3
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	B 0		
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	C 0	General	0 Under-grad 4 Non-major 1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	D 0		
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	F 0	Electives	0 #### - Means there are not enough responses to be significant
			P 0		
			I 0	Other	4
			? 0		

Course-Section: DANC 301A 0101  
 Title ALIGNMENT AND IMAGERY  
 Instructor: LACY, SANDRA L  
 Enrollment: 9  
 Questionnaires: 9

University of Maryland  
 Baltimore County  
 Fall 2008

Page 493  
 FEB 11, 2009  
 Job IRBR3029

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaire

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course Mean	Dept Mean	UMBC Mean	Level Mean	Sect Mean
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank					
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	1	0	0	1	6	4.38	816/1649	4.38	4.44	4.28	4.27	4.38
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	3	5	4.63	414/1648	4.63	4.10	4.23	4.18	4.63
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	2	5	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1375	****	4.68	4.27	4.22	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	3	0	1	0	2	2	4.00	1067/1595	4.00	3.81	4.20	4.21	4.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	2	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	137/1533	4.83	4.31	4.04	4.05	4.83
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	5	0	1	0	1	1	3.67	1170/1512	3.67	4.10	4.10	4.11	3.67
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	3	1	0	0	2	2	3.80	1241/1623	3.80	4.04	4.16	4.08	3.80
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	714/1646	4.88	4.68	4.69	4.67	4.88
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	0	2	5	4.71	191/1621	4.71	4.24	4.06	4.02	4.71
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	2	6	4.75	480/1568	4.75	4.41	4.43	4.39	4.75
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1572	5.00	4.64	4.70	4.64	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	197/1564	4.88	4.26	4.28	4.25	4.88
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1559	5.00	4.52	4.29	4.23	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	4	0	0	1	0	3	4.50	303/1352	4.50	4.41	3.98	3.97	4.50
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1384	****	3.28	4.08	4.11	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1382	****	3.48	4.29	4.37	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1368	****	3.92	4.30	4.39	****
4. Were special techniques successful	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/ 948	****	2.81	3.95	4.00	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	1	0.00-0.99 0	A 5	Required for Majors 0	Graduate 0 Major 3
28-55	0	1.00-1.99 0	B 2		
56-83	0	2.00-2.99 0	C 0	General 3	Under-grad 9 Non-major 6
84-150	2	3.00-3.49 2	D 0		
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00 1	F 0	Electives 2	#### - Means there are not enough responses to be significant
			P 0		
			I 0	Other 2	
			? 0		



Course-Section: DANC 310 0101  
 Title INTERM MODERN DANCE I  
 Instructor: HESS, CAROL A  
 Enrollment: 21  
 Questionnaires: 17

University of Maryland  
 Baltimore County  
 Fall 2008

Page 494  
 FEB 11, 2009  
 Job IRBR3029

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaire

Questions	Frequencies								Instructor		Course	Dept	UMBC	Level	Sect
	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	
General															
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	2	4	11	4.53	617/1649	4.53	4.44	4.28	4.27	4.53	
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	6	10	4.53	533/1648	4.53	4.10	4.23	4.18	4.53	
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	16	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1375	****	4.68	4.27	4.22	****	
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	3	3	10	4.44	594/1595	4.44	3.81	4.20	4.21	4.44	
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	15	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1533	****	4.31	4.04	4.05	****	
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	2	2	5	7	4.06	854/1512	4.06	4.10	4.10	4.11	4.06	
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	3	0	1	2	3	7	4.23	838/1623	4.23	4.04	4.16	4.08	4.23	
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	4	13	4.76	897/1646	4.76	4.68	4.69	4.67	4.76	
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	0	0	0	0	4	9	4.69	207/1621	4.69	4.24	4.06	4.02	4.69	
Lecture															
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	7	0	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	1/1568	5.00	4.41	4.43	4.39	5.00	
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	7	0	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	1/1572	5.00	4.64	4.70	4.64	5.00	
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	7	0	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	1/1564	5.00	4.26	4.28	4.25	5.00	
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	1/1559	5.00	4.52	4.29	4.23	5.00	
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	5	6	0	0	1	0	5	4.67	208/1352	4.67	4.41	3.98	3.97	4.67	
Discussion															
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	11	0	0	0	1	1	4	4.50	437/1384	4.50	3.28	4.08	4.11	4.50	
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	11	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	312/1382	4.83	3.48	4.29	4.37	4.83	
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	11	0	0	1	1	1	3	4.00	948/1368	4.00	3.92	4.30	4.39	4.00	
4. Were special techniques successful	11	5	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/ 948	****	2.81	3.95	4.00	****	
Field Work															
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	16	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/ 52	****	5.00	4.06	3.59	****	
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	16	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/ 48	****	5.00	4.09	4.21	****	
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	16	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/ 39	****	4.00	4.47	4.43	****	
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	16	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/ 39	****	****	4.38	4.32	****	
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	16	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/ 312	****	4.00	3.68	3.60	****	

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	A 8	Required for Majors	0
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	B 4		Graduate 0
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	C 1	General	2
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	D 0		Under-grad 17
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	F 0	Electives	0
			P 0		#### - Means there are not enough responses to be significant
			I 0	Other	14
			? 2		

Course-Section: DANC 316 0101  
 Title BALLET III  
 Instructor: LACY, SANDRA L  
 Enrollment: 20  
 Questionnaires: 13

University of Maryland  
 Baltimore County  
 Fall 2008

Page 495  
 FEB 11, 2009  
 Job IRBR3029

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaire

Questions	Frequencies								Instructor		Course	Dept	UMBC	Level	Sect
	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	
General															
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	12	4.92	149/1649	4.92	4.44	4.28	4.27	4.92	
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	12	4.92	118/1648	4.92	4.10	4.23	4.18	4.92	
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	10	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/1375	****	4.68	4.27	4.22	****	
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	7	5	4.42	622/1595	4.42	3.81	4.20	4.21	4.42	
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	3	2	0	1	6	1	3.40	1320/1512	3.40	4.10	4.10	4.11	3.40	
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	2	0	0	0	4	7	4.64	358/1623	4.64	4.04	4.16	4.08	4.64	
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	5	8	4.62	1092/1646	4.62	4.68	4.69	4.67	4.62	
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	0	2	9	4.82	129/1621	4.82	4.24	4.06	4.02	4.82	
Lecture															
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	1	12	4.92	196/1568	4.92	4.41	4.43	4.39	4.92	
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	5.00	1/1572	5.00	4.64	4.70	4.64	5.00	
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	0	12	5.00	1/1564	5.00	4.26	4.28	4.25	5.00	
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	12	5.00	1/1559	5.00	4.52	4.29	4.23	5.00	
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	9	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/1352	****	4.41	3.98	3.97	****	
Discussion															
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	11	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/1384	****	3.28	4.08	4.11	****	
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	11	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1382	****	3.48	4.29	4.37	****	
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	11	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/1368	****	3.92	4.30	4.39	****	

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	A 8	Required for Majors	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	B 2		0
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	C 0	General	2
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	D 0		13
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	F 0	Electives	0
			P 0		
			I 0		
			? 0	Other	8

#### - Means there are not enough responses to be significant

Course-Section: DANC 320 0101  
 Title INTERM MODERN DANCE II  
 Instructor: HESS, CAROL A  
 Enrollment: 5  
 Questionnaires: 3

University of Maryland  
 Baltimore County  
 Fall 2008

Page 496  
 FEB 11, 2009  
 Job IRBR3029

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaire

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course Mean	Dept Mean	UMBC Mean	Level Mean	Sect Mean
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank					
-----														
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1649	5.00	4.44	4.28	4.27	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	362/1648	4.67	4.10	4.23	4.18	4.67
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	4.00	1067/1595	4.00	3.81	4.20	4.21	4.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	3.50	1266/1512	3.50	4.10	4.10	4.11	3.50
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	502/1623	4.50	4.04	4.16	4.08	4.50
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	4.33	1340/1646	4.33	4.68	4.69	4.67	4.33
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	234/1621	4.67	4.24	4.06	4.02	4.67
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1568	5.00	4.41	4.43	4.39	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1572	5.00	4.64	4.70	4.64	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	651/1564	4.50	4.26	4.28	4.25	4.50
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	695/1559	4.50	4.52	4.29	4.23	4.50
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1352	5.00	4.41	3.98	3.97	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	0	0.00-0.99 0	A 1	Required for Majors	0 Graduate 0 Major 1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99 0	B 1		
56-83	0	2.00-2.99 0	C 0	General	0 Under-grad 3 Non-major 2
84-150	0	3.00-3.49 0	D 0		
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00 0	F 0	Electives	0 #### - Means there are not enough responses to be significant
			P 0		
			I 0	Other	2
			? 0		

Course-Section: DANC 331 0101  
 Title DANCE COMPOSITION II  
 Instructor: HESS, CAROL A  
 Enrollment: 9  
 Questionnaires: 9

University of Maryland  
 Baltimore County  
 Fall 2008

Page 497  
 FEB 11, 2009  
 Job IRBR3029

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaire

Questions	Frequencies								Instructor		Course	Dept	UMBC	Level	Sect
	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	
-----															
General															
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	0	8	4.78	306/1649	4.78	4.44	4.28	4.27	4.78	
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	1	0	1	7	4.56	498/1648	4.56	4.10	4.23	4.18	4.56	
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1595	5.00	3.81	4.20	4.21	5.00	
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	8	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1512	****	4.10	4.10	4.11	****	
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	0	0	4	4	4.50	502/1623	4.50	4.04	4.16	4.08	4.50	
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	7	2	4.22	1419/1646	4.22	4.68	4.69	4.67	4.22	
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	3	6	4.67	234/1621	4.67	4.24	4.06	4.02	4.67	
Lecture															
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1568	5.00	4.41	4.43	4.39	5.00	
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	715/1572	4.86	4.64	4.70	4.64	4.86	
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1564	5.00	4.26	4.28	4.25	5.00	
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1559	5.00	4.52	4.29	4.23	5.00	
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	6	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1352	****	4.41	3.98	3.97	****	
Discussion															
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1384	5.00	3.28	4.08	4.11	5.00	
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	6	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1382	5.00	3.48	4.29	4.37	5.00	
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	6	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1368	5.00	3.92	4.30	4.39	5.00	
4. Were special techniques successful	6	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/ 948	****	2.81	3.95	4.00	****	

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	A 8	Required for Majors	0 Graduate 0 Major 7
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	B 0		
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	C 0	General	0 Under-grad 9 Non-major 2
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	D 0		
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	F 0	Electives	0 #### - Means there are not enough responses to be significant
			P 0		
			I 0	Other	7
			? 0		

Course-Section: DANC 350 0101  
 Title DANCE WORKSHOP  
 Instructor: STAFF  
 Enrollment: 6  
 Questionnaires: 6

University of Maryland  
 Baltimore County  
 Fall 2008

Page 498  
 FEB 11, 2009  
 Job IRBR3029

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaire

Questions	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Dept	UMBC	Level	Sect		
	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean		
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	1	0	4	1	3.83	1327/1649	3.83	4.44	4.28	4.27	3.83
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	4	1	1	0	2.50	1633/1648	2.50	4.10	4.23	4.18	2.50
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	5	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/1595	****	3.81	4.20	4.21	****
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	5	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1533	****	4.31	4.04	4.05	****
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	0	1	1	3	3.83	1068/1512	3.83	4.10	4.10	4.11	3.83
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	4	0	1	1	0	0	2.50	1599/1623	2.50	4.04	4.16	4.08	2.50
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1646	5.00	4.68	4.69	4.67	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	1	4	0	3.80	1151/1621	3.80	4.24	4.06	4.02	3.80
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	5	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/1568	****	4.41	4.43	4.39	****
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	5	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/1572	****	4.64	4.70	4.64	****
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	5	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/1564	****	4.26	4.28	4.25	****
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/1559	****	4.52	4.29	4.23	****
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	0	0	1	1	0	2	3.75	914/1352	3.75	4.41	3.98	3.97	3.75
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	326/1384	4.67	3.28	4.08	4.11	4.67
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	3	0	0	0	1	1	1	4.00	946/1382	4.00	3.48	4.29	4.37	4.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	3	0	0	1	2	0	0	2.67	1339/1368	2.67	3.92	4.30	4.39	2.67
4. Were special techniques successful	3	1	1	0	0	1	0	2.50	917/ 948	2.50	2.81	3.95	4.00	2.50
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/ 52	****	5.00	4.06	3.59	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/ 53	****	5.00	4.30	4.32	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	A	4	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	5
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	B	2						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	C	0	General	1	Under-grad	6	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	#### - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	5				
				?	0						

Course-Section: DANC 400B 0101  
 Title INDEP STUDIES IN DANCE  
 Instructor: WALTON, ELIZABE  
 Enrollment: 1  
 Questionnaires: 1

University of Maryland  
 Baltimore County  
 Fall 2008

Page 499  
 FEB 11, 2009  
 Job IRBR3029

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaire

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					5	Instructor Mean	Instructor Rank	Course Mean	Dept Mean	UMBC Mean	Level Mean	Sect Mean
			1	2	3	4									
-----															
General															
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1649	5.00	4.44	4.28	4.50	5.00	
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1595	5.00	3.81	4.20	4.36	5.00	
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1512	5.00	4.10	4.10	4.26	5.00	
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1623	5.00	4.04	4.16	4.27	5.00	
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1544/1646	4.00	4.68	4.69	4.71	4.00	
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1621	5.00	4.24	4.06	4.24	5.00	
Lecture															
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1568	5.00	4.41	4.43	4.54	5.00	
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1572	5.00	4.64	4.70	4.79	5.00	
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1564	5.00	4.26	4.28	4.40	5.00	
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1559	5.00	4.52	4.29	4.41	5.00	

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	A	1	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	B	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	C	0	General	1	Under-grad	1	Non-major	0
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	#### - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: DANC 410 0101  
 Title ADV DANCE TECHNIQUE I  
 Instructor: STAFF  
 Enrollment: 14  
 Questionnaires: 10

University of Maryland  
 Baltimore County  
 Fall 2008

Page 500  
 FEB 11, 2009  
 Job IRBR3029

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaire

Questions	Frequencies								Instructor		Course	Dept	UMBC	Level	Sect
	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	
-----															
General															
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	2	7	4.60	510/1649	4.60	4.44	4.28	4.50	4.60	
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	1	3	1	5	4.00	1124/1648	4.00	4.10	4.23	4.36	4.00	
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	3	0	2	1	2	2	3.57	1379/1595	3.57	3.81	4.20	4.36	3.57	
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	3	4	0	2	2.90	1459/1512	2.90	4.10	4.10	4.26	2.90	
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	4	2	4	0	3.00	1533/1623	3.00	4.04	4.16	4.27	3.00	
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	1/1646	5.00	4.68	4.69	4.71	5.00	
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	0	0	0	0	3	3	4.50	374/1621	4.50	4.24	4.06	4.24	4.50	
Lecture															
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	0	0	1	2	4	4.43	956/1568	4.43	4.41	4.43	4.54	4.43	
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	2	1	5	4.38	1339/1572	4.38	4.64	4.70	4.79	4.38	
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	0	0	2	2	3	4.14	1046/1564	4.14	4.26	4.28	4.40	4.14	
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	2	0	0	4	2	3.50	1370/1559	3.50	4.52	4.29	4.41	3.50	
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	0	0	0	3	1	4	4.13	616/1352	4.13	4.41	3.98	4.07	4.13	

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	0	0.00-0.99 0	A 7	Required for Majors	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99 0	B 1		Graduate 0
56-83	3	2.00-2.99 0	C 0	General	1
84-150	3	3.00-3.49 4	D 0		Under-grad 10
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00 2	F 0	Electives	0
			P 0		#### - Means there are not enough responses to be significant
			I 0	Other	9
			? 2		

Course-Section: DANC 416 0101  
 Title ADVANCED BALLET  
 Instructor: STAFF  
 Enrollment: 11  
 Questionnaires: 8

University of Maryland  
 Baltimore County  
 Fall 2008

Page 501  
 FEB 11, 2009  
 Job IRBR3029

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaire

Questions	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Dept	UMBC	Level	Sect		
	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean		
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	2	5	1	3.88	1295/1649	3.88	4.44	4.28	4.50	3.88
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	1	3	3	1	3.50	1481/1648	3.50	4.10	4.23	4.36	3.50
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	7	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/1595	****	3.81	4.20	4.36	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	1	0	2	2	1	1	3.17	1506/1623	3.17	4.04	4.16	4.27	3.17
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1646	5.00	4.68	4.69	4.71	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	1	6	0	3.86	1105/1621	3.86	4.24	4.06	4.24	3.86
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	4	0	0	0	1	3	0	3.75	1401/1568	3.75	4.41	4.43	4.54	3.75
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	4	0	0	0	1	3	0	3.75	1517/1572	3.75	4.64	4.70	4.79	3.75
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	4	0	0	0	0	4	0	4.00	1127/1564	4.00	4.26	4.28	4.40	4.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	390/1559	4.75	4.52	4.29	4.41	4.75
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1384	****	3.28	4.08	4.35	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1382	****	3.48	4.29	4.56	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1368	****	3.92	4.30	4.58	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	A	5	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	5
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	B	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	C	0	General	1	Under-grad	8	Non-major	3
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	3	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	1	#### - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	5				
				?	1						



Course-Section: DANC 450 0101  
 Title REPERTORY  
 Instructor: STAFF  
 Enrollment: 10  
 Questionnaires: 10

University of Maryland  
 Baltimore County  
 Fall 2008

Page 502  
 FEB 11, 2009  
 Job IRBR3029

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaire

Questions	Frequencies								Instructor		Course Mean	Dept Mean	UMBC Mean	Level Mean	Sect Mean
	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank						
-----															
General															
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	1	3	4	2	3.70	1402/1649	3.70	4.44	4.28	4.50	3.70	
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	1	2	4	3	3.90	1229/1648	3.90	4.10	4.23	4.36	3.90	
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	9	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/1375	****	4.68	4.27	4.48	****	
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	8	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/1595	****	3.81	4.20	4.36	****	
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	5	0	1	2	0	1	3.25	1485/1623	3.25	4.04	4.16	4.27	3.25	
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1646	5.00	4.68	4.69	4.71	5.00	
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	3	5	1	3.78	1175/1621	3.78	4.24	4.06	4.24	3.78	
Lecture															
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	6	0	0	0	2	2	0	3.50	1460/1568	3.50	4.41	4.43	4.54	3.50	
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	5	0	0	0	1	1	3	4.40	1321/1572	4.40	4.64	4.70	4.79	4.40	
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	7	0	0	0	2	0	1	3.67	1336/1564	3.67	4.26	4.28	4.40	3.67	
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	0	2	1	1	3.75	1277/1559	3.75	4.52	4.29	4.41	3.75	
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	6	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1352	****	4.41	3.98	4.07	****	
Discussion															
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	9	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/1384	****	3.28	4.08	4.35	****	
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	9	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/1382	****	3.48	4.29	4.56	****	
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	9	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/1368	****	3.92	4.30	4.58	****	

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	A	6	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	6
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	B	0						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	C	0	General	1	Under-grad	10	Non-major	4
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	4	F	0	Electives	0	#### - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	7				
				?	1						

Course-Section: DANC 475 0101  
 Title SENIOR PROJECTS IN DAN  
 Instructor: HAMBY, DOUGLAS  
 Enrollment: 10  
 Questionnaires: 9

University of Maryland  
 Baltimore County  
 Fall 2008

Page 503  
 FEB 11, 2009  
 Job IRBR3029

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaire

Questions	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Dept	UMBC	Level	Sect		
	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean		
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	1	0	3	5	4.33	871/1649	4.33	4.44	4.28	4.50	4.33
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	1	0	3	5	4.33	797/1648	4.33	4.10	4.23	4.36	4.33
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	1	0	2	6	4.44	580/1595	4.44	3.81	4.20	4.36	4.44
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	8	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1533	****	4.31	4.04	4.14	****
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	2	1	5	4.11	826/1512	4.11	4.10	4.10	4.26	4.11
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	2	2	1	4	3.78	1258/1623	3.78	4.04	4.16	4.27	3.78
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	697/1646	4.89	4.68	4.69	4.71	4.89
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	1	3	4	4.38	547/1621	4.38	4.24	4.06	4.24	4.38
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	1	0	1	5	4.43	956/1568	4.43	4.41	4.43	4.54	4.43
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	715/1572	4.86	4.64	4.70	4.79	4.86
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	1	0	1	5	4.43	754/1564	4.43	4.26	4.28	4.40	4.43
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	1	0	1	5	4.43	804/1559	4.43	4.52	4.29	4.41	4.43
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	5	1	1	0	0	0	1.50	****/1352	****	4.41	3.98	4.07	****
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	6	0	1	0	0	0	2	3.67	1011/1384	3.67	3.28	4.08	4.35	3.67
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	6	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	483/1382	4.67	3.48	4.29	4.56	4.67
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	6	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1368	5.00	3.92	4.30	4.58	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	6	2	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/ 948	****	2.81	3.95	4.31	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	A 6	Required for Majors	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	B 1		Graduate 0
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	C 0	General	0
84-150	4	3.00-3.49	D 0		Under-grad 9
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	F 0	Electives	0
			P 0		#### - Means there are not enough responses to be significant
			I 0	Other	7
			? 1		